

A copublication of the World Bank and the International Finance Corporation

© 2006 The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank 1818 H Street NW Washington, D.C. 20433 Telephone 202-473-1000 Internet www.worldbank.org E-mail feedback@worldbank.org

All rights reserved.

1 2 3 4 08 07 06 05

A copublication of the World Bank and the International Finance Corporation.

The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed here are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Board of Executive Directors of the World Bank or the governments they represent.

The World Bank cannot guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this work. The boundaries, colors, denominations, and other information shown on any map in this work do not imply on the part of the World Bank any judgment of the legal status of any territory or the endorsement or acceptance of such boundaries.

Rights and Permissions

The material in this work is copyrighted. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or inclusion in any information storage and retrieval system, without the prior written permission of the World Bank. The World Bank encourages dissemination of its work and will normally grant permission promptly.

For permission to photocopy or reprint, please send a request with complete information to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA, telephone 978-750-8400, fax 978-750-4470, www.copyright.com.

All other queries on rights and licenses, including subsidiary rights, should be addressed to the Office of the Publisher, World Bank, 1818 H Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20433, fax 202-522-2422, e-mail pubrights@worldbank.org.

Additional copies of *Doing Business in 2006: Creating Jobs, Doing Business in 2005: Removing Obstacles to Growth,* and *Doing Business in 2004: Understanding Regulation* may be purchased at http://www.worldbank.org/publications

To preserve endangered forests and natural resources, *Doing Business in 2006* is printed on 100% post-consumer recycled fiber paper, processed chlorine free.

ISBN 0-8213-5749-2 ISSN 1729-2638 E-ISBN: 0-8213-6434-0 DOI: 10.1596/978-0-8213-5749-1

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication data has been applied for.

Contents

Doing Business in 2006: Creating Jobs is the third in a series of annual reports investigating the regulations that enhance business activity and those that constrain it. New quantitative indicators on business regulations and their enforcement can be compared across 155 countries-from Afghanistan to Zimbabwe-and over time. Doing Business in 2004: Understanding Regulation presented indicators in 5 topics: starting a business, hiring and firing workers, enforcing contracts, getting credit and closing a business. Doing Business in 2005: Removing Obstacles to Growth updated these measures and added another two sets: registering property and protecting investors. Doing Business in 2006 again updates all previous measures and adds three more sets: dealing with licenses, paying taxes and trading across borders, to create a total of 10 areas measured. The indicators are used to analyze economic outcomes and identify what reforms have worked, where, and why.

Creating jobs: an overview	1
Starting a business	9
Dealing with licenses	15
Hiring and firing workers	21
Registering property	27
Getting credit	33
Protecting investors	39
Paying taxes	45
Trading across borders	53
Enforcing contracts	61
Closing a business	67
References	73
Data notes	77
Ease of doing business: an appendix	91
Doing Business indicators	95
Country tables	110
Acknowledgments	163

· · ·

Creating jobs: an overview

Who reformed most? Where is doing business easy? Less costly does not mean less protection More reform is needed in Africa Success requires new jobs in the formal economy

If you were opening a new business in Lao PDR, the start-up procedures would take 198 days. If you were opening one in Syria, you would have to put up \$61,000 in minimum capital—51 times average annual income. If you were building a warehouse in Bosnia and Herzegovina, the fees for utility hook-up and compliance with building regulations would amount to 87 times average income. And if you ran a business in Guatemala, it would take you 1,459 days to resolve a simple dispute in the courts. If you were paying all business taxes in Sierra Leone, they would take 164% of your company's gross profit.¹

Starting a business is a leap of faith even in the best of circumstances. Governments should encourage the daring. And many do. In 2004, 99 countries—two-thirds of the *Doing Business* sample—introduced 185 reforms to make it easier to do business. They simplified some aspect of business regulations, strengthened property rights, reduced exporting and importing costs, eased tax burdens and increased access to credit.

Such reforms allow firms to grow faster and create more jobs. An increasing number of those jobs will be in the formal economy because the benefits of being formal (such as easier access to credit and better utility services) often outweigh the costs (such as taxes). And more formal jobs will mean that more workers are protected by pensions, safety regulations and health benefits.

1

Women, who now make up three-quarters of workers in the informal sector, will be big beneficiaries. So will young and inexperienced workers looking for their first job.

Jobs are a priority for countries emerging from conflict, to absorb former soldiers into the workforce and quickly enable families to rebuild their lives. Without jobs there is a high risk that these countries will return to conflict. And jobs in the formal economy are a priority for countries in Africa—which have the most obstacles to doing business and are reforming more slowly than anywhere else.

Who reformed most?

In 2004 Serbia and Montenegro led in making the kinds of reforms that can spur growth in firms and jobs, improving in 8 of the 10 areas studied by *Doing Business* (table 1.1). The capital requirement for starting a new business was cut from 5,000 euros to 500. The time to start a new business was reduced from 51 days to 15. A new labor law made it easier to hire workers by allowing firms to offer term contracts rather than having to hire under indefinite contracts even when addressing temporary needs. The time to resolve commercial disputes fell from 1,028 days to 635, thanks to a new code of civil procedure. Payroll and sales tax were replaced by a value added tax, which is easier to collect. The number of new registered (formal) firms in 2004 jumped by 42% over the previous year.

Georgia was the runner-up reformer. A new licensing law cut from 909 to 159 the number of licensed activities. A one-stop shop was created for license applications, so that now businesses can submit all documents there, with no verification by other agencies required. A simplified tax code eliminated 12 of 21 taxes. And the time to register property fell by 75%, and the cost by 70%.

Regionally, the most reform took place in Eastern Europe and Central Asia, where every country took at least one step to make things easier for business (figure 1.1). Many of these reforms were driven by integration with the European Union. Three Eastern European countries—Slovakia, Romania and Latvia—were among the top 12 reformers in 2004 (see table 1.1), and Slovakia was the leading reformer in 2003. All 3 have made it easier for new businesses to open. These efforts appear to be paying off: in 2004 the number of new start-ups jumped by 8% in Latvia, 13% in Slovakia and 22% in Romania. The most common reforms in the region, occurring in half the countries, involved simplifying tax administration and reducing tax burdens. Earlier reforms in Estonia, Russia and Slovakia led the way.

Some of the boldest reforms, driving the biggest improvements in the *Doing Business* indicators, were:

- Serbia and Montenegro's simplification of business start-up.
- Egypt's streamlining of customs procedures and trade documents.
- · Brazil's improvements to bankruptcy law.


Serbia and Montenegro moved start-up registrations from its courts to a new administrative registry. Entrepreneurs can register online, and a "silence is consent" rule ensures rapid approval. In addition, a new unified electronic database links the commercial courts, statistics bureau, customs office, national bank and

TABLE 1 1			
The top	reformers	in	2004



Every country in Eastern Europe and Central Asia reformed

Countries that made at least one reform in 2004 (%)



Source: Doing Business database.

municipalities. With these reforms, a company can start operating in 15 days rather than 51 (figure 1.2).

Egypt established a single window for trade documentation and merged 26 approvals into 5. A time limit of 2 days for passing through customs now applies. Improvements at customs were part of a broader reform to cut the number of tariff bands from 27 to 6 and simplify inspection procedures at the border.

Brazil's new bankruptcy law gives insolvent companies the option of remaining open while undergoing restructuring. Creditors have more power to direct reorganization proceedings by establishing creditors' committees that vote on restructuring plans. Secured creditors now get preference over tax claims when assets are sold.

Country	Starting a business	Dealing with licenses	Hiring and firing	Registering property	Getting credit	Protecting investors	Paying taxes	Trading across borders	Enforcing contracts	Closing a business
Serbia and	,	,		,			,			,
Montenegro	v		✓	~	~		v		v	~
Georgia	1	1	1	1			1			
Vietnam	1			1		1			1	1
Slovakia	1		✓	1	1					
Germany	1		1					1	1	
Egypt	1			1	1			1		
Finland		1	1				1			1
Romania	1				1		1		1	
Latvia	1			1			1		1	
Pakistan	1			1		1		1		
Rwanda					1			1	1	
Netherlands		1		1			1			

Note: Countries are ranked on the number of reforms. When countries have the same number of reforms, they are ranked on the impact of the reforms on the Doing Business indicators. The larger the improvement in ranking on each set of indicators, the higher the country ranks as a reformer.

The new law halved the average time for going through bankruptcy from 10 years to 5 and is expected to raise the recovery rate from 0% of company assets to 7.5%.


But not all changes in *Doing Business* indicators were for the better. In 2004, 20 countries—18 of them poor—made it harder to do business. Among them:


• Madagascar raised its minimum capital requirement for starting a business to \$6,500—22 times annual income per capita.

• Chad raised transfer taxes and notary fees for registering property. Taxes and fees to buy land or buildings equal 21% of their value—among the highest levels in the world.

• Mauritania raised its corporate income tax rate from 20% to 25%—the only country to increase this tax in 2004.

FIGURE 1 2





Where is doing business easy?

New Zealand has the most business-friendly regulation in the world, as measured by the *Doing Business* indicators (table 1.2). Singapore is the runner-up. The United States is third. Five other East Asian countries—Hong Kong (China), Japan, Thailand, Malaysia and Korea are among the top 30. So are the Baltic countries—Lithuania, Estonia and Latvia. Their ranking is a remarkable achievement, as only a decade has passed since they first began reforms.

But the rankings on the ease of doing business also show that many reformers still have a long way to go. Although Eastern Europe was the top reforming region, some of its countries still rank poorly on the ease of doing business. For example, Serbia and Montenegro's rank is 92, Croatia's is 118 and Ukraine's 124. Egypt, another top reformer in 2004, ranks 141. And India, though making big gains on collateral recovery and ease of registering property, ranks 116—25 places behind China.

Rankings on the ease of doing business do not tell the whole story. The indicator is limited in scope. It does not account for a country's proximity to large markets, quality of infrastructure services (other than services related to trading across borders), the security of property from theft and looting, macroeconomic conditions or the underlying strength of institutions. Thus while Jamaica ranks close (at 43) on the ease of doing business to France (at 44), this does not mean that businesses are better off operating in Kingston rather than in Paris. Crime and macroeconomic imbalances—2 issues not directly studied in *Doing Business*—make Jamaica a less attractive destination for investment.

But a high ranking on the ease of doing business does mean that the government has created a regulatory environment conducive to the operation of business. Often, improvements on the *Doing Business* indicators proxy for broader reforms to laws and institutions, which affect more than the administrative procedures and the time and cost to comply with business regulation.

TABLE 1.2

Top 30 economies on the ease of doing business

1	New Zealand	16	Estonia
2	Singapore	17	Switzerland
3	United States	18	Belgium
4	Canada	19	Germany
5	Norway	20	Thailand
6	Australia	21	Malaysia
7	Hong Kong, China	22	Puerto Rico
8	Denmark	23	Mauritius
9	United Kingdom	24	Netherlands
10	Japan	25	Chile
11	Ireland	26	Latvia
12	Iceland	27	Korea
13	Finland	28	South Africa
14	Sweden	29	Israel
15	Lithuania	30	Spain

Note: The rankings for all economies are benchmarked to January 2005 and reported in the Country tables. The ease of doing business averages country rankings across the 10 topics covered in *Doing Business in 2006*. This year's rankings are not comparable to last year's as three new sets of indicators—on dealing with licenses, paying taxes and trading across borders—have been included. See the Data notes for details. *Source: Doing Business* database.

Less costly does not mean less protection

Having a high ranking on the ease of doing business does not mean that a country has no regulation. Few would argue that it is every business for itself in New Zealand, that workers are abused in Canada or that creditors seize debtors' assets without a fair process in the Netherlands. And to protect the rights of creditors and investors, as well as establish or upgrade credit registries, more regulation rather than less is needed to make the top 30 list.

All the top ranking countries regulate businesses, but they do so in less costly and burdensome ways. Consider the 5 Nordic countries, all of which are on the top 30 list: Norway (5), Denmark (8), Iceland (12), Finland (13) and Sweden (14). These countries do not regulate too little. Instead, they have simple regulations that allow businesses to be productive, and focus intervention where it counts—protecting property rights and providing social services.

The Nordic countries have moderate to high business taxes—52% of gross profit in Finland and Iceland, 53% in Sweden and 60% in Norway. Yet just 8% of economic activity occurs in unregistered (informal sector) businesses. The reason is that businesses receive excellent public services for what they pay. For example, Denmark has the world's best infrastructure.² Norway ranks highest on the human development index produced by the United Nations Development Programme, with Sweden right behind it.³ In these countries, as well as the rest of the top 30, reformers do not have to choose between making it easy to do business and providing social protection. They have found a way to do both.

More reform is needed in Africa

If reformers of business regulation in Africa are seeking an example, they should look nearby-to Rwanda. In 2001 new company and labor laws made it easier to start businesses and hire workers. The next year the government began land titling reform. And in 2004 Rwanda was among the top 12 reformers (see table 1.1). Customs procedures were streamlined and the credit registry improved. Judicial procedures were also simplified, expediting contract enforcement. The country's president has explained the importance of court reform: "As the saying goes, justice delayed is justice denied. Our courts are clogged with an ever-increasing backlog of cases, some of which date back 10 years or more. The rich, powerful and well connected get preferential treatment . . . One of the bottlenecks that our program of national reconstruction faces is a malfunctioning legal system."4 Since initiating reform, Rwanda has had economic growth averaging 3.6% a year-among the highest levels in Africa.


There are other Sub-Saharan success stories. In 2004 Nigeria introduced 3 reforms, involving business entry, labor practices and credit information. Mauritius, with a rank of 23 among the easiest places to do business, made 2 reforms. South Africa ranks 28 on the ease of doing business—and Namibia, 33. These countries can inspire others in the region, just as Japan's success motivated reformers elsewhere in East Asia and Chile's success has energized its Latin American neighbors. Some African governments are responding, with ambitious reforms planned in Burkina Faso, Lesotho and Malawi, among others.

Reform is sorely needed. Entrepreneurs face more regulatory obstacles in Africa than in any other region. Yet in 2004 reform was slower there than in other regions (figure 1.3). The 16 West African countries managed just 2 reforms: Cameroon imposed a 7-day limit on customs clearance, and Côte d'Ivoire enabled employers to register workers with the social security fund in 1 day, down from 2 weeks. Across the region, for every 3 countries that improved regulation, 1 made it more burdensome.



Africa had the lowest reform intensity in 2004

Reform intensity (average number of reforms per country)



Success requires new jobs in the formal economy

"First, I would like to have work of any kind," says an 18year-old Ecuadoran in *Voices of the Poor*, a World Bank survey capturing the perspectives of poor people around the world. People know how to escape poverty (figure 1.4). What they need is to find a decent job. Studies confirm this—the vast majority of people who escape from poverty do so by starting their own business or finding work in an existing one.⁵

Better performance on the ease of doing business is associated with more jobs (figure 1.5). New Zealand, the global leader on the ease of doing business, has 4.7% unemployment. In Greece, the OECD country with the worst ranking (80) on *Doing Business* indicators, unemployment is 10.9%.


Earlier studies confirm this pattern. Quarterly job creation in Portugal, one of the most heavily regulated labor markets, is 59% of that in the United States on a per capita basis. A Portuguese business is 40% less likely than a U.S. one to create jobs during an economic upturn.⁶ Such jobless recoveries are common to heavily regulated markets—and mean that some people remain without work for long periods.⁷

Enormous opportunities exist for creating jobs. If Croatia adopted the business environment of Denmark, all else being equal, analysis suggests that unemployment could fall by up to 4 percentage points (see figure 1.5). If Argentina adopted Danish-style business regulation and property rights protection, analysis suggests that unemployment could fall by up to 3.3 percentage points.⁸

But where regulations are costly and burdensome, businesses often operate in the informal economy—and remain small, creating few jobs. Consider an example FIGURE 1.4

Starting a business is the main way out of poverty


Ways perceived as effective (% of respondents)




from Burkina Faso. There, Oumarou runs a food supply business. He would like to move into the formal economy so that he can serve larger customers, who demand value added tax receipts. But registering a business requires minimum capital equal to nearly 5 times annual income per capita. Fees alone cost 1.5 times income per capita. To get a bank loan Oumarou would have to put up a large amount of collateral. But he has never registered his property, because doing so would require fees equal to 16% of its value. In the face of such obstacles, Oumarou keeps his business informal—and small. He is not alone: in a country of more than 12 million people, only 50,000 work in the formal sector.

Reform can change this. Improving a country's *Doing Business* indicators to the level of the top quartile is associated with a 9 percentage point fall in the share of GDP accounted for by informal activity (figure 1.6). In


EIGURE 1.5





Countries ranked by ease of doing business, quintiles


Implied cut in unemployment from improving to the top on ease of doing business 12%




Note: Relationships are significant at 1% level and remain significant when controlling for income per capita. Source: Doing Business database, ILO (2005).

FIGURE 1 6

Greater ease of doing business is associated with less informality



Implied cut in the informal sector from improving to the top on ease of doing business



Note: Relationships are significant at 1% level and remain significant when controlling for income per capita Source: Doing Business database, Schneider (2005), WEF (2004).


other words, reform expands the reach of regulation by bringing businesses and employees into the formal sector.

Female and young workers would benefit the most from these changes. Both groups account for a large share of the unemployed (figure 1.7), and burdensome regulations significantly affect their job opportunities. In Iran, for example, an employer cannot write a term contract unless the job is seasonal. And women are not allowed to work more than 8 hours a day. Not coincidentally, only 28% of women in the labor force are formally employed.

Governments in conflict-affected countries are es-

FIGURE 1 7

Youth unemployment highest in the Middle East



pecially hard-pressed to create jobs. Continued peace depends on demobilizing rebel armies and finding livelihoods for thousands of refugees and former combatants. This year Doing Business studies 5 conflict-affected countries: Afghanistan, Eritrea, Iraq, Sudan and Timor-Leste. Among these, Afghanistan was the top reformer in 2004. The number of entry procedures for new businesses was cut from 28 to 1, and the time to complete the process from 90 days to 7. Transport infrastructure on major Afghan trading routes was improved. Property records are being compiled and digitized-providing the base for a new property registry (even though the records cover only a quarter of the country). There are also plans to establish a credit registry, owned by the central bank and private commercial banks. Property and credit registries will make it easier for creditors to provide loans.


Successful regulatory reforms abound, with payoffs for job creation. Since 2002 Slovakia's reforms have helped cut the number of unemployed by 43,000.⁹ In Colombia reforms of employment and business startup regulations have created 300,000 jobs in the formal economy.¹⁰ Another success story comes from Peru, where in the past decade the government has issued property titles to 1.3 million urban households. Secure property rights have enabled parents to find jobs rather than staying home to protect their property. Similarly, children can now attend school. As a result, the incidence of child labor has fallen by nearly 30%.¹¹

Notes

)

- 1. Defined as sales less material and labor cost.
- 2. WEF (2004).
- 3. UNDP (2004).
- 4. Kagame (2002, p. 8).
- 5. See also Fields and others (2002).
- 6. Blanchard and Portugal (1998).

- 7. Holmes (1998).
- 8. Analysis based on cross-country regression controlling for the standard variables used in the labor literature.
- 9. Slovak Statistical Office (2005).
- 10. Echeverry and Maria (2004).
- 11. Field (2002).



Afghanistan may lack reliable electricity and paved roads, but there is one problem that entrepreneurs no longer face: it is now easier to start a new business. Reforms in 2004 cut the number of necessary procedures from 28 to 1, and the time to complete the process from 90 days to 7. This makes Afghanistan the top reformer on business entry in 2004.

Because reforms to make business start-up cheaper and faster are often simple, they have attracted a lot of attention.¹ In 2004 Eastern Europe eased entry the most—Latvia, Romania, Serbia and Montenegro and (for the second year in a row) Slovakia were all among the top 10 reformers. Among the other top reformers, Germany set the registration fee to a nominal amount, reducing costs by 19%. El Salvador slashed the time required from 115 days to 40. Cambodia cut registration fees and requirements and reduced the minimum required capital. Jamaica saved 22 days thanks to a new company law and improved social security and tax registration. And Egypt established a single access point with standardized application forms.

But much remains to be done. Reform in Africa has hardly begun, yet 6 of the 10 countries where it is most difficult to start a business are African (table 2.1). In the Middle East and North Africa high capital requirements make new entry unlikely. Antiquated rules abound: 74 countries require new businesses to publish a registration notice in the newspapers. Doing so costs \$424 in the Republic of Congo and \$314 in Greece. The alleged benefit is the ability of prospective customers to check the identity of a business. But if a business wanted to verify the information on a prospective partner, an easier way is to consult the public register.

There is no reason to delay reform. Few people would argue that having burdensome business start-up is a good thing. The cost of reform to ease business entry is minor. Often it is done by the stroke of a minister's pen. Even entirely new business registries cost only about \$1 million in small countries and \$2 million in larger ones.² The benefits are enormous. So are the costs of waiting.

Where i	S	starting a	business	easyand	where	not?
---------	---	------------	----------	---------	-------	------

Easiest	Most difficult
Canada	Mauritania
Australia	Saudi Arabia
United States	Тодо
New Zealand	Haiti
Singapore	Eritrea
Hong Kong, China	Yemen
Puerto Rico	West Bank and Gaza
Romania	Congo, Dem. Rep.
United Kingdom	Chad
Jamaica	Angola

Note: Rankings on the ease of business start-up are the average of the country rankings on the procedures, time, cost and paid-up minimum capital for starting a business. See the Data notes for details.

Who is reforming?


Thirty-one countries eased business entry in 2004. Nine of these—Bolivia, Côte d'Ivoire, Hungary, Lithuania, Romania, Russia, Slovakia, Spain and Vietnam reformed for the second year in a row. On average the top 10 reformers cut the cost by 25%, the minimum capital requirement by a third and the time by half (figure 2.1).

The most popular reform in 2004 was to create a single access point for entrepreneurs. Seven countries did this. Other reforms were also popular. Kazakhstan, Romania, Serbia and Montenegro and Slovakia made company registration an administrative (not judicial) process. Cambodia, Germany, Honduras, Ireland and Latvia cut the cost of starting a business. Ecuador, El Salvador, Germany, Romania, Serbia and Montenegro and Slovakia made the process quicker. Cambodia, Serbia and Montenegro and Tunisia lowered the minimum capital requirement.

Serbia and Montenegro, the second-ranked reformer, transferred registration from the court to a new administrative registry. Entrepreneurs can register online, and a "silence is consent" rule ensures approval in 5 days. If the entrepreneur hasn't heard from the registry in this time, the business can start operation. The country also set up a unified electronic database, linking the courts, the statistics bureau, the customs office, the national bank and the municipalities. And it cut the minimum capital requirement from 5,000 euro to 500. A company can now start operating in 15 days rather than 51.

Slovakia imposed time limits on issuing trade licenses. And it simplified tax registration by introducing a unified tax number for income and value added taxes. Starting a business now takes 25 days, nearly 80 days fewer

FIGURE 2 1



Top 10 reformers in business start-up


than in 2003 (figure 2.2). In Romania registration takes only 11 days. Managers sign a form taking responsibility for the company's compliance with regulations rather than obtaining business permits during registration.

Reforms across the European Union were inspired by competition, with entrepreneurs in an EU member country able to incorporate their company in any other one. Fifteen thousand German businesses have saved on notary and other expenses by registering in London, where the required capital is only £1. Compare that with the minimum required capital of 25,000 euro in Germany. The German government has already proposed legislation to cut the capital requirement to 10,000 euro. The Netherlands plans to scrap it altogether. Reforms have also taken place in Bulgaria, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Norway and Spain.

Reform in Latin America and the Caribbean is gathering speed. In El Salvador business start-up now takes 75 days fewer than in 2003. Ecuador cut the time by a quarter. Honduras cut registration fees in half, although the total cost of start-up fell by only 12% because notary fees account for the bulk of expenses. A more daring reform is necessary. Jamaica introduced a new company law and streamlined social security and tax registration. Its reforms cut the time by 22 days. Bolivia cut 2 weeks off its lengthy process. Mexico and Paraguay are reforming as well. In Brazil the pressure for easing entry is so great that several thousand entrepreneurs marched to the president's office in June 2005 demanding change. Argentina bucked the trend by imposing a requirement for notary approval of the registration notice.

In the Middle East and North Africa, Tunisia re-

Speeding business start-up in Slovakia



Scurre, Dung Business database.


duced the minimum capital requirement to a tenth of what it had been. Egypt centralized start-up in a single building, where company charters, now submitted electronically, are preapproved by the registry on the spot. Registration is done in a day—but registration fees increased by 80%. Saudi Arabia has started ambitious reforms. Approvals by different ministries are now centralized to speed registration. Time has already fallen by a week, with more improvements expected by the end of 2005. Yemen made it more difficult for new businesses, by doubling the required capital to more than \$15,000. With annual incomes averaging \$570, there are few takers.

Africa largely didn't reform. Only Côte d'Ivoire made entry easier. Social security registration is now issued on the spot, and the time for business start-up has been cut by a fifth. The Democratic Republic of Congo created a single access point. But hardly anyone knows about it, and the registration center is empty. Other countries went backwards. Kenya added a separate procedure for paying stamp duty. Madagascar increased the minimum capital requirement to \$6,474, 22 times the average annual income (table 2.2). This reform is easily the worst of the year.

For the second year in a row the start-up gap between rich and poor countries widened. Since 2003 rich countries have made business start-up 33% faster on average, cutting the time from 29 days to 19 (figure 2.3). They have cut the average cost by 26%, from 9% of income per capita to 7%. Meanwhile, poor countries have reduced the time required by only 10%, from 62 days to 56. The cost remains a staggering 113% of income per capita, and the minimum paid-up capital 299% of income per capita—10 times the level in OECD countries.

FIGURE 2 3

Rich countries are getting even better



Source: Doing Business database

TABLE 2.2

Who regulates business start-up the least—and who the most?

Procedures (number)

Fewest		Most	
Afghanistan	1	Argentina	15
Australia	2	Bolivia	15
Canada	2	Greece	15
New Zealand	2	Guatemala	15
Denmark	3	Ukraine	15
Finland	3	Belarus	16
Sweden	3	Brazil	17
Belgium	4	Paraguay	17
Ireland	4	Uganda	17
Norway	4	Chad	19

Time (days)

Least		Most	
Australia	2	Azerbaijan	115
Canada	3	Venezuela	116
Denmark	5	Angola	146
Iceland	5	Indonesia	151
United States	5	Brazil	152
Singapore	6	Mozambique	153
Afghanistan	7	Congo, Dem. Rep.	155
Puerto Rico	7	São Tomé and Príncipe	192
France	8	Lao PDR	198
Jamaica	9	Haiti	203

Cost (% of income per capita)

Least		Most	
Denmark	0.0	West Bank and Gaza	275
New Zealand	0.2	Cambodia	276
United States	0.5	Rwanda	280
Sweden	0.7	Congo, Rep.	288
United Kingdom	0.7	Chad	360
Canada	0.9	Niger	465
Puerto Rico	1.0	Congo, Dem. Rep.	503
Singapore	1.1	Angola	642
Finland	1.2	Sierra Leone	835
France	1.2	Zimbabwe	1,442

Minimum capital requirement (% of income per capita, US\$)

None (0%)	Most	%	US\$
46, including:	Mauritania	878	3,686
Australia	Timor-Leste	909	5,000
Botswana	China	947	12,212
Canada	Jordan	1,012	21,649
France	Saudi Arabia	1,237	129,009
Nepal	West Bank and Gaza	1,410	18,041
Thailand	Ethiopia	1,532	1,685
Uganda	Madagascar	2,158	6,474
United States	Yemen	2,703	15,408
Vietnam	Syria	5,112	60,832
1000000	39114	5, 1 12	00,

What to reform?

In the 10 countries ranked highest on the ease of starting a business, the process has 6 features in common. Courts are not used. Online registration in a countrywide database is available (except in Romania). The only cost is a fixed registration fee, regardless of company size. There is no obligation to publish a notice in a journal. Standardized registration forms are used. And the capital requirement is nominal or zero.

Doing Business in 2005 discussed these features. The first-keeping registration out of the courts-is worth repeating, as it does the most to simplify business entry. Company registration is an administrative process and does not need the attention of judges. Instead, judges can be freed to focus on commercial disputes. Take the recent example of Italy, which until 1998 had the most cumbersome regulation of business entry of any European economy, with the process taking 4 months. When Italy took registration out of the courts, it reduced the time required to only 13 days. Three of the top 10 reformers in 2004-Romania, Serbia and Montenegro and Slovakia-made registration an administrative process. The benefits are large: entrepreneurs in countries where registration takes place outside the courts spend 14 fewer days on starting a business.

Here new evidence is provided on 3 other ways to ease business entry:

- Cut the newspaper publication requirement.
- Introduce standardized forms.
- · Eliminate annual renewal of licenses.

Cut the newspaper publication requirement


In 1719 the South Sea Company took over most of England's national debt—then saw its stock price quadruple in a matter of days. The folly gathered speed. Many other entrepreneurs issued stocks. Some had viable business ideas; others didn't. One promised to build a wheel for perpetual motion. In months the market had collapsed, and many people lost their savings. The Bubble Act of 1720 was issued, mandating an official notice in the newspapers for company start-up. And so it began.

Today, nearly 300 years later, half the world still requires businesses to announce their formation in a newspaper or the official gazette. This made sense when newspapers were the only way for prospective customers

FIGURE 2.4

Publishing newspaper notice—archaic and costly

Publication fee (% of total start-up cost)



Source: Doing Business database

or trading partners to get the information. No longer. It is much easier to search the company registry online or call the registrar, which is what happens in practice. Providing such access involves little cost—the information is already compiled.

In Tunisia publishing a notice in the official gazette and 2 daily newspapers costs \$157, 60% of the total cost to set up a new business. In 9 other countries publication fees amount to more than a quarter of the total cost (figure 2.4). In São Tomé and Príncipe an entrepreneur cannot complete registration until a notice is published in the official gazette. That could take 3 months. Companies in El Salvador need to publish the establishment notice 3 times in the official journal and a national newspaper, each time for 3-day intervals. In Zimbabwe an application for a trade and business license has to be advertised in a local newspaper twice, delaying entry by 5 weeks.

In 2004 Serbia and Montenegro abolished the requirement to publish a notice in the official gazette. Instead, companies announce their formation on the registry's website. Reform is under way in Germany to end the requirement of publishing a registration notice in the local newspaper and make the publication of the federal gazette electronic. Such reform is easiest in East Asia, where Internet technology is already widely used in company registration. Even the poorer countries in the region are adopting it. In 2004 the Philippines introduced online registration, and Vietnam established electronic name verification, cutting time by 1 week.

Introduce standardized forms

In Kazakhstan 80% of new business applications get rejected for flawed or insufficient paperwork. In El Salvador more than 70% get rejected. In Senegal, 65%. The solution is to introduce standardized forms. With these, entrepreneurs do not get confused about which forms to complete and where to submit them. Countries that have introduced standardized forms have significantly lower rejection rates: 8% in the United Kingdom, 11% in Malaysia and 14% in Costa Rica. These can cover all business forms: sole proprietorship, partnership, limited liability or corporation. The applicant ticks the appropriate box for business form and proceeds by completing the relevant sections. Sample company bylaws can be provided for convenience.

In 2004 the Slovak commercial register issued just such standardized forms, making them available on its website. If documents are found to be defective, companies have 15 days to correct the errors and refile their application without paying additional fees. Only about a quarter of applications are returned for correction, and those are approved within 2 weeks. Before, rejected applications took up to 6 months to resolve in a civil court procedure. Others are taking note: the Czech Republic has amended its civil procedure code to allow standardized forms. In 2006 the United Kingdom too will introduce a standardized registration form.

Countries that introduce standardized forms save their entrepreneurs time. In Jamaica one document the articles of incorporation—is now required to form a company. It takes 22 fewer days to start a business. In Serbia and Montenegro a company is incorporated by registering the founding deed. The founders may further describe their partnership in a separate contract if they wish, but the contract need not be registered. Thirty-six days are saved.

Eliminate annual renewal of licenses

Fifty-six countries require businesses to obtain licenses as part of start-up. In half—nearly all in Africa and Latin America—these business licenses must be renewed annually. The main purpose in both regions seems to be to shake down the business for money. The beneficiaries differ. In Africa it is usually the ministry of industry. In Latin America it is the municipality.

In Africa inspections often precede the renewal. In Eritrea, Ghana, Malawi, Tanzania, Uganda and Zimbabwe the inspector visits the premises of the business to verify that it has not changed the nature of its activity. "I always worry, as the inspector does not have to justify the reason for rejection," says Naomi, a baker in Lesotho. Businesses often resort to bribes to obtain approval. In Malawi the Ministry of Trade and Industry first verifies whether the location and business use of premises are consistent with the city code. Next, a notice of the license renewal application is posted in the licensing authority's offices for 21 days. Anyone can contest the renewal.


This is not to say that businesses should not be inspected or that licenses should not be withdrawn if businesses have violated sanitary or other codes. Indeed, the next chapter, on dealing with licenses, recommends more focus on risk-based inspections. But license renewal should not be an annual rite, and only violators should pay fines.

Why reform?

If it is easy to set up a business, more businesses are set up. Entrepreneurs registered nearly 1,500 more firms in Serbia and Montenegro in the first half of 2005 than in the first half of 2004—a 42% jump (figure 2.5). This is not an aberration. Following reform, new entry jumped by 28% in Vietnam, 22% in Romania and 16% in Belgium.

There are other benefits. One is the associated increase in investment. Analysis in one study suggests that if Algeria brought its entry regulation to the level in Turkey, it could boost business investment by up to 30%.³ Other studies show that another benefit is new jobs.⁴ In Afghanistan the entry of new companies in 2004 brought 120,000 formal sector jobs.


FIGURE 2 5 More entry after reform



Cumbersome entry procedures push entrepreneurs into the informal economy, where businesses pay no taxes and many of the benefits that regulation is supposed to provide are missing. Workers lack health insurance and pension benefits. Products are not subject to quality standards. Businesses cannot obtain bank credit or use courts to resolve disputes. Women are disproportionately hurt, since they make up 75% of informal employees. Corruption is rampant, as bureaucrats have many opportunities to extract bribes.⁵ These effects were reported in depth in *Doing Business in 2004*. Enticing enterprises into the formal economy through easier start-up procedures has 2 economic benefits. First, formally registered enterprises, because they have less need to hide from government inspectors and the police, grow to more efficient sizes. On average formal enterprises produce 40% more than informal enterprises in the same sectors, as reported in *Doing Business in 2005*. Second, formal enterprises pay taxes, increasing the tax base for government revenue. As more companies move into the formal economy, governments can lower the corporate tax burden. This gives every business more incentive to produce.

Notes

- 1. Witness the discussion in the European Union (EU 2004).
- 2. World Bank (2003).
- 3. Alesina and others (2003).
- 4. Fonseca, Lopez-Garcia and Pissarides (2001) and Ebell and Haefke (2003).
- 5. Djankov and others (2002), Svensson (2003) and Dreher, Kotsogiannis and McCorriston (2004).



"If a builder builds a house and does not construct it properly, and if the house falls in and kills its owner, that builder shall be put to death." So says the Code of Hammurabi, written around 1800 BC. This law made construction an unpopular business and housing in ancient Babylon expensive. Indeed, the cost of building then was nearly as high as in Sydney today.¹

The treatment of builders has softened considerably since Hammurabi's time. A tradeoff remains between protecting the lives of people (including tenants, construction workers and passersby) and containing the cost of building. Most reforms of building codes are driven by concerns about safety. For example, the Great London Fire of 1666, which destroyed two-thirds of the city, led to stricter construction rules 2 years later. Similarly, the San Francisco earthquake of 1906 triggered new building legislation in California and 16 other U.S. states.

Stricter codes result in fewer deaths—except when regulation is so burdensome that construction moves to the informal economy. In *The Mystery of Capital*, Hernando de Soto estimates that illegal buildings in cities of developing countries, constructed without required permits and inspections, have a value of \$6.7 trillion.² In the wake of the earthquake that hit Algiers in May 2003, the *Daily Star* reported that "many buildings crumbled like sandcastles, disclosing major violations of building codes. Few buildings followed required construction practices." In Turkey, another earthquake zone, 40% of new construction is estimated to be illegal. In the Philippines, 57%. In Egypt, 90%.³ In some countries it is expensive to comply with building rules. A recent cross-country survey conducted for this report compared the procedures, time and cost required for a typical medium-size company to construct a 2-story warehouse with an area of 1,300 square meters (14,000 square feet). The warehouse would comply with all zoning and building regulations. It would also have electricity, water and sewerage connections and a regular telephone line. Because warehouses do not house people, there are fewer safety concerns than with construction of offices and homes. At worst, a company's goods could be destroyed by fire, collapse or flooding. What would it take to build such a warehouse legally?

TABLE 3.1

Where is building a warehouse easy-and where not?

Easiest	Most difficult		
New Zealand	Bosnia and Herzegovina		
Japan	Guatemala		
Denmark	Russia		
Singapore	Iran		
Thailand	Guinea		
Estonia	Egypt		
Norway	Zimbabwe		
Australia	Croatia		
Sweden	Burkina Faso		
Ireland	Tanzania		

Note: Rankings on the ease of dealing with licenses are the average of the country rankings on the procedures, time and cost of building a warehouse. See the Data notes for details.

In New Zealand a builder would have to complete 7 procedures requiring 65 days and \$6,800—a third of the average annual income—to comply with all regulations. That excludes the time and cost of building. Inspections are contracted to private companies. The efficiency of regulatory and inspection services makes New Zealand the world's easiest place to build a warehouse (table 3.1). In Denmark it takes 7 procedures, 70 days and \$31,800, or three-quarters of the average annual income, making Denmark the third easiest place to build a warehouse.

It is not only rich countries that achieve the right balance between safety and costs. Mauritius is among the easiest countries in which to build a warehouse (figure 3.1). And it has the same low rate of construction accidents as Hong Kong (China).

Construction licenses are just one type of business license. Here they are used as the starting point for the discussion of licensing because construction is among the largest sectors in every economy. In OECD countries it accounts for nearly 10% of national income. Moreover, the rationale for such licensing is straightforward: well-built homes and offices save lives. Elsewhere, the rationale for licensing is not always clear. Why do hairdressers in Zambia need a license? Or laundromat owners in Botswana? Couriers in Tanzania? Licensing reform addresses these questions.


Who is reforming?

Poland was the most active reformer of business licensing in 2004, with the Freedom of Economic Activity Act repealing more than 600 licensing and permit requirements at the national and municipal levels (table 3.2). This reform had 2 goals: reducing the number of licensing regulations and requiring that any new ones be approved by parliament. For parliament to consider new licensing legislation, a cost-benefit analysis must be submitted. The new law also limits to 4 weeks the time that small businesses have to spend dealing with inspections, licensing requirements and permit renewals. This target will be achieved by placing time limits on the services of authorizing agencies.

Poland's example spurred reforms in Bulgaria and Georgia. In Bulgaria the new Law on Administrative Regulation replaced 1,500 previous pieces of legislation. It defines principles for introducing new licensing regimes and requires that they be accompanied by assessments of compliance costs. As in Poland, the law also sets time limits on processing of licensing requests. There is one weakness in Bulgaria's law: it does not provide a

FIGURE 3 1

Building a warehouse in Mauritius—easy



Source: Doing Business database

Striking the right balance between ease of doing business and consumer safety requires continuous reform. In Australia new licensing regulations contain provisions that cause them to automatically expire after a certain period unless renewed by parliament. Sweden takes a "guillotine" approach to licensing reform, where hundreds of obsolete licenses are canceled after the government periodically requires regulators to register essential ones.

TABLE 3.2 Main licensing reforms in 2004

Reform	Country	
Cut the number of licenting regimes	Bulgaria, Georgia, Poland	
Simplified licensing and inspections	Argentina, Canada, Netherlands	
Introduced private inspections	Finland	
Established time limits on issuing licenses	Bulgaria, Poland, Serbia and * fortenegro	
Adopted new building codes	Croatia, Vietnam	
Source: Doing Business database.		

"silence is consent" rule in most business licensing. This oversight has already caused problems with addressing delays in the issuance of licenses.

Georgia's Law on Issuance of Licenses and Permits reduces from 909 to 159 the types of activities subject to licensing. For example, the Ministry of Agriculture can issue only 2 types of licenses: for processing fish and producing baby food. Any activity not mentioned in the law does not need a license.

Canadian construction companies used to com-

plain that building requirements grew every time they met with municipal officials. It worked like this: Once a business received a site plan approval and a building permit, it hired a builder. The builder would then go to the municipality and receive a list of technical requirements. Once these were incorporated in the building plan, the builder would visit the municipality again—only to receive another list. And so on. There was no limit on how many changes could be required.

That is no longer the case. Regulations issued in 2004 limit municipalities to a single list of requirements. Repeat visits are not necessary. It now takes less than 3 months to fulfill the requirements for building a warehouse in Toronto, putting Canada among the fastest places to complete the process (table 3.3).

Finland introduced private inspections in 2004. Several types of inspections—such as for foundations, steelwork and electrical work—can be performed by builders as long as their employees have the required qualifications. If an electrical system malfunctions, the employee who inspected it bears personal responsibility, and his license will be revoked. Previously the building authority was responsible for inspections and had a staff of trained professionals. Because construction is seasonal, inspectors were stretched thin during the summer and fall but idle in the winter. That approach proved costly. Now inspection costs are borne by builders. But because their employees can both install and inspect, there is less idle time. And no days are lost in scheduling appointments and waiting for inspectors.

A word of caution. Finland's reform will not work in developing countries where revocation of professional licenses is not considered a significant penalty. And it will surely fail in countries where the courts are inefficient and corrupt. There, attempts to recover damages due to the negligence of private inspectors may face long delays and uncertainty about what judges will rule. In such countries reformers would do best to focus on strengthening the government inspectorate.

Several other countries also improved construction licensing in 2004. The Netherlands made its rules on building materials more flexible by exempting several basic types, such as mud and soil, from testing. In addition, building permits now differ based on the size of the proposed construction, with small projects receiving less scrutiny. This reform alone saved the government \$3 million in annual supervision costs.⁴ Argentina unified the granting of fire safety certificates and the final inspecting of buildings. Serbia and Montenegro imposed a time limit for issuing building permits. It now takes fewer than 20 days to receive one.

Croatia and Vietnam adopted new building laws. Croatia's reform simplified procedures. Yet even after the reform, it takes 28 procedures, 278 days and 12 times the average income to comply with all the regulations for building a warehouse in Zagreb. Vietnam passed its first law on construction licensing, a compilation of existing decrees issued by the Ministry of Construction. Several duplicate procedures were abolished, making it possible

TABLE 3 3

Who regulates licensing the least-and who the most?

Procedures (number)

Fewest	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Most	
Denmark	7	Guinea	29
New Zealand	7	China	30
Sweden	8	Egypt	30
Thailand	9	Czech Republic	31
France	10	Kazakhstan	32
Ireland	10	Taiwan, China	32
Germany	11	Turkey	32
Japan	11	Botswana	42
Kenya	11	Burkina Faso	46
Singapore	11	Sierra Leone	48

Time (days)

Least		Most	
Finland	56	Madagascar	356
Korea	60	China	363
New Zealand	65	Cameroon	444
Denmark	70	Brazil	460
United States	70	Nigeria	465
Canada	87	Bosnia and Herzegovina	476
Japan	87	Zimbabwe	481
Mongolia	96	Russia	528
Norway	97	Côte d'Ivoire	569
United Kingdom	115	Iran	668

Cost (% of income per capita)


	Most	
12.3	São Tomé and Príncipe	1,737
16.1	Ethiopia	1,747
16.7	Serbia and Montenegro	2,195
16.8	Niger	2,920
16.9	Tanzania	4,110
17.3	Mali	4,903
17.5	Burkina Faso	5,002
18.0	Congo, Dem. Rep.	6,516
19.7	Bosnia and Herzegovina	8,735
22.7	Burundi	10,741
	16.1 16.7 16.8 16.9 17.3 17.5 18.0 19.7	12.3São Tomé and Príncipe16.1Ethiopia16.7Serbia and Montenegro16.8Niger16.9Tanzania17.3Mali17.5Burkina Faso18.0Congo, Dem. Rep.19.7Bosnia and Herzegovina

to complete all requirements in 5 months rather than nearly a year, as before the reform.

Overall, though, little such reform occurred in poor countries, despite their having the highest regulatory costs (figure 3.2). While it costs less than the average annual income to comply with building regulation in rich countries, it costs nearly 10 times the average income in poor countries. And 50 times in Burkina Faso, 65 times in the Democratic Republic of Congo, 87 times in Bosnia and Herzegovina and 107 times in Burundi (see table 3.3). That compares with 12% or less of income in Australia. When relative income is taken into account, licenses and permits for building a warehouse are 600 times as expensive in Burundi as in Mauritius.

FIGURE 3 2 Rich countries make by

Rich countries make building easy



What to reform?

The rationale for licensing business activity is to protect public safety and health. There is good reason to require licenses for businesses that produce food or medicine, or that use hazardous materials—as in the chemical industry—or build homes. Even then, reforms can make it easier for businesses to obtain licenses. This year, suggestions for reform target licenses and inspections in the construction sector. Five such reforms have been successful:

- · Give builders a step-by-step procedure chart.
- Consolidate project clearances at the municipality.
- Introduce risk-based inspections.
- Update zoning maps every 10 years.
- Don't mandate use of specific materials.

Give builders a step-by-step chart

In 2001 it took Latvian businesses 2 years to obtain all the licenses and inspections required to build a warehouse.⁵ "With so many offices to visit, some several times, it was very confusing and much time was lost. I often got contradictory directions on what procedure to do next, driving back and forth around Riga like mad," remembers Ugis, a builder. The government took note and prepared a flowchart showing which offices to visit when and with what documents, and listing the offices' addresses, working hours and contact numbers. This simple reform cut 2 months off the process and saved a lot of money on taxi fares.

Consolidate project clearances

In the Czech Republic builders must visit the fire department, health authority, road management agency, environmental agency and electricity provider twice each. These visits are required to receive technical specifications for construction work and to get sign-off that building plans meet these specifications. Only the fire department inspects construction sites. Negotiating the bureaucracy takes so much time that even the new national stadium in Prague began construction without a building permit.

Italian builders used to face similar problems, so in 2003 the government adopted a consolidated construction law. It centralizes all project clearances into a single office at the municipality. Previously companies had to make separate visits to the fire department, worker safety department, water department, sanitation department, health department, project design department and tax department. That process took an average of 8 months. Now it takes 4.5 months.

Introduce risk-based inspections

In Iceland inspectors visit sites after each stage of construction is completed. The rationale is that once the next stage begins, faulty work may be masked and safety jeopardized. Foundations built? Inspector comes. Steelwork completed? Inspector comes. Drainage system built? Inspector comes. Plumbing installed? Inspector comes. This approach is used in all Nordic countries as well as in Australia, Canada, Ghana, Kenya, South Africa, Uganda, the United Kingdom and Zambia. Not in Sierra Leone. There, municipal inspectors visit construction sites every week. Benin, Botswana, Burkina Faso, Egypt, Guinea and Niger have similar systems. The potential for informal payments is higher in such systems. "He walks around the site, finds a fault and looks expectantly. I pay. The next time it is something else," says Ignace, a contractor in Sierra Leone.

Update zoning maps periodically


In the Serbian city of Belgrade zoning maps date from 1986. Many areas of the city are still deemed agricultural land and so unsuitable for offices or warehouses. To build in such areas, businesses must obtain zoning exemptions that the municipality decides case by case—at a cost of \$1,025 apiece (figure 3.3). New construction projects require a location permit or zoning exemption in 45 other countries as well. Such countries might consider following the example of Bangkok, which updated its zoning map in 2002. When applying for a building permit, a business need only specify the address of its proposed site. No cost is involved. Reform is under way in Serbia and Montenegro too. Zoning will be updated by early 2006.

Don't mandate use of specific materials

Some building codes specify what materials can be used in construction. Such materials are tested for their safety and their technical parameters mandated in the code. This approach works when codes are up-to-date. But

FIGURE 3 3

Building a warehouse in Serbia and Montenegro



they rarely are in transition economies. "The [1967] code asks for a roofing material which is no longer produced—it previously came from the Soviet Union—and the fire department does not approve new materials. You either bribe or use fake receipts with the name of the approved material," says Benko, a hotel owner in Bulgaria. Many roofs are built illegally.

Why reform?


There are four reasons to reform licensing regimes. First, countries with simpler procedures and less costly regimes have larger construction sectors. Second, they have cheaper offices and warehouses for all businesses. Third, reducing the cost and hassle of obtaining licenses keeps more businesses in the formal economy, which may improve safety. Finally, governments can save money with fewer and simpler licenses and inspections.

With fewer burdens on construction, the sector expands (figure 3.4). In the United Kingdom the construction sector accounts for 6.2% of national income. In Australia, 7.4%. But in Nigeria and Togo construction generates less than 1% of income. This is not because there is less construction in Lagos or Lomé, but because many buildings are constructed illegally.

FIGURE 3 4

More procedures—a smaller construction sector in Africa

Size of construction sector (% of GDP)





The effect of burdensome regulation is illustrated by a study of building permits in France.⁶ In 1974 the Ministry of Industry adopted legislation to protect small shopkeepers against competition from chain stores. Zoning permits were issued at the discretion of municipal councils. Few such permits were given. Had this regulation not been introduced, employment in the formal retail sector could be up to 10% higher today.

The cost of renting or owning business premises falls when regulation is easily complied with. At \$1,500 a square meter, building a business site is 8 times as expensive in Switzerland as in Sierra Leone. Yet Switzerland's average income is 300 times Sierra Leone's, and it requires 33 fewer procedures for construction projects. Building office space in Zagreb is as expensive as in Brussels, at \$820 a square meter. It needn't be.


Data from the International Labour Organization show that licensing does not always create safer construction sites.⁷ This finding is consistent with *Doing* *Business in 2004*, which showed that more regulation of the food sector in developing countries did not result in fewer food poisonings.⁸ Faced with high regulatory burdens, entrepreneurs move their activity to the informal economy, where they operate with less concern for safety.

Simplifying licensing regimes also saves the government money. In the Netherlands a program for cutting red tape under the aegis of the Ministry of Finance has saved more than \$2 billion a year. By 2007 savings are expected to reach \$4.5 billion—or a quarter of what the government spent administering licensing regimes and other business controls 5 years earlier. Administrative procedures have been simplified for corporate taxation, social security, environmental regulation and statistical and licensing requirements. An independent agency, Advisory Committee on the Testing of Administrative Burdens, is responsible for studying the costs and benefits of new regulation to ensure it is cost effective.

Notes

- 1. The Code of Hammurabi states that builders should be paid 2 silver shekels per sar (about 12 square feet) or 1.79 shekels a square meter. A silver shekel also bought 20 liters of wine. In June 2005 the average price of a bottle of wine (0.75 liters) in Sydney was \$12. This makes the price of building in Babylon \$613 a square meter in current dollars. The going price in Sydney is \$675.
- 2. De Soto (2000, table 2.1, p. 36).
- 3. De Soto (2000, p. 33).

- 4. Netherlands, Ministry of Finance (2005).
- 5. FIAS (2002).
- 6. Bertrand and Kramarz (2002).
- 7. The correlation between the number of worker accidents and the number of procedures or the cost of complying with building regulation for 67 countries with available data is positive and statistically insignificant.
- 8. World Bank (2003); see also Djankov and others (2002).




Yasmine, a recent graduate from Burkina Faso's University of Ouagadougou, is looking for a job. She finished at the top of her class and has good communication skills and excellent references from her professors. Yasmine is invited to interview with a large manufacturing company. The interview goes well. But an older male candidate gets the job. After several months of fruitlessly searching for a job, Yasmine gives up and joins a relative's business. The business operates informally: in a country of more than 12 million, only 50,000 are employed in the formal private sector.


Yasmine's plight can be explained by rigid employment regulation. In Burkina Faso employers cannot write term contracts unless the job is seasonal or requires special skills. Women can work no more than 8 hours a day and until last year weekend work was not allowed. With rigid regulation, common in developing countries, employers choose conservatively. Some workers benefit—mostly men with years of experience on the job. But young, female and low-skilled workers often lose out, denied job opportunities (figure 4.1).


Inflexible labor markets stifle new job creation and push workers into the informal sector. Three-quarters of informal workers are women. They receive no health benefits, no support for their children, no sick leave and no pensions. If abused by their employer, they have no recourse to the courts, because the employment relationship is not documented. Far from protecting the vulnerable, rigid employment regulations exclude them from the market.

Reforms in employment and social security regulation aimed at increasing labor market flexibility have

FIGURE 4 1








Countries ranked by rigidity of employment index, quintiles

Youth unemployment




Countries ranked by difficulty of hiring index, quintiles

Note: The relationships shown are significant at the 5% level when controlling for income per capita. Source: Doing Business database. World Bank (2004a). WEF (2004).


22 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006

FIGURE 4 2

Who pays the highest social security taxes?



Social security contributions (% of wages)



Opponents of reform argue that because developing countries lack social safety nets, they need more rigid rules on hiring and firing. But social security taxes are nearly universal. Only 10 countries don't levy such taxes: Afghanistan, Bhutan, Cambodia, Lesotho, Malawi, Namibia, New Zealand, Timor-Leste, Tonga and the United Arab Emirates. Bosnia and Herzegovina has the world's highest—for every \$100 a worker earns, her employer pays \$41 in social security taxes. On average, rigid employment regulation is not associated with lower taxes to cover for the risks of unemployment and sickness and for old-age pensions.¹

TABLE 4.1			
Where is	hiring and	firing easy	-and where not?

Easiest	Most difficult	
Hong Kong, China	Portugal	
New Zealand	Congo, Rep.	
United States	Mali	
Singapore	Greece	
Uganda	Romania	
Switzerland	Spain	
Namibia	Sierra Leone	
Australia	Тодо	
United Kingdom	Niger	
Denmark	Burkina Faso	

Note: Rankings on the ease of hiring and firing are the average of the country rankings on the difficulty of hiring, rigidity of hours, difficulty of firing, cost of hiring and the cost of firing indices. See the Data notes for details. Source: Daina Business database.

The countries of Eastern Europe and Central Asia have the highest social security taxes (figure 4.2). West African countries also pay high taxes—19% of wages in Burkina Faso and Togo, 18% in Benin and Senegal. These rates exceed those in Denmark (1%), Iceland (12%) and Norway (14%). Of course, both African and Nordic countries have other taxes, as reported in chapter 8 on paying taxes. But even when all these are added up, a business in Benin or Togo still has to pay more taxes overall than a business in Denmark or Iceland.

In 2004, 2 reforms met less resistance and have increased labor market flexibility. First, making work hours more flexible, as in Serbia and Montenegro. And second, using apprentice contracts as a way to increase employment among first-time workers. Burkina Faso introduced such contracts in 2004. Still, Sub-Saharan Africa accounts for a large share of the countries with the most rigid labor regulations (table 4.1).

Who is reforming?

Eastern European and Central Asian economies led the way in reforming labor markets in 2004. Armenia, Georgia and Serbia and Montenegro reformed employment regulation. And in July 2005 FYR Macedonia adopted a new labor law. The reforms have 2 features in common. First, they make work hours more flexible, by allowing longer overtime and permitting businesses in cyclical industries to shift work hours between slow and peak seasons. Second, they reduce the administrative burdens on dismissals of redundant workers. The new labor code in Serbia and Montenegro allows employers to redistribute work hours across seasons or from periods of slow demand to peak periods. This reform put the country on the top 10 list for the most flexible regulation of work hours (table 4.2). In Poland work hours must balance out within 6 months. In Hungary, within a year. Such reforms make overtime hours more predictable for employees while reducing the costs of unpredictable or cyclical demand for businesses. In 2004 Georgia reduced the notice period for redundancy dismissals from 8 weeks to 4 and suspended

TABLE 4.2

Who regulates employment the least-and who the most?

Difficulty of hiring

Least	Most
Australia	Iran
Georgia	Burkina Faso
Hong Kong, China	Mozambique
Israel	Central African Republic
Malaysia	Congo, Rep.
Mauritius	Sierra Leone
Namibia	Congo, Dem. Rep.
Russia	Mauritania
Switzerland	Morocco
United States	Niger

Rigidity of hours

Least	Most
Canada	Chad
Hong Kong, China	Congo, Rep.
Jamaica	Egypt
Lebanon	Mongolia
New Zealand	Niger
Serbia and Montenegro	Brazil
Singapore	Burkina Faso
Tunisia	Congo, Dem. Rep.
United States	Moldova
Chile	Guinea

Note: See the Data notes for details on the indices on which the rankings are based. *Source: Doing Business* database.

severance payments. This was after the president dismissed 15,000 traffic police, notorious for shaking down motorists for bribes.

Germany was the top reformer in 2004. New businesses can now issue 4-year term contracts for employees. Businesses with fewer than 10 employees are exempt from onerous administrative rules on dismissals. And the maximum time for receiving unemployment pay was cut to 18 months under a new program that unified the unemployment benefits and unemployment insurance programs. Before, a worker could draw unemployment benefits for up to 32 months, getting 60% of his salary—then switch to unemployment insurance, getting half his salary, until he found a suitable job.

The reforms in Germany also make it easier for people looking for new jobs. Employment bureaus are now open on evenings and weekends. And long-term unemployed can take temporary jobs, paid by the government, to brush up their skills.

No country in Latin America undertook labor reform in 2004. Reforms are being discussed in Brazil,

Least	Most	
Costa Rica	Angola	
Hong Kong, China	Cameroon	
Iceland	Egypt	
Japan	Lao PDR	
Kuwait	Sri Lanka	
Oman	Togo	
Saudi Arabia	Ukraine	
Singapore	India	
Thailand	Nepal	
Uruguay	Tunisia	
Rigidity of employment		
Least	Most	
Hong Kong, China	Iraq	
Singapore	Chad	
United States	Mauritania	
New Zealand	Central African Republic	
Malaysia	Тодо	
Zambia	Congo, Rep.	
Jamaica	Sierra Leone	
C. PALC	Burkina Faso	
Saudi Arabia	DUINING Fasu	
Uganda	Congo, Dem. Rep.	

Mexico and Paraguay, but so far to no avail.

Several countries have ongoing reforms. Australia intends to exempt small businesses from certain administrative requirements on dismissals. Earlier reforms already cut the costs of redundancy firings, by reducing both severance pay and the notice period. Fiji is making the contracting of new workers more flexible, for example, by allowing more types of temporary contracts. South Africa plans to reduce the "hiring hassle factor" by waiving minimum wage rules for first-time employees, making it easier for small businesses to dismiss redundant workers and allowing more flexibility on work hours.

Others made employment regulation tougher. In 2004 Kazakhstan limited overtime to 4 hours a week. Sri Lanka increased the maximum severance payments for workers with 20 years of service from 36 months of wages to 48, making it the most expensive place in the world to dismiss workers after Sierra Leone (table 4.3). Morocco limited overtime hours to 100 a year and raised the costs of firing a redundant worker with 20 years of

TABLE 4.3

New Zealand and the United States impose the lowest costs on dismissals

Lowest		Highest	
New Zealand	0	São Tome and Principe	108
United States	0	Turkey	112
Afghanistan	1	Ecuado	131
Australia	4	Mozambique	141
Georgia	4	Indonesia	145
İraq	4	Egypt	162
Nigena	1	Brazil	165
Singapore	4	Sri Lanka	176
Kazakhstan	8	Zambia	176
Switzerland	12	Sierra Leone	188

service from 15.3 months of wages to 19.3 months. In both Sri Lanka and Morocco the rationale for increasing severance payments was to insure workers against the risk of becoming unemployed. In countries that lack efficient unemployment insurance or have none at all, severance payments are the only mechanism for doing so. But by imposing such high costs on businesses, Sri Lanka and Morocco create an even bigger risk for workers: never getting a job in the first place.

Source: Doing Business database

What to reform?

Employment regulations are designed to protect workers from arbitrary, unfair or discriminatory actions by their employers. These regulations—covering issues from mandatory minimum wage, to premiums for overtime work, to grounds for dismissal, to severance pay—have been introduced to remedy apparent market failures. The failures range from the inability to diversify the risk of unemployment to discrimination on the basis of gender, race or age. Social security regulation insures against the risk of unemployment or sickness and, through pensions, against the risk of poverty in old age.

There are some tradeoffs. Rich countries can afford larger social security systems, while poor countries may need to rely more on employment regulation. As countries develop, they can move to more flexible employment regulation and more generous social security. This balance is observed in East Asia and in east and southern Africa, but not elsewhere in the developing world. The imbalance is greatest in Latin America, where countries like Argentina and Brazil have rigid employment regulations and also require businesses to pay higher social security taxes than richer countries like Denmark and the Netherlands.

Rigid employment regulations often end up protecting some existing jobs at the expense of workers in general. For example, high severance payments are usually adopted in the name of reducing the risk of unemployment—but the beneficiaries are people who already have jobs. Meanwhile, the high cost forces employers to cut back on new hiring. The result is that few jobs are created. Far from diversifying risks, such policies reduce the odds of finding a job.

Four reforms to employment and social security regulation can reduce the burden on businesses and expand opportunities for workers:

- Raise the retirement age in countries with an aging population.
- Make the retirement ages for men and women equal.
- Move from severance pay to unemployment insurance.
- Introduce apprentice contracts.

Raise the retirement age in countries with an aging population

In 1889 Chancellor Otto von Bismarck introduced the world's first state pension in Germany. Because the retirement age of 65 was so much greater than the life expectancy of 46, only 1 in 16 Germans drew a pension.

Today Germans live to the age of 79 on average, but the mandatory age for retirement hasn't changed. The cost of maintaining pension benefits has increased many times over. Businesses in Germany pay 9.75% of each worker's salary into a retirement fund. Those in other countries pay even more: 21.6% in Finland, 23.4% in Spain, 23.8% in Italy. With aging populations, these and other rich and middle-income countries face a choice: raise taxes to cover the higher costs of their aging population or raise the retirement age? In 2004 Finland raised the retirement age to 68 years. Israel, to 67.

Finland now has the world's highest retirement age. Iceland and Norway are close behind, at 67. Other OECD countries have a retirement age of 65, a regulation that has been in place since after World War II. Yet life expectancy has risen by a decade and now stands at 80. Requiring more years at work will reduce the burden on social security and make it easier for governments to reduce taxes on business.²

Make the retirement ages for men and women equal

Greek men have 10 years of retired life on average, Greek women 23. Part of this difference is due to the mandated retirement age: 65 for men and 58 for women. Perhaps this is aimed at benefiting women. If so, it fails—because early retirement reduces pension pay.³

Around the world women now live 4 years longer than men on average, and in OECD countries 6 years longer. The difference is largest in Eastern Europe and Central Asia. Women in Belarus and Russia live 12 years longer than men, and those in Lithuania and Ukraine 11 years longer. Yet in 4 of 5 countries in the region the mandatory retirement age for women is lower than that for men (figure 4.3). In Russia, for example, it is 55 years for women and 60 for men. The result: businesses pay high pension contributions—32% of the salary in Ukraine—and lose many of their productive workers early. And women see their career opportunities and pay suffer. An obvious solution is to make the retirement ages equal. In 2004 Slovakia passed legislation to do just that.

Concerned about the unequal treatment of men and women, the European Commission in 1997 obliged its members to adopt identical retirement ages.⁴ Nearly all Western European countries have since done so. Others should do the same—especially their eastern neighbors, where the retirement age gap is largest.

Move from severance pay to unemployment insurance


Rather than requiring high severance payments, which hit a troubled business at the worst possible time during economic downturns—middle-income countries can introduce unemployment insurance. This shifts the focus of regulation from protecting jobs to protecting workers, by helping them deal with the transition to a new job. The Chilean reform of 2002 introduced savings accounts in place of severance payments: the employee pays 0.6% of gross wages and the employer 2.4%, with two-thirds going to an individual account and a third to a common fund. Severance pay is cut from 30 days to 24 for each year worked. Unemployed Chilean workers receive benefits for 5 months, no matter how long they have been insured. The payments are progressively reduced each month, to encourage job searching.

Introduce apprentice contracts

Apprentice contracts are often an easy reform, because of governments' concern for high unemployment among youths. The beneficiaries are easy to target, and businesses have an incentive to keep the workers once they have invested in their training.⁵ The contracts work like this: a business hires first-time employees and trains them for 2 years while paying a share of the mandatory wage, typically 75% or 80%. In richer countries the government covers the difference. After the apprentice period the workers get regular jobs. Nearly every OECD country has such contracts. Burkina Faso introduced them in 2004.

FIGURE 4 3





Countries with equal retirement ages for men and women (%)

Why reform?

The International Labour Organization has established a set of fundamental principles and rights at work, including the freedom of association, the right to collective bargaining, the elimination of forced labor, the abolition of child labor and the elimination of discrimination in hiring and work practices.⁶ Beyond adopting and enforcing these regulations, governments struggle to strike the right balance between labor market flexibility and job stability. Most developing countries err on the side of excessive rigidity, to the detriment of businesses and workers alike.

Flexible employment regulation increases productivity. Analysis suggests that if Paraguay adopted the employment regulations of Chile—moving from the 20th to the 80th percentile on the rigidity of employment index—it might increase its annual productivity growth by up to 1 percentage point. That would represent a doubling of the rate.⁷


But if employment regulation is rigid, businesses seek other means of staying competitive. They hire informal workers, pay them under the table and avoid providing social benefits, as *Doing Business in 2005* reported. And when parents fail to find decent employment, children often end up working too.

Reforms of employment regulation reduce business costs by increasing firms' ability to adjust to new technologies, macroeconomic shocks and inflows of immigrant labor.⁸ The result is a higher employment rate. In OECD countries with flexible laws, it is 2–2.5 percentage points higher.⁹

Flexible employment regulation also increases the benefits of trade liberalization.¹⁰ As the economy opens, competition from now-cheaper imports drives jobs away from less productive sectors and into more productive ones, expanding the economy. But this happens only if workers can move. Where barriers to hiring and firing are high, labor stays in unproductive sectors. The result is less job creation and a loss of competitiveness, as in much of Latin America in the past decade. These are not the kinds of effects legislators had in mind.

Notes

- 1. In particular, there is no correlation between social security taxes and the rigidity of employment index.
- 2. World Bank (2005b).
- 3. Meadows (2003).
- 4. European Council (1997).
- 5. A number of countries have conducted studies on the effectiveness of such reform in attracting young employees and providing them on-the-job training. All have found positive results. See, for example, Neumark and Wascher (2004).
- 6. ILO (various years). Economic studies show that the presence of such fundamental rights improves productivity.
- 7. Caballero and others (2005).
- 8. Angrist and Kugler (2003).
- 9. Blanchard and Philippon (2004) and Pierre and Scarpetta (forthcoming).
- 10. Bolaky and Freund (2004).



"It is slow and bureaucratic to the point of being dysfunctional. The procedures are unclear, can only be learned with experience and can only be guessed at by reading the law. They are not uniform but rather vary from municipality to municipality. The cost is beyond 95% of the citizens." So says João about registering property in Mozambique. No surprise then, that only 10% of properties in Maputo are formally registered. And 20% of those are in dispute.

Things may improve. Last year the government cut the cost to register property in half. No such luck in most other poor countries. It takes 363 days to register property in Bangladesh, but only 1 in Norway and 2 in Sweden. The procedure costs around 21% of the property value in Chad, the Republic of Congo and Zimbabwe, but only 0.1% in Slovakia and New Zealand, the top performer on the ease of registering property (table 5.1). In the Netherlands an entrepreneur can register property online, where she can also check ownership records back to 1832. But in Nigeria an entrepreneur has to complete 21 pen-and-paper procedures, including obtaining the state governor's consent. The process lasts 274 days and requires official fees amounting to more than 27% of the property value. There are few takers. Making property registration simple, fast and cheap lets entrepreneurs focus on their business. And it strengthens property rights by encouraging formal title.¹ Without that title entrepreneurs invest less and find it harder to get credit.² If registering property is cumbersome, ownership quickly slips back to informal. Take the example of Armenia. A \$10 million land reform program was at risk of failure because few chose to register property and subsequent transactions. The government streamlined procedures, cutting fees by 50% and the time required to 6 days. Registrations jumped.³

TABLE 5.1

Where is registering property easy—and where not?	Where	is registering	property eas	y-and where not?
---	-------	----------------	--------------	------------------

Easiest	Most difficult
New Zealand	Tanzania
Lithuania	France
Saudi Arabia	Angola
Slovakia	Madagascar
Norway	Côte d'Ivoire
Sweden	Burkina Faso
Armenia	Uzbekistan
United Arab Emirates	Afghanistan
Iceland	Bangladesh
United States	Nigeria

Note: Rankings on the ease of registering property are the average of the country rankings on the procedures, time and cost to register property. See the Data notes for details.

Who is reforming?


Sixteen countries made it easier to register property in 2004. Most made the process cheaper. A third made it simpler and cut delays. On average the top 10 reformers reduced the number of procedures by 12%, the time required by 16% and the cost by 43% (figure 5.1).

Countries in Eastern Europe and Central Asia led the way in reforming property registration. Georgia the top reformer in 2004—made the most progress. The newly created Agency of Public Registry offers expedited registration and combines other procedures to allow entrepreneurs to obtain a registry extract, certificate of property boundaries and proof of no other claims all at the same time. Before, that took visits to 3 agencies. The time required fell from 39 days to 9, and the procedures from 8 to 6 (figure 5.2). Georgia also cut fees and eliminated the transfer tax, reducing the costs of registration by 75%.

Latvia launched an expedited option for obtaining information from the State Land Service. The entrepreneur pays an extra \$5.20, but gets results 5 times as fast. Slovakia abolished its 3% transfer tax, eliminating the double taxation with income tax and entering the top 10 list for ease of registering property. The cost fell to only 0.1% of the property value, and 2 steps—the official property valuation and payment of the transfer tax—were cut.

Poland and Serbia and Montenegro are seeing the benefits of comprehensive reform. By starting to computerize land records, Poland freed up 7 more days of an entrepreneur's time for business. Further improvements are expected as more records go online. Croatia is starting similar reforms. Serbia and Montenegro shortened FIGURE 5.1

Top 10 reformers in property registration in 2004





Source: Doing Business database.

the registration process by 40% by unifying its registration system—linking the physical boundary maps of the cadastre with the legal records of the land registry. Titles are also more accurate now. The new procedures cover 65% of the country, and delays are expected to drop further as the coverage is completed.

OECD countries already had the most efficient property registration and they improved even more in 2004. Switzerland eliminated its 1% transfer tax, entering the top 10 ranking on least costly property registration (table 5.2). Now registering costs only 0.4% of the property value. The Netherlands simplified property registration to just 2 procedures and 2 days by introducing online title search, execution and registration—and moved up into the top 10 list for both procedures and time. Australia also launched online services, cutting the time from 7 days to 5—but raised costs by 50%.







In Latin America, Honduras and the Dominican Republic adopted new property laws. The new Honduran law takes registration out of the courts and places it under a new agency that reports to the executive branch. Honduras also cut the cost of registration by a fifth by reducing the transfer tax and registration fee and eliminating the stamp duty. The Dominican Republic's law sets time limits on registration and guarantees that the property is not transferred during the time that the registrar takes to transfer the title. A separate reform in 2004 reduced taxes from 4% of the property value to 3%. Elsewhere in the region, Ecuador abolished the registration tax and tax payments to the defense board and water company, cutting 2 procedures and reducing the cost by 13%. Other countries have also started efforts to streamline registration. Argentina is digitizing records and regularizing informal titles. And in Mexico a new property registration law in Baja California may bring future improvements in efficiency.

The Middle East saw 2 reforms. In Saudi Arabia a new law was adopted, establishing the country's first property registry. Until the law takes effect in late 2005, registration will continue to take place before a notary at no cost—an efficient but less secure titling system. Egypt cut the property registration fee by a third, from 4.5% of the property value to 3%.

Reforms in South Asia and Africa also targeted costs. The Indian state of Maharashtra halved the stamp duty from 10% of the property value to 5%. Pakistan reduced its duty from 3% to 2%. In Africa only Mozambique made improvements. But progress was significant, with the transfer tax cut from 10% of the property value to 2.4%, the largest cost reduction by any country.

Not all news was good. For every 4 countries that made registering property easier, another made it harder. Kenya imposed an official valuation of property before transfer. That added a step and sharply increased delays—from 39 days to 73. The biggest backslide took place in Bhutan, which added a new procedure at the court and lengthened existing ones, extending the time to register property by 28 days. Other countries increased fees. Cambodia raised its cadastral transfer fee from 20,000 riels to 350,000, increasing the total cost by 12%. Guatemala increased costs by 20%. Chad increased notary fees, transfer taxes and registration taxes, raising the total cost from an already steep 17% of the property value to 21%.

TABLE 5.2

Who regulates property registration the least—and who the most?

Procedures (number)

Ukraine Afghanistan	10 11
Afghanistan	11
Bangladesh	11
Greece	12
Tanzania	12
Uzbekistan	12
Brazil	15
Ethiopia	15
Algeria	16
Nigeria	21
	Greece Tanzania Uzbekistan Brazil Ethiopia Algeria

Time (days)

Least		Most	
Norway	1	Nigeria	274
Nepal	2	Bosnia and Herzegovina	331
Netherlands	2	Angola	334
New Zealand	2	Bangladesh	363
Sweden	2	Côte d'Ivoire	369
Thailand	2	Rwanda	371
Lithuania	3	Ghana	382
Iceland	4	Slovenia	391
Saudi Arabia	4	Haiti	683
Australia	5	Croatia	956

Cost (% of property value)

Least		Most	
Saudi Arabia	0.0	Central African Republic	17.3
Slovakia	0.1	Senegal	18.0
New Zealand	0.1	Burundi	18.9
Belarus	0.1	Cameroon	19.0
Switzerland	0.4	Mali	20.0
Azerbaijan	0.4	Chad	21.3
Russia	0.4	Congo, Rep.	22.1
United States	0.5	Zimbabwe	22.6
Estonia	0.5	Nigeria	27.1
Armenia	0.5	Syria	30.4

What to reform?

To ease property registration, *Doing Business in 2005* recommended simplifying and combining procedures, linking and then unifying the agencies involved and providing easier access to the registries. It warned against viewing technology as a panacea, especially in poor countries. The analysis here shows that those reforms work. Here are 3 more ways to ease property registration:

- Make registration an administrative process.
- Simplify taxes and fees.
- Make the involvement of notaries optional.

Make registration an administrative process


Property registration is an administrative matter, not a judicial one. Involving the courts in the process costs the entrepreneur on average 70% more time (figure 5.3). Croatia, Bosnia and Herzegovina and Slovenia have some of the longest court delays, extending the registration process by months or even years. Poland's 2004 reforms to digitize land records helped. But they missed the main bottleneck—a 6-month court delay.

Judges who deal with property registration have less time for their main business—resolving commercial disputes. Poland and Syria involve judges. They also rank among the bottom countries on the time to enforce commercial contracts. In Croatia and Slovenia property transactions in the courts account for half the case backlog. One solution: let court clerks, not judges, handle the registration, as in Norway and Sweden.

Taking registration entirely out of the courts means that it can be more easily unified or linked with the cadastre. That makes it easier to detect overlapping and duplicate titles, saving time in due diligence and improving the security of property rights. Entrepreneurs in countries where the cadastre and registry are in the same agency spend on average 40 fewer days to register property. Unifying the cadastre and registry is the second most needed reform cited by Doing Business respondents (after computerization). Among countries that involve courts in registration, only 15% have a unified cadastre and registry. Among countries without courts in the process, more than half have a unified registry and cadastre. El Salvador took registration out of the courts and unified the registry with the cadastre in 1999, cutting several months off the time to register property. Honduras is following its lead in 2005, and so is Serbia and Montenegro. Sweden plans to do the same.

FIGURE 5 3

Courts-a major bottleneck in registering property



Simplify taxes and fees


To register a property transfer, a Filipino entrepreneur has to visit 3 agencies—to pay a registration fee, then a transfer tax, then a stamp duty. On top of that he pays for the deed to be notarized. And after that he pays capital gains tax and value added tax. Another 41 countries require 3 different types of transaction taxes. More than 20 of those require notarization, value added tax or capital gains tax as well.

In countries that demand a range of fees and taxes for property registration, the cost is significantly higher, even relative to country income levels. These countries also have more procedures, since each fee is usually paid at a different agency. The higher cost encourages informal transactions and underreporting of property values. And the complex process breeds opportunities for corruption. The solution is to consolidate fees. Thirty-one countries, from Mongolia to Denmark, combine the fees into a single payment, usually at the property registry.

Beyond simplifying payments, reformers can cut them. That doesn't mean reducing revenues. Take India. There, transfer costs of around 13% of the property value were discouraging formal real estate transactions. Evasion was rife. Some buyers did not record their transactions. Others used cumbersome and often less secure ways to legally avoid taxes, such as replacing deeds with cooperative housing, long-term leases, agreements without possession and transfers under court decrees. And a \$17 billion business in fraudulent stamps was thriving, to the benefit of some police officials.⁴ In July 2004 the state of Maharashtra cut stamp duties from 10% to 5%—and boasted a 20% increase in overall stamp duty revenues, about 80% of which come from property transfers

FIGURE 5 4





Source: Maharashtra Ministry of Finance.

(figure 5.4). Now more properties are registered formally and the registry holds better information on property values and on who owns what. That supports the collection of capital gains and property taxes.

Others have discovered the same. Mozambique cut its transfer tax by 75% without affecting revenues much—and increased registrations. Slovakia abolished its transfer tax as a part of broader tax reform, and saw only slight declines in overall revenue. Pakistan saw both registrations and revenues rise slightly over the previous year after cutting its fee. Chad learned the lesson the hard way. In 2004 it hiked registration taxes from 10% of the property value to 15% in an effort to increase revenues. That effort failed. Both revenues and registrations dropped dramatically—so much so that the government announced that it would put the rates back to 10%.

Make the involvement of notaries optional

The Honduran efforts to streamline registration costs looked promising. Transfer taxes and registration fees were halved, and stamp duties abolished altogether. But costs fell only from 8.8% of the property value to 5.8%—still among the highest in Latin America. The reason is that notaries account for the bulk of the costs and their fees remained the same.

Notaries have useful purposes. In some countries they manage the property registry. In most others they prepare the sale-purchase agreement, certify the identity of parties and assure the legality of documents. But this function is often irrelevant in countries where registry officials perform the same tasks. And it significantly increases costs. In countries where notaries are responsible for the entire registration process, costs average 33% higher than in countries that do not require notaries. With such high fees, there is little incentive to change. In Peru overcoming the objections of notaries to property rights reform took considerable effort.⁵

Other countries make it mandatory for a notary or lawyer to prepare the sale-purchase agreement, including Greece, Guatemala and Nigeria. Costs are 26% higher. A better way is to standardize documents. In Thailand, which has among the most efficient property registration, contracts are prepared at the land registry as a part of registration. In Norway registration forms can be obtained on the Internet or in bookstores.


Three of 4 countries manage property registration without compulsory use of notaries. Property rights are no less secure, and efficiency is greater. Some property owners still use notaries, but they do so by choice.

Why reform?


Efficient property registration strengthens property rights. Some would argue that more regulation and a formalized property registration process ensure due diligence, enhancing property rights. But complexity breeds uncertainty, increases transactions costs and offers opportunities for fraud. And more bureaucracy produces more mistakes about who owns what. *Doing Business* respondents rate security of title higher when the number of steps and the time and cost to register property are lower. This remains true even when country income levels are controlled for.

Greater title security stimulates property and credit markets. Enterprise surveys show that access to land and finance are greater with efficient property registration (figure 5.5). More efficient property registration is also associated with more private credit as a share of GDP, more private investment, less corruption and less informality.⁶

Country experience shows the benefits of formalizing title and keeping it that way. Thailand's land reform has issued more than 8.5 million property titles and created one of the most efficient registration systems in the world. Land values and investment almost doubled, and access to credit increased threefold for people with formal titles.⁷ Peru's experience was just as striking. More than 1.3 million titles were issued from the late 1990s, and two-thirds of those issued to individuals FIGURE 5 5
Simple property registration—more access to land and credit



Access to credit perceived as an obstacle



countries ranked by steps to register property, t

Note: Relationships are significant at 5% level and remain significant when controlling for income per capita. *Source: Doing Business* database, World Bank investment climate survey database.


went to women. The time required to formalize property fell from 6 years to 45 days. Registrations of subsequent transactions increased from 58,000 in 1999 to 137,000 in 2003. More than 20,000 mortgages were registered on the new titles. Titled property was improved twice as often as untitled property.⁸ And one study found that more people who had received formal title were employed outside the home, reducing the reliance on child labor for income.9

Property accounts for between half and threequarters of wealth in most economies.¹⁰ In poor countries a large share of it is unregistered. Formalizing title is essential there. And making property registries efficient will ensure that a formal title is more valuable—for getting credit, for investing and for generating growth.

Notes

- Doing Business focuses on registering property. Other important determinants of property rights include types of property tenure, property market controls and coverage and organization of the cadastre. Some of these were discussed in *Doing Business in 2005*. Although *Doing Business* focuses on entrepreneurs, many of the results hold for individual title also.
- 2. See Deininger (2003).
- 3. Burns (2005).

- 4. Alm, Annez and Modi (2004).
- 5. Burns (2005).
- 6. World Bank (2004a).
- 7. Feder (2002).
- 8. Burns (2005) and World Bank (2004a).
- 9. Field (2002).
- 10. Ibbotson, Siegel and Love (1985).



Chinese businesses hold more than \$2 trillion in dead capital—assets that cannot be used as collateral because of restrictions in laws.¹ This is enough to build another Great Wall. Lihong is one of the many entrepreneurs affected: "When I went to get a loan, the loan officer questioned my business plan and passed me to 3 other bankers for interviews on my finances and family wealth. Another bank employee visited the company premises to inspect the business and view my accounts—but

TABLE 6.1

Easiest	Most difficult	
United Kingdom	Algeria	
Hong Kong, China	Congo, Dem. Rep.	
Australia	Egypt	
Botswana	Eritrea	
Germany	Guinea	
Malaysia	Timor-Leste	
New Zealand	Тодо	
Singapore	Lao PDR	
Ireland	Afghanistan	
United States	Cambodia	

Note: Rankings on the ease of getting credit are based on the sum of the strength of legal rights index and the depth of credit information index. See the Data notes for details.

Source: Doing Business database.

none of them could be used to secure the loan. I had to ask my sister to coguarantee it. Why is all this necessary? In Malaysia my cousin gets loans in a day."

Improvements are on the way in China. A draft property law would expand the scope of assets that can be used as collateral. And plans for a credit bureau are being formed. Another 33 countries went further and made it easier to get credit in 2004. Poor countries reformed the most. Many reformers set up or improved credit information systems. A few made it easier to take and enforce collateral (or security). India—the top reformer—did both, establishing a new consumer credit bureau and implementing a much faster proceeding for enforcing collateral agreements. Banks can now check the credit history of more than 12 million borrowers and in some cases, enforce collateral in 6 months rather than 10 years. They report higher loan approval rates and fewer nonperforming loans as a result.

Lenders look at the borrower's credit history and collateral when extending loans. Where credit registries and effective collateral laws are lacking—as they are in most poor countries—banks make fewer loans. Credit to the private sector averages 16% of GDP in the 10 economies ranking at the bottom on how well collateral laws and credit registries facilitate credit markets (table 6.1). In the top 10, credit tops 120% of GDP.

Who is reforming?

In 2004, 25 countries improved the way credit information is shared. Eight new private credit bureaus kicked off operations—in India, Indonesia, the Kyrgyz Republic, Lithuania, Nigeria, Romania, Saudi Arabia and Slovakia. New public registries started up in Armenia and Azerbaijan. Several of the new registries are still getting off the ground and have only sparse coverage of borrowers (table 6.2).

Other reforms to sharing credit information took 5 directions:

- *Passing new regulations.* In 2004 the most popular reform was to eliminate obstacles to sharing credit information through a special law or regulation—as in India, Israel, Kazakhstan, Nicaragua and Russia—or through amendment of the banking act—as in Azerbaijan, Kenya, FYR Macedonia and Mauritius. The revised New Zealand code launched a consumer complaints procedure and strict controls on accuracy of data. The Italian code stressed reliability and time-liness of credit reports, but cut the time that historical data can be stored. Greece and Uruguay introduced new data protection laws designed to safeguard borrowers' privacy and the integrity of data.
- *Expanding the scope of information.* In Bangladesh the public registry incorporated consumer credit card data into its database. The Egyptian registry set up a list of borrowers defaulting on small credit card and car loans. Honduras now requires all banks to report both positive and negative information.² In Lebanon, FYR Macedonia, Romania and Rwanda more comprehensive credit reports are now distributed.
- *Including more loans.* Egypt's public registry cut the minimum loan size above which it collects data from \$6,900 to \$5,200. Lebanon's registry lowered its cutoff from \$6,600 to \$6,000, adding 10,000 more borrowers to the registry. And the public registries in Bulgaria, Iran, Romania and Vietnam scrapped their minimum loan cutoff altogether. In Vietnam coverage of borrowers increased by a third. In Bulgaria it expanded ninefold—although these developments stalled the initiative for a private bureau.
- *Providing data online.* The Bulgarian public registry launched an online system, cutting the time to re-

TABLE 6.2 Who has the most cre	dit information—and who the least?
Borrowers covered as % of adu	lts

Most		Least	
Australia	100	Kyrgyz Republic	0.20
Canada	100	Mauritania	0.19
lceland	100	Chad	0.19
Ireland	100	Nepal	0.14
Norway	100	Kenya	0.14
Sweden	100	Rwanda	0.12
United States	100	Indonesia	0.09
New Zealand	96	Serbia and Montenegro	0.08
Argentina	95	Yemen	0.08
Germany	88	Guinea	0.02

Note: The rankings reflected in the table include only countries with public or private credit registries (111 in total). Another 44 countries have no registry and therefore no coverage. Source: Doing Business database.


trieve data from 3 days to just seconds. The Rwandan central bank computerized the database in its public registry, although data are still collected and distributed manually.

• Enhancing data quality. In Mongolia a penalty of up to \$900 may now be imposed on banks every time they fail to update credit histories. Nepal imposed penalties on banks and their staff for withholding credit information. Coverage of borrowers increased by 13%. More than half of countries impose penalties for distributing erroneous data. But it is possible to go too far. When Thailand made directors of credit bureaus personally liable for errors in 2003, the Thai bureaus closed for 5 months until the requirement was relaxed. Countries can keep penalties moderate and focus on improving quality through data protection laws—for example, by allowing borrowers the right to access their data and to demand corrections.

Ten countries made it easier to create and enforce collateral agreements in 2004: Bosnia and Herzegovina, Brazil, Croatia, Finland, India, Japan, Romania, Serbia and Montenegro, Sweden and Ukraine. Reforms in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Serbia and Montenegro and Ukraine covered all aspects of secured transactions law: creation, priority, registration and enforcement of collateral. The new pledge law in Bosnia and Herzegovina allows general descriptions of assets, permitting a much wider scope of assets to be used as collateral, including inventory and accounts receivable. It streamlined en-

FIGURE 6 1 Big improvements in India

Time to recover collateral (years)



Source: Doing Business database.

forcement and clarified rules on who had the priority claim to collateral. And creditors can now check whether there are other claims to collateral in an electronic unified registry of collateral notices.

Ukraine also expanded the scope of assets that can be used as collateral, and gave secured creditors first priority to their collateral and its proceeds. In addition, it gave creditors the ability to enforce collateral privately, bypassing the lengthy court procedures required before. And a new centralized collateral registry started operating in August 2004. Already the benefits are obvious: more than 15,000 notices of collateral agreements were registered in the first 4 months of operation.

Other countries had success with partial reforms. India made enforcing security significantly easier. The time to enforce fell from up to 10 years to 6 months in some cases because of a new summary proceeding requiring minimal court involvement (figure 6.1). Croatia cut several months from enforcement by making it harder for debtors to delay the enforcement process. Movable collateral can now be seized and sold not just by the courts but also by authorized private firms. And Japan broadened the scope of permissible collateral to include future accounts receivable. Previously only receivables on current transactions could be used as security.

Other reformers eased the recovery of collateral from bankrupt firms. In Brazil the claims of secured creditors now have priority over taxes in bankruptcy. In Portugal creditors were given more powers to participate in a bankrupt firm's reorganization. In Romania secured creditors can now seek exceptions to an automatic stay—

TABLE 6.3

Who has the most legal rights for borrowers
and lendersand who the least?

Strength of legal rights index (0-10)

	Least	
10	China	2
10	Haiti	2
10	Lao PDR	2
9	Peru	2
9	Yemen	2
9	Egypt	1
9	Rwanda	1
8	Turkey	1
8	Afghanistan	0
8	Cambodia	0
	10 10 9 9 9 9 9 8 8	10China10Haiti10Lao PDR9Peru9Yemen9Egypt9Rwanda8Turkey8Afghanistan

Note: See the Data notes for details on the index Source: Doing Business database.

or ban—on collecting their collateral in bankruptcy. And in Sweden creditors are able to take more flexible types of collateral with a new floating charge covering 55% of a debtor's assets and with priority for the creditor.

Not all reforms are working yet. Two years ago in Serbia and Montenegro, an entrepreneur could not use movable property as collateral without giving the creditor possession of the asset. Hardly practical. Now that a new law on pledges came into effect in January 2004, that entrepreneur can use almost all movable property as collateral without giving up possession. If she defaults, the creditor can by law collect the collateral without going through the courts. But collateral agreements are valid only after registration, and the registry is not yet running.

There is much to do. Laws in Lao PDR do not allow businesses to pledge movable assets without surrendering possession of the assets, ranking the country among the bottom 10 on legal rights for borrowers and lenders (table 6.3). Women in Lesotho cannot pledge assets without their husband's consent. Nicaragua requires a specific description of assets in collateral agreements. That makes it impossible to use changing pools of assets such as inventory or accounts receivable as collateral. Entrepreneurs there need more than twice the value of the loan in collateral.

In China more than 10 separate government agencies register security interests. None are linked across geographic regions, and all are paper based. Each registry requires a substantive review of the collateral agreement, yet other countries have shown that only a notice of the agreement is needed.

What to reform?

Businesses have greater access to credit in countries where credit registries distribute both positive and negative information; include data from trade creditors, retailers and utilities; and collect and make information available electronically. Access to loans is also expanded in countries where collateral laws allow all assets to be used as collateral, provide for a unified registry of security interests and permit out-of-court enforcement of collateral agreements. All these features are consistent with reforms discussed in earlier editions of *Doing Business*.

Four other reforms expand access to credit:

- Eliminate legal obstacles to sharing credit information.
- Focus public registries on supervision.
- Give secured creditors clear priority to collateral.
- · Introduce summary enforcement proceedings.

Eliminate legal obstacles to sharing information


Secrecy provisions in banking laws and data protection or privacy laws sometimes prohibit sharing credit information. In Uzbekistan a credit bureau registered in 2000 is still unable to operate because it lacks the legal authority to share data. In Slovakia the bureau's launch was delayed because laws restricted collection of historical data. In some countries, such as Bosnia and Herzegovina and Germany, bureaus can circumvent restrictions if would-be borrowers give consent. But in others, including Georgia and Kazakhstan, borrower consent is insufficient.

Laws on credit information can provide clear authority to collect and distribute data. In 2004, 15 countries introduced regulations to eliminate obstacles to data sharing (other reforms were administrative). In rich countries the trend is for new data protection laws to safeguard borrowers' privacy and create incentives for registries to maintain highquality data (figure 6.2). In the Czech Republic laws were amended to permit sharing between financial and nonfinancial institutions. Laws in Croatia, Iceland, India and Slovakia still prohibit this. In another 40% of countries bureaus do not share data with nonfinancial institutions. In these countries businesses have significantly less access to credit.

Governments can also compel lenders to submit consumer data to bureaus, as Israel, Kazakhstan and Russia have done through new laws. Colombia, Hong Kong (China), Mexico and 7 other economies create automatic demand for bureaus by requiring financial institutions to consult with bureaus before extending credit.

Focus public registries on supervision

Public registries can substitute for private bureaus in poor countries. There, and in other highly concentrated lending markets, it may be difficult to start a private credit bureau because the demand for credit information may be too low to make the bureau profitable. Establishing a public registry offers the advantage of rapid setup because it relies on central bank regulation rather than new laws. Enforcement by bank supervisors can counter lenders' unwillingness to participate. Starting a public registry is cheap: the one in Mozambique cost less than \$1 million. And public registries usually get the job done—a recent study shows that in poor countries they increase the flow of credit to businesses.³



Source: Doing Business database.

But public registries are less effective than private bureaus in most countries (figure 6.3). Private bureaus are better set up to serve lenders, covering 5 times as many borrowers and scoring 73% higher on the depth of credit information index. Fewer than 10% of public registries report offering such services as credit scoring or borrower monitoring—compared with 90% of private bureaus. But in some countries private bureaus are struggling because public registries are performing some of the same functions—as in Armenia, Bolivia, Bulgaria, Indonesia and Malaysia. In Belgium the private bureau closed down in 2003 after the public registry expanded its operations.

Public registries can leave room for private bureaus by focusing on loan information that matters for systemic risk—typically large loans for corporations—as those in Austria, Germany and Saudi Arabia do. The Colombian central bank went further and closed its public registry, keeping the data only for the purpose of supervising banks. In countries where public registries focus on bank supervision, private bureaus score higher on the depth of credit information index and cover more borrowers.

Another solution is to join forces. The bureaus in India, Sri Lanka and Thailand were established as publicprivate partnerships. Chile outsourced operation of the registry to a private bureau. The bureaus in Romania, Saudi Arabia and Singapore are private but were set up with support from the central banks. Some public registries collect information from banks and share it with private bureaus, including those in Argentina, Bolivia and the Dominican Republic. And in March 2005 the Nepalese public registry was reincorporated as a limited liability company, 90% owned by financial institutions and 10% by the central bank.

FIGURE 6 3

Components PUBLIC REGISTRIES of the depth of credit information index: PRIVATE BUREAUS Both positive and negative data included No minimum loan cutoff Nonbank creditors provide data Borrowers can inspect data by law Both individuals and firms included More than 2 years of historical data distributed 40 60 0 20 80 100 Share of registries (%) Source: Doing Business database

Private bureaus serve lenders better

Give secured creditors clear priority to collateral

Securing a loan with collateral reduces lending risk and gives entrepreneurs better terms of credit. But these benefits are eroded if the secured creditor loses priority to other claimants—taxes, workers, judgment creditors, buyers of collateral or others. This can happen in bankruptcy or outside it. More credit is available when secured creditors have absolute priority (figure 6.4).

Reforming secured transactions and bankruptcy laws is not always enough to assure priority. Other laws may interfere. The Malawi Companies Act places secured creditor claims first, but the labor laws put worker claims ahead. In China the security law gives priority to the secured creditor, while the tax law allows it to be taken away in favor of unpaid taxes. Reformers need to ensure that such conflicts are addressed when revising laws.


Priority rules work best with a unified collateral registry, so that prospective lenders can easily establish whether there is a prior claim on an asset. And even if other claimants are given priority—a second best for credit markets—laws can require that such claims be registered in the collateral registry. Ukraine's reform made registration mandatory for all claimants, even the state. If tax liens are not registered, they lose priority. That way banks can account for the risk, and choose whether or not to lend.

Introduce summary enforcement proceedings

With summary proceedings, collateral enforcement is resolved quickly when it winds up in court. Only 2 pieces of evidence need to be presented to a court in a summary proceeding: a valid security agreement and proof of default. Fifty-six countries have summary proceedings. Those that do require 50% less time to enforce collateral



More credit when secured creditors have priority



Note: Relationships are significant at 5% level when controlling for income per capital growth enforcement and credit information. *Source: Doing Business* database. than countries that rely on other judicial measures.

When creditors can seize and sell collateral without resorting to the courts, enforcement can be even faster. But summary proceedings are also important as a backstop to out-of-court enforcement.

Albania introduced a summary proceeding in 1999. With a registered collateral agreement in place, a creditor may seek an enforcement order from the court when a

Why reform?


Businesses get better access to loans and better terms of credit when creditors and borrowers have stronger legal rights and good credit information is available.⁴ Take Romania. In 1999 it expanded the scope of collateral, clarified priority rules and expedited enforcement. It also set up an online collateral registry. Since then more than 200,000 notices of security interests have been registered. The number of borrowers has increased three-fold, and the volume of credit by 50%. And interest rates have fallen.⁵ After Slovakia adopted laws permitting the pledging of movable assets, more than 70% of new business credit was secured by such collateral—and private credit jumped by 10% in a year.


Benefits flow beyond access to credit. Countries with more legal rights for borrowers and lenders and

borrower defaults, after giving the debtor 5 days' notice. The order is issued within a few days. An execution officer may then seize the collateral and deliver it to the creditor. Enforcement takes less than a month. Bulgaria, India and Romania have introduced similar proceedings. Such reforms are especially successful when they limit grounds for appeal and allow enforcement to proceed pending appeal.


more credit information have fewer nonperforming loans and lower financial system risk (figure 6.5). With better-functioning credit markets, investment and growth increase.⁶ Small firms and women, who face the biggest hurdles in accessing credit, are the ones who gain most when collateral laws and credit information support lending decisions.⁷

Some governments attempt to protect borrowers by weakening creditors' ability to take and enforce security. Laws in Benin and Syria cap the interest rates that lenders can charge. Côte d'Ivoire and Italy make a bankrupt debtor immune from debt collection for the entire insolvency proceeding—by which time the bankruptcy estate is whittled to nothing. This is folly. The best way to help borrowers is to improve credit information and make it easier to take and enforce collateral.





Moody's strength of financial system




Note: Relationships are significant at 5% level and remain significant when controlling for income per capita. Source: Doing Business database, IMF (2005a).

Notes

- 1. Based on national statistics.
- 2. Positive information covers loans outstanding and payment behavior on accounts in good standing; negative information covers defaults and arrears.
- 3. Djankov, McLiesh and Shleifer (2005).
- 4. Davydenko and Franks (2005), Qian and Strahan (2005),

Djankov, McLiesh and Shleifer (2005) and Avery, Calem and Canner (2004).

- 5. Chaves, de la Peña and Fleisig (2004).
- 6. Acemoglu (2001) and King and Levine (1993).
- 7. World Bank (2004a) and Love and Mylenko (2003).



Executives at Elf Aquitaine, France's largest oil company, took bribes in exchange for awarding business deals. Along with the extra cash, they got 7 years in jail and a 2 million euro fine for abuse of power.¹ Russian oil firm Gazprom purchased materials for new pipelines through intermediaries owned by company officers. The high prices charged for the materials raised eyebrows, but not court battles.²

Big cases like these make headlines, but looting by corporate insiders occurs every day on a smaller scale. And it often goes undetected. Protecting investors against self-dealing—the use of corporate assets for personal gain—is necessary for equity markets to develop. It is just one corporate governance issue, but it is the most important one. Other issues in investor protection—for example, writing management contracts that provide incentives for optimal investment decisions—are not discussed in the chapter.

To document investor protections against selfdealing, *Doing Business* measures how countries would regulate a standardized case.³ The case facts are simple. Mr. James, who owns 60% of the stock in a public company and sits on its board, proposes that the company purchase 50 used trucks from a private company of which he owns 90%. The price is higher than the going price. Mr. James benefits from the transaction, since for each dollar that his private company receives, 40 cents come from income belonging to the minority investors in the public company. This is not fraud—such transactions are perfectly legal if proper disclosures are made and approvals obtained. Several questions arise. Who approves the transaction? What information must be disclosed? What company documents can investors access? What do minority shareholders have to prove to stop the transaction or to receive compensation from Mr. James? An index of investor protection is constructed based on these and other answers.

New Zealand protects investors against self-dealing the most (table 7.1). Singapore is next. Regulation is so extensive in Singapore that one lawyer describes practicing law there as "tip toeing through the tulips." The countries that best protect against self-dealing have several things in common. They require immediate disclosure of the transaction and Mr. James's conflict of interest. They require prior approval of the transaction by other shareholders. They enable shareholders to hold the company's directors liable and to have the trans-

TABLE 7.1

Where are investors protected—and where not?

Most protected	Least protected	
New Zealand	Costa Rica	
Singapore	Croatia	
Canada	Albania	
Hong Kong, China	Ethiopia	
Malaysia	Iran	
Israel	Ukraine	
United States	Venezuela	
South Africa	Vietnam	
United Kingdom	Tanzania	
Mauritius	Afghanistan	

Note: Rankings are on the strength of investor protection index. See the Data notes for details.

action voided if its terms are unfair. And they permit shareholders who take the company directors to court to access all relevant documents.

Several developing countries protect investors well. Mauritius and South Africa are among the top 10 on the strength of investor protections, and Pakistan is not far behind. But on average poor countries regulate selfdealing less than rich countries, especially in requiring disclosure. Albania, Guatemala, Tunisia and 7 other countries require no public disclosure on "related-party" transactions like the one involving Mr. James. They also permit the top manager to approve the transaction rather than requiring that the "disinterested shareholders" —those who do not stand to gain personally—approve the deal.

Some poor countries try to compensate for the lack of shareholder access to information and the courts by relying on government inspectors (figure 7.1). "Shareholders find this cheaper, more efficient and more effective than bringing the suit themselves, both because they don't have to pay for it and because inspectors can require more information from the defendant," a Tunisian lawyer explained. This is a second-best choice when private action is unlikely to bring results. But government regulators may have different incentives than the minority investors—for example, in deciding which cases to investigate.

Who is reforming?


Fifteen economies reformed investor protections in 2004 (table 7.2). Thailand was the top reformer. It now requires that in listed companies, directors *and* shareholders without personal interest in the deal must approve any related-party transaction. Such transactions are defined broadly—for example, by including family members and other entities controlled by a director—cutting off many loopholes.

Spain introduced directors' duty of loyalty into its corporate law for public firms. Directors must now put the best interests of the company above any personal gains they might receive through the company's operations. Spain also requires a semiannual "corporate governance report." Listed companies must report any related-party transactions and document their compliance with the new corporate governance guidelines.

Turkey now requires companies to post an "investor relations" page on their website. The page must reveal directors' ownership and information on privileged

FIGURE 7.1

Rich countries regulate more against self-dealing



Source: Doing Business database.

The payoff from protecting investors is large. Where expropriation of minority investors is curbed, equity investment is higher and ownership concentration lower.⁴ Investors gain portfolio diversification. Entrepreneurs gain access to cash. Without investor protections, equity markets fail to develop and banks become the only source of finance. Yet weak collateral or property registration systems block many businesses in poor countries from obtaining even bank loans. The result: businesses do not reach efficient size for lack of financing, and economic growth is held back.

shares as well as board minutes and transactions made by directors. Vietnam asked managers and directors to publish their ownership stakes in the company for the first time, including the ownership interests of spouses and children.

Jamaica defined standards of care for directors in its new Companies Act. For example, directors with accounting training will have to review the company's financial statements with the eye of an accountant, not merely "with the judgment and care of a reasonable person," as before.

Pakistan introduced tough penalties for violating self-dealing regulations. In July 2004 the National Accountability Ordinance mandated criminal liability for managers and directors who "fraudulently misappropriate any property entrusted" to them. Conviction comes with unlimited fines and up to 14 years in jail. But experience in other countries—including those with the toughest liability for directors (table 7.3)—suggests that judges are seldom willing to put businesspeople behind bars.

TABLE 7.2

Increased disclosure was the most popular reform in 2004

Reform	Economy
Increased disclosure requirements	Israel, Italy, Pakistan, Spain Thailand, Turkey, Vietnam
Regulated related-party transactions	Jamaica, Thailand
Defined directors' duties	Jamaica, Namibia, Spain
increased penalties for self-dealing	Malaysia, Pakistan
Strengthened auditing requirements	Costa Rica, Indonesia, Thailand
Introduced governance codes	Hong Kong (China), Iceland, Turkey
Allowed class-action suits	Когеа

Source: Doing Business database.

Iceland and Turkey adopted corporate governance codes for public companies. While compliance is voluntary, companies straying from the recommendations must explain in public reports why they did not follow them.

Malaysia and Thailand introduced incentives for better investor protection. Kuala Lumpur's stock exchange now offers an annual award for the company with the best corporate governance, as measured by

Who regulates the liability of directors the most—and who the least?

TABLE 7.3

Most	Least
New Zealand	Nepal
Singapore	Moldova
Malaysia	Zimbabwe
Canada	São Tomé and Príncipe
United States	Lebanon
Cambodia	Vietnam
Israel	Senegal
Hong Kong, China	Mexico
South Africa	Dominican Pepublic
Mauritius	Afghanistan

Note: Rankings are on the extent of director liability index. See the Data notes for details. *Source: Doing Business* database.

compliance with the "best practices of corporate governance" outlined in the listing rules. Companies compete for the award and the publicity that comes with it. Thailand went a step further. The Thai Securities and Exchange Commission offers tax breaks to companies abiding by the stock exchange's 15 principles of good investor protection.

What to reform?

The corporate lawyers participating in the *Doing Business* survey were asked to rank the major obstacles to protecting investors. While not a representative sample, they advise many domestic and foreign investors on where to put their money, and they deal with weaknesses in the law every day. Lack of information on related-party transactions ranked high. So did an impossibly high standard of proof to show wrongdoing of directors (table 7.4).

TABLE 7.4

Major obstacles to protecting investors

Obstacie	Share of countries (%)
Lack of information on related-party transactions	53
Investors must prove their case to the level of certainty in criminal cases	39
Directors keep profits from self-dealing even after being convicted of breach of duty	37
Liability for directors only if they act fraudulently or in bad faith	13
No access to company's or defendant's documents	8
Source: Doing Business database.	

Four reforms improve the protection of investors against self-dealing:


- Notify investors of directors' interests in deals.
- Require approval by disinterested directors or investors for related-party transactions.
- Eliminate loopholes in rules for shareholder approval.
- Where courts are strong, help investors bring lawsuits.

Notify investors of directors' interests in deals

The easiest reform everywhere is increasing disclosure. It is also the most needed, especially in South Asia and Latin America (figure 7.2). Investors need to know what stakes directors have in proposed deals so they can stop those deals through a vote if shareholder interests would be hurt—or sue for damages. This is less expensive than it sounds. The Internet makes the distribution of financial and ownership information cheap. Flexible proxy rules—for example, allowing voting by fax or email—reduce the expense to companies of administering shareholder votes.

FIGURE 7 2

East Asia requires the most disclosure



Note: Higher values indicate more disclosure. See the Data notes for details on the index. *Source: Doing Business* database.

Malaysia, with among the most stringent disclosure rules, requires extensive disclosure on a related-party transaction before it is put to a vote of the company's disinterested shareholders (table 7.5). Violators face 7 years in jail and a substantial fine. In contrast, Bolivia, Croatia and Ethiopia don't require directors to disclose their interests in a transaction to the rest of the board even when they vote on that transaction.

Require approval by disinterested parties

In Australia and another 2 dozen countries related-party transactions automatically trigger review and a vote by shareholders without a personal stake in the deal (figure 7.3). This is often not the case. Finland, Mexico and 15 other countries require special approval procedures for transactions above a certain size. But they do not have similar treatment of related-party transactions. And

TABLE 7.5


Who requires the most disclosure of related-party transactions—and who the least?

Most	Least	
New Zealand	Philippines	
Singapore	Honduras	
Malaysia	Greece	
Hong Kong, China	Ethiopia	
United Kingdom	Ukraine	
Zambia	Belarus	
Thailand	Azerbaijan	
France	Tunisia	
China	Albania	
Ireland	Afghanistan	

Note: Rankings are on the extent of disclosure index. See the Data notes for details. Source: Doing Business database.



Countries by voting rules (%)



Source: Doing Business database.

some countries, such as Germany, regulate transactions with a director but not those with an entity controlled by a director. This invites abuse.

Eliminate loopholes in rules for shareholder approval

In Lebanon disinterested investors must approve every transaction between a company and its directors. That sounds sufficient, but in practice shareholders grant approvals at the annual meeting for all related-party transactions arising during the coming year. This practice meets the statutory requirements, but shareholders do not review each transaction individually.

Another common loophole is granting exceptions for transactions conducted "in the ordinary course of business." Reforms in Senegal and several other West African countries required approval by disinterested shareholders for related-party transactions, but excluded transactions conducted as part of the "day-to-day" activities of a company. "The details on day-to-day activities are rather vague in the law. Any transaction can fit the bill," states a lawyer in Cameroon.

Where courts are strong, help investors bring suits

The United States, long the top destination of international capital, protects investors through broad court review of directors' actions.⁵ During trial all company documents related to the case are open for inspection. In court, plaintiffs can directly question all witnesses, including the defendant, without prior judicial review of the questions posed. Directors must show that the transaction was fair to the company—both in price and in dealing. This makes the United States one of the easiest places to bring shareholder suits (table 7.6).

Good investor protections are the ones a country

TABLE 7.6 Who makes it easiest to bring shareholder suits—and who most difficult?

Most difficult	
United Arab Emirates	
Albania	
Venezuela	
Vietnam	
Afghanistan	
Syria	
Morocco	
Algeria	
Iran	
Tanzania	
	Albania Venezuela Vietnam Afghanistan Syria Morocco Algeria Iran

Note: Rankings are on the ease of shareholder suits index. See the Data notes for details. *Source: Doing Business* database.

can enforce. Even the best rules are useless if enforcement is weak.⁶ Some transition economies have adopted strong company or securities laws. But in the Kyrgyz Republic and Moldova, for example, no cases of minority investor abuse have ever been resolved in the courts. And neither country has managed to maintain an active stock market. Nepal also has strong protections on the books (see table 7.6), but these are rarely invoked and equity

FIGURE 7 4

Efficient courts help protect minority shareholders



Note: Relationship is significant at the 1% level when controlling for income per capita. *Source: Doing Business* database, WEF (2004).

markets are nascent.

Number of listed firms

Lower

Higher

Lower


As in any other commercial dispute, the speed, cost and fairness of judgment determine whether small investors will resort to the courts. Potential expropriators know this as well and calculate the risk of being caught and punished. In countries like New Zealand and Singapore, where courts perform well, less abuse of investors is seen (figure 7.4).

Why reform?

Poor investor protection means less equity investment.⁷ If the rights of investors are not protected, majority ownership in a business is the only way to eliminate the risk of expropriation of their investment. But few entrepreneurs would agree to have their business controlled by someone else. Those who do have less incentive to work hard, because the payoffs from success go to someone else. Meanwhile, investors must devote more oversight to fewer investments. The result: entrepreneurship is suppressed, and fewer profitable projects are undertaken.


A recent study of private equity transactions finds

FIGURE 7 5



Countries ranked by strength of investor protection index, quintiles

Better investor protection—higher market capitalization and more listed firms



Higher

Note: Relationships are significant at 5% level and remain significant when controlling for income per capita. Higher values on the strength of investor protection index indicate greater protection. See the Data notes for details.


Source: Doing Business database, World Bank (2005d).

this exact pattern. In countries with higher risk of expropriation, investment as a share of GDP is half that in countries with good investor protections. Two deals take place for every 3 in countries that protect investors. And investors acquire majority stakes, limiting their opportunity for diversification.⁸

All this is reflected in the size of stock markets. When small investors see a high risk of expropriation, they do not invest. The market stays underdeveloped (figure 7.5). And fewer firms bother to list. So protecting investors can bring sizable gains. If Hungary were to adopt the more stringent disclosure regulations of Thailand, analysis suggests that stock market capitalization might increase by up to 50%, and the value of trades by up to 35%.⁹

Notes

- Associated Press Newswires, "France Oil Scandal: Appeals Court Sentences Former Elf Official to Seven Years in Prison," March 31, 2005.
- 2. The Economist, "Laughing Gas," June 4, 2005.
- 3. The case is developed in Djankov, La Porta and Shleifer (2005), and the survey conducted in partnership with Lex Mundi, a global association of leading independent law firms. All academic citations should refer to this paper.
- 4. Djankov, La Porta and Shleifer (2005). See also La Porta and others (1997) and Shleifer and Wolfenzon (2002).
- 5. See La Porta, López-de-Silanes and Shleifer (forthcoming).
- 6. Berglof and Claessens (2004).
- 7. See Black (2001).
- 8. Lerner and Schoar (2005).
- 9. Calculations based on La Porta, López-de-Silanes and Shleifer (forthcoming).




Tax collection has long been a despised activity. In biblical times the Pharisees scorned the disciples by asking, "Why does your teacher eat with tax collectors and sinners?"1 Things had not improved by the French Revolution-tax collectors were convicted of treason and sent to the guillotine.

Yet taxes are essential. Without them there would be no money to build schools, hospitals, courts, roads, airports or other public infrastructure that helps businesses and society to be more productive and better off.

Still, there are good ways and bad ways to collect taxes. Imagine a medium-size business-TaxpayerCothat produces and sells consumer goods. In Hong Kong (China) the business pays 1 income tax and 1 fuel tax totaling 14% of gross profit (sales less materials and labor costs; figure 8.1). It takes 1 annual electronic filing and 80 hours to comply with tax requirements. Meanwhile, in Belarus TaxpayerCo is subject to 11 taxes, including an income tax, value added tax (VAT), transport duty, land tax, property tax, ecological tax, fuel tax and a turnover tax where taxes are paid on inputs and again on outputs. Despite many deductions and exemptions, required payments add up to 122% of gross profit-leaving the business with 2 choices: stop operating or start evading. The business would make 113 tax payments to 3 agencies, all by paper, and spend 1,188 hours doing so. Tax refunds would take 2 years to process. This complexity and delay make Belarus's tax system among the world's most burdensome (box 8.1).





Arguments for business tax reform usually emphasize rates, especially corporate income tax rates. That is misleading on 3 counts. First, corporate income taxes are only a small share of the total business tax burden-less than 25% on average. For example, Hungary's nominal corporate income tax is only 16% of net profit, but the total business tax bill is 57% of gross profit because of VAT, property tax, land tax, local business tax, community tax, vehicle tax and 9 others, after taking into account deductions and exemptions. In several Eastern European countries simplification has not had the desired impact on perceived business obstacles, in part because it focused on income tax only.2

BOX 8.1

Who makes paying taxes easy—and who does not?

Total tax payable (% of gross profit)

Lowest		Highest	
Saudi Arabia	1	Uzbekistan	76
Oman	5	Mauritania	76
Iraq	6	Uruguay	80
Kuwait	8	Argentina	98
United Arab Emirates	9	Belarus	122
Malaysia	12	Yemen	129
Hong Kong, China	14	Congo, Dem. Rep.	135
Iran	15	Brazil	148
Puerto Rico	18	Sierra Leone	164
Singapore	20	Burundi	173

Payments (number per year)

Fewest	····	Most	
Hong Kong, China	1	Côte d'Ivoire	71
Afghanistan	2	Jamaica	72
Norway	3	Bosnia and Herzegovina	73
Sweden	5	Benin	75
Mauritius	7	Ukraine	84
Portugal	7	Dominican Republic	85
Spain	7	Congo, Rep.	94
Chile	8	Kyrgyz Republic	95
Ireland	8	Belarus	113
New Zealand	8	Uzbekistan	118

Time to comply (hours per year)

Least		Most	
United Arab Emirates	12	Czech Republic	930
Singapore	30	São Tomé and Príncipe	1,008
Iraq	48	Vietnam	1,050
Namibia	50	Bolivia	1,080
Ethiopia	52	Armenia	1,120
Oman	52	Nigeria	1,120
Thailand	52	Belarus	1,188
Spain	56	Cameroon	1,300
Switzerland	63	Ukraine	2,185
New Zealand	70	Brazil	2,600

Ease of paying taxes

Easiest	Most difficult	
Hong Kong, China	Uruguay	
United Arab Emirates	Bolivia	
Oman	Venezuela	
Saudi Arabia	Armenia	
Kuwait	Colombia	
Iraq	Algeria	
Afghanistan	Ukraine	
Singapore	Congo, Rep.	
Switzerland	Mauritania	
Malaysia	Belarus	

Note: Gross profit is sales less materials and labor costs. Rankings on the ease of paying taxes are the averages of the country rankings on the tax payable, number of payments and time to comply with tax regulations. See the Data notes for details.

Source: Doing Business database.

Doing Business asked accountants in 155 countries to review the financial statements and a list of transactions of a standardized firm called TaxpayerCo.³ The business started with the same financial position in every country. Respondents were asked the total tax that the business must pay and the process for doing so. All taxes—from corporate income tax to VAT to advertising or environmental tax—and all applicable deductions and exemptions are taken into account to calculate the total burden.⁴

Take Uruguay as an example. The country's VAT is 23% of value added (sales less materials costs). When labor costs are considered, this is equivalent to 41% of gross profit (sales less materials and labor costs). After taking into account deductions and exemptions, the corporate income tax is 30% of net profit—equivalent to 11% of gross profit. On top of that the business pays a social contribution tax of 27% of gross profit, a capital tax of 1% and 8 other taxes totaling 1%. Thus tax payments total 80% of gross profit, leaving TaxpayerCo with only 20% to pay all other expenses, maintain equipment, invest in new products and distribute to shareholders.


Uruguay is among the 10 countries with the highest business taxes. In 6 of these, business taxes add up to more than gross profit. TaxpayerCo would not operate in the formal sector in those countries. And in many other countries incentives to evade are strong. In Albania evading 20% of the tax bill increases the business's gross profit by 50%. In Kenya, by 43%. And in Bolivia, by 35%.

Administrative requirements are also a burden in many countries. *Doing Business* measures the number of payments TaxpayerCo would have to make to tax authorities, as well as the time required to prepare and file tax payments. It takes 84 payments and 2,185 hours a year in Ukraine, but only 11 payments and 104 hours in Estonia.

Rankings on the ease of paying taxes are the average of the country rankings on total taxes, number of payments and time required to comply. Middle Eastern and East Asian countries make paying taxes the easiest. Latin American countries impose the heaviest burdens, mainly because of compliance costs. Africa follows, largely because of high taxes. OECD countries impose the smallest administrative burdens and charge moderate tax bills.


FIGURE 8 2

Rich countries have lower and simpler business taxes





High taxes create strong incentives to evade





Source: Doing Business database.

Second, the complexity of tax compliance matters too. Norway collects 60% of companies' gross profit using 3 taxes filed electronically. In contrast, it takes 14 taxes and 62 interactions with the tax authorities to collect 46% of gross profit in the Philippines. Firms in 90% of surveyed countries rank tax administration among the top 5 obstacles to doing business. In several including Bangladesh, Cambodia, the Kyrgyz Republic, Russia and Uzbekistan—working with the tax bureaucracy is considered a bigger problem than tax rates.⁵

Finally, businesses care about what they get for their taxes. Finland has higher business taxes, at 52% of gross profit, than Mexico, at 31%. But firms there have fewer complaints about the tax burden. That businesses rate Finland among the top 10 countries on quality of

Who is reforming?

In 2004, 28 countries made major changes to their tax systems. Following a long-term trend, most countries cut corporate tax rates. Several focused on increasing compliance and the tax base, thereby boosting revenues while cutting or maintaining rates.

In 1994 Estonia radically reformed its tax law, levying a 26% flat tax on corporate and personal income. Its success sparked a rush by other Eastern European countries to do the same. In 2004 Romania and Georgia became the latest. Romania introduced a 16% flat tax and cut payroll taxes—though at 49.5%, they are still high. Georgia's new tax code levies a 20% income tax on businesses and a 12% flat tax (down from 20%) on personal income. In addition, social taxes were cut from 33% to 20% and the number of taxes from 21 to 9, and infrastructure and social services could have something to do with it.

Rich countries tend to have lower business taxes and make them less complex (figure 8.2). Simple, moderate taxes and fast, cheap administration mean less hassle for business—as well as higher revenues. In contrast, poor countries tend to use business as a collection point, charging higher business taxes. Such burdensome taxes create incentives for evasion. In the United States business taxes add up to 21% of gross profit. So if a company started with \$100 in gross profit, evading 20% of its tax bill would raise gross profit after tax from \$79 to \$83—equivalent to increasing gross profit by 5%. But in Mauritania profit would jump 63% (figure 8.3).

invoices and receipts were simplified.

Serbia and Montenegro followed another trend, joining the 90% of countries with a VAT. The advantages are clear: a VAT avoids cascading taxes, where taxes are paid on taxes. And a VAT is partially self-enforcing—because companies receive tax credits for a VAT paid on their purchases, they will want to trade with other companies registered for the VAT.

Corporate income tax cuts swept through other Eastern European countries, sealing the region's rank as the top tax reformer (table 8.1). Western European countries also joined the trend, partly in response to competition from new EU members. Although such cuts were the most common reform, they have a relatively small impact: globally, income taxes account for only about a quarter of business taxes (figure 8.4).

TABLE 8.1 Most 2004 tax reforms in Eastern Europe--and to corporate income tax rates

Region	All reforms (number)	Reforms including cuts in corporate income tax rate (%)
Eastern Europe	12	Albania 25 to 23
& Central Asia		Bulgaria 19.5 to 15
		Czech Republic 28 to 26
		Estonia 26 to 24
		Latvia 19 to 15
		Moldova 20 to 18
		Poland 27 to 19
		Uzbekistan 18 to 15
OECD high income	6	Austria 34 to 25
		Denmark 30 to 28
		Finland 29 to 26
		Greece 35 to 32
		Netherlands 34.5 to 31.5
Sub-Saharan Africa	3	Ghana 32.5 to 28
		Senegal 35 to 33
Latin America & Caribbear	1 2	Mexico 33 to 30
South Asia	1	Afghanistan 25 to 20
East Asia & Pacific	0	
Middle East & North Africa	a 0	

Source: Doing Business database.


Other countries focused on reforming tax administration. Spain introduced rules streamlining audits and appeals and increasing penalties. Lithuania did the same, and provided for electronic filing. Tax administrators there must now prove the basis for any tax recalculation.

Businesses in Africa face the highest taxes (see figure 8.4). In 2004, 3 countries in the region—Ghana, Tanzania and Senegal—revamped their tax codes and eased tax burdens. Ghana cut corporate tax rates and launched a unit to ease tax administration for large businesses. But it raised the VAT by 2.5 percentage points.

Three-quarters of businesses in Tanzania complain that taxes and their administration are a severe obstacle.⁶ But things have gotten easier. A new income tax law broadened the tax base, closing loopholes and introducing taxpayer self-assessments. Improvements were also made in Senegal. Small businesses can now pay 1 tax that has a lower rate and consolidates 4 previous taxes. In addition, several exemptions were abolished to widen the tax base. And the company income tax rate fell from 35% to 33%. Mauritania took the opposite path, raising corporate taxes from 20% to 25%—making it the only country to increase this tax in 2004–05.



Total business taxes are lowest in East Asia



In Latin America, Mexico reduced its corporate income tax—from 33% to 30%—and announced further cuts for the next 2 years. El Salvador reformed its VAT and income tax laws as well as the tax code, with an aim to increase the tax base. It also levied a 1% monthly VAT advance. Tax rates rose in the Dominican Republic, with the VAT hiked from 12% to 16%. Several other countries in the region introduced minor reforms. Honduras modified penalties in an effort to improve compliance—easing sanctions for late payments and making tax evasion a criminal rather than civil offense. Argentina extended its financial transactions tax, and Bolivia introduced one.

Aid accounts for more than half of government revenue in Afghanistan. But with a new tax law, this may begin to change. Taxpayer identification numbers were issued in preparation for the law, and the corporate income tax was cut from 25% to 20%. Elsewhere in South Asia, Nepal raised its VAT from 10% to 13%, while India and Pakistan continued implementing reforms launched before 2004.

The regions with the lowest tax rates—East Asia and the Pacific and the Middle East and North Africa reformed the least in 2004. Samoa increased its VAT from 10% to 12.5%. Saudi Arabia reformed its corporate tax law, but that change affects only foreign firms. Like many of its neighbors, the government relies on oil revenue to fund spending. As a result businesses in the region pay some of the lowest tax rates in the world. Morocco introduced some administrative reforms, with a new finance law imposing a 10% penalty on late payments.

What to reform?

Tax reforms inspire political debate and can be hotly contested. But both business and government benefit when taxes are simple and fair and set incentives for growth. Here are 4 ways to start:


- Consolidate the number of taxes.
- Cut back special exemptions and privileges.
- Simplify filing requirements.
- Broaden the tax base by keeping rates moderate in developing countries.

Consolidate the number of taxes

"Our system is characterized by a flood of taxes that overload business with administration. The primary taxes are income tax, value added tax, import duty, export tax, excise duty and special excise, provincial turnover tax and property tax. There are taxes at different levels of government. There is also the social responsibility levy, debits tax, share transaction levy, economic service charge, financial transactions tax and various stamp duties. And there is a whole host of industryspecific taxes. It is way too complicated." So says Anil, an accountant in Sri Lanka.

Having more types of taxes requires more interaction between businesses and tax agencies. Businesses complain that a higher number of taxes increases hassle (figure 8.5). The problem is greatest in poor countries, which rely more on "other taxes" rather than income tax and VAT. In Tanzania, for example, local authorities impose 50 business taxes and fees.⁷ But the number of taxes is a burden in some rich countries too. In New York City income taxes are levied at the municipal, state and fed-

FIGURE 8 5



More taxes and payments—more hassle

Note: Relationships are significant at 1% level and remain significant when controlling for income per capita. *Source: Doing Business* database, WEF (2004). eral levels.⁸ Each is calculated on a different tax base, so businesses must keep 3 sets of books. Such an approach costs governments more in collection costs as well.

Reformers can look to Georgia, which in 2004 cut the number of taxes from 21 to 9. Businesses have praised the new, simpler system.⁹ In 2001 Russia consolidated several business taxes, cutting the number of taxes from 20 to 15.¹⁰ And Iran recently merged 3 taxes into 1 to ease payment.

Some taxes can be dropped altogether. Reforms should target minor excises and stamp duties-which cost money to administer but do not raise much revenue-or particularly distorting taxes. An example is a turnover tax, which is levied on a firm's inputs and again on its outputs, so tax is paid on tax. The main alternative to a turnover tax, a VAT, levies tax only on the difference between inputs and outputs (the value added), avoiding double taxation. Another alternative, a sales tax, does the same by taxing only outputs, as in the United States. Mozambique abolished its turnover tax in 1999, replacing it with a VAT. Georgia eliminated its turnover tax, which was levied on top of a VAT, as a part of its 2004 reform. But another 22 countries maintain a turnover tax, including Argentina, Belarus and Tunisia. Almost all have a VAT or sales tax as well.

Cut back special exemptions and privileges

Tax systems have been forged through competing political pressures, lobbying and attempts to stimulate specific industries or activities. In most countries these processes have resulted in a proliferation of exemptions, deductions, privileges and other rules, not to mention increasingly complex tax codes. In the Czech Republic tax regulation more than quadrupled over the past 10 years, from 10,000 pages to 44,000. In Australia it went from 3,600 pages to 9,600.

Special exemptions erode the tax base. Businesses left in the system end up paying more. The system becomes less transparent and more costly to run. It distorts resource allocation. And incentive schemes create possibilities for rent seeking and arbitrage as businesses seek to minimize their tax with legal ways of manipulating income.¹¹

Estonia's 1994 reform replaced its concession-laden system with a single flat tax offering no exemptions. "We could not afford to maintain a more complex system," said a representative of the Ministry of Finance. The country's tax base broadened, and revenues have not suffered. In 2003 Slovakia streamlined its convoluted incentive schemes into a single flat tax, with similar results.¹² Colombia, El Salvador, Indonesia, Jamaica and Mexico have eliminated distortions by cutting ineffective incentive schemes—and increased revenues in the process.¹³

Simplify filing requirements

A popular way to make paying taxes easier is by introducing electronic filing. Businesses can enter financial information online and file it with one click—and no calculations. Errors can be identified instantly, and returns processed quickly. Singapore led the way. In the early 1990s its tax department was plagued by a mounting backlog of unprocessed tax returns and the lowest public satisfaction rating of all public services. In response a new department, the Internal Revenue Authority of Singapore, was created. In 1998 the department launched an e-filing system. Filing taxes is now entirely paperless (except for a verification receipt) and takes just a day—and 90% of corporate taxpayers express satisfaction with tax administration.¹⁴

Another 45 countries have made e-filing possible, and the list is growing. In 2004 Armenia and Lithuania introduced online filing. Lebanon began automating its payroll tax. Businesses in Slovakia can now email tax returns, with no signature or paper evidence. And South Africa is implementing an e-filing system. Such reforms pay off. In countries with online filing it takes less time to comply with tax regulations: 15 days compared with 25.

Simplifying paper filing is another way to make things easier. Doing so works everywhere but is especially important in poor countries, which may not have the demand or capacity to support e-filing. In many countries return and payment forms are cluttered with information requirements that are never processed. In the 1990s the monthly Polish VAT form required 105 entries-including 37 just for identification-and 38 calculations.¹⁵ At one point entrepreneurs had to get a stamped VAT certificate for every business lunch. Things have improved, but it still takes 2 pages for each monthly filing and 3 days a year to complete VAT filing requirements. In Switzerland it takes 1 page per quarter and 1 day a year to deal with VAT paperwork. Brazil still has a long way to go: 6 forms are needed just to pay income tax. To complete just 1 of those forms, taxpayers must first read 300 pages of instructions. For the VAT at least 3 forms are needed.

Eliminating excessive paperwork cuts the time that businesses spend complying with tax laws. To increase compliance, the United Kingdom shortened its VAT return to 1 page. In 2004 Pakistan did the same for its income tax return, significantly shortening the time required to file.

Broaden the tax base by keeping rates moderate in developing countries


Poor countries try to levy the highest amount of tax on businesses (see figure 8.2). Some claim that these high taxes are needed to fund public services and correct fiscal deficits. This argument would be more compelling if there were evidence to support it. Obviously, generating government revenue is essential. But higher rates typically do not achieve that goal in poor countries (figure 8.6). Instead they push businesses into the informal economy. As a result the tax base shrinks and less revenue is collected.¹⁶

A better way to meet revenue targets is to encourage tax compliance by keeping rates moderate. Russia's large tax cuts in 2001 did exactly that. Corporate tax rates fell from 35% to 24%, and a simplified tax scheme lowered rates for small business. Yet tax revenue increased—by an annual average of 14% over the next 3 years. One study showed that the new revenue was due to increased compliance.¹⁷

The 2004 reformers have shown similar results. Ghana exceeded its midyear revenue targets despite significant cuts in corporate tax rates. Albania's corporate tax revenue rose 21% after the rate was cut, while in Moldova it jumped 28% and in Latvia, 37%. And in Romania budget revenues grew 8% in real terms in the first quarter of 2005 relative to the same period in







Note: The relationship between rates and revenues is significant in rich countries and remains so when controlling for income per capita. Source: Doing business database, IMF (2005b) and World Bank (2005).

2004, despite the new flat tax. Economic growth in these countries is a factor in the increased revenues. But compliance is also up.

Lower rates work best when their administration is simple. And they are undermined by exemptions that

Why reform?

Businesses prefer lower tax rates that are simply applied. Or, if rates are high, businesses want something in return for tax payments. All too often that is not the case, especially in developing countries. Often either a large informal sector or inefficient public spending is the problem—not insufficient tax rates. Across countries, higher taxes payable are not associated with better social outcomes, even controlling for country income levels. They do not increase government spending on health and education, raise literacy or life expectancy or lower child mortality. Nor are they associated with better infrastructure and other public services.¹⁸


Moreover, burdensome taxes generate undesirable outcomes. For example, they breed corruption. Businesses in the bottom 30 countries on ease of paying taxes are twice as likely as those in the top 30 to report that informal payments are a problem. Every point of contact between a bureaucrat and an entrepreneur is another chance for a bribe. And confusion on voluminous, often contradictory rules creates room for discretion. Faced with this, many entrepreneurs avoid the system altogether, operating in the informal economy (figure 8.7). There they pay no taxes, shrinking the revenue available for essential public services. shrink the tax base. Tax revenue has fallen in Uzbekistan, where the enthusiasm for income tax cuts was not matched by efforts to improve tax administration and expand the tax base.


It is not just businesses that gain from reform. Streamlining taxes also brings savings for government. A complicated tax system costs a lot of money to run funds that could be better spent on education, health care and infrastructure. In Denmark 1 kroner spent on tax administration generates 113 kroner of tax revenue. In Hungary 1 forint produces only 77. In Mexico 1 peso produces only 33.

Tax reform also creates more vibrant businesses. A smaller tax burden encourages firms to invest (figure 8.7). One recent study found that a 10% cut in indirect taxes, such as the VAT, may imply a rise in investment of up to 7%.¹⁹ "Businesses are happy with the change and responding by investing more," says Kenneth, an accountant, about corporate tax reform in Ghana. Moreover, such investment yields higher returns when taxes are streamlined. A study in India estimates that tax reform can increase productivity by up to 60%.²⁰

Overall growth is also higher with lower taxes and better collection.²¹ And with tax incentives aligned to encourage work, more firms and more jobs are created. A cut of 1 percentage point in corporate tax rates is associated with up to a 3.7% increase in the number of firms and up to 1.1% higher employment.²²

FIGURE 8 7






Note: Relationships are significant at 1% level and remain significant when controlling for income per capita. Source: Doing Business database, Schneider (2005), World Bank (2005d).

Notes

- 1. Matthew 9: 11.
- 2. Engelschalk (2004).
- 3. The survey was conducted in partnership with PricewaterhouseCoopers, using a methodology developed in an ongoing research project by Mihir Desai, Caralee McLiesh, Rita Ramalho and Andrei Shleifer.
- 4. A common method for assessing tax rates is the marginal effective tax rate (METR) method, which estimates the tax payable resulting from investing one more unit of capital, or hiring one more worker, or producing one more unit of output. See the Data notes for a description of the main differences between the METR and *Doing Business* methods.
- 5. World Bank Investment Climate Survey database, available at http://rru.worldbank.org.
- 6. World Bank (2004b).
- 7. Fjeldstad and Rakner (2003).
- 8. Not all cities in the United States have a municipal business tax. In addition, in several states the tax base is the same for federal and state income taxes.
- 9. Georgia Business Council interview.


- 10. FIAS (2004).
- 11. See, for example, Tanzi and Zee (2000).
- 12. Moore (2005).
- 13. World Bank (1991).
- 14. Bird and Oldman (2000) and Tan, Pan and Lim (2005).
- 15. Bird (2003).
- 16. A similar result holds between fiscal regulation and economic growth. See Loayza, Oviedo and Serven (2004).
- 17. Ivanova, Keen and Klemm (2005).
- Based on analysis of *Doing Business* indicators with health, education and infrastructure indicators in the World Bank's World Development Indicators (2005d) and Global Competitiveness Report 2004–05 (WEF 2004). The results hold controlling for income per capita.
- 19. Desai, Foley and Hines (2004).
- 20. World Bank (2004b).
- 21. Engen and Skinner (1996), Lee and Gordon (2004) and Slemrod (1995).
- 22. Goolsbee (2002).



Fabien, a shop owner in Bujumbura, sells bicycles. No one makes bicycles in Burundi, so he imports from China. Fabien has a choice of ports: Mombasa in Kenya or Dar es Salaam in Tanzania. Terminal handling and storage fees are a third lower in Dar es Salaam and there is a rail line to Lake Tanganyika. The choice is made. After 50 days of prearrival approvals, 8 days of port handling, 15 days of going through customs and a monthlong train ride, the shipment is on Burundi's border. Here it goes through 12 days of customs, gets loaded on a barge and passes through customs at Bujumbura port. In all, it takes 124 days, 19 documents and 55 signatures to get the bicycles from Dar es Salaam to Fabien's shop (figure 9.1).

Moussa, a shirt maker in Damascus, exports to Italy. For each shipment he needs a license and a certificate of

FIGURE 9 1



Source: Doing Business database.

origin from the Ministry of Trade. Every box of shirts is inspected before being loaded into a container. Customs is cleared twice, in Damascus and at the Syrian port of Latakia. It takes 49 days, 12 documents and 19 signatures from the moment the shirts leave Moussa's factory to the time they are on the ship to Naples.

Contrast this with the single signature a German or Swedish exporter needs to ship goods abroad. Or the 5 days and 3 documents necessary to import or export in Denmark, the country friendliest to traders (table 9.1). Fabien and Moussa should be so lucky.

The benefits of trade are well documented. So are some of the barriers to trade.¹ Tariffs, quotas and distance from large markets greatly increase the cost of goods, sometimes enough to prevent trading completely. But global and regional agreements have lessened these

TABLE 9.1 Where is trading easy—and where not?

Easiest	Most difficult
Denmark	Syria
Sweden	Mali
Germany	Eritrea
Finland	Kyrgyz Republic
Netherlands	Zambia
Singapore	Uzbekistan
Norway	Rwanda
Austria	Burundi
Belgium	Niger
Spain	Iraq

Note: Rankings on the ease of trading index are the average of the country rankings on the days, documents and signatures to import and export. See the Data notes for details. Source: Doing Business database. trade barriers.² And with faster ships and bigger planes, the world is shrinking.

Yet Africa's share of global trade is smaller today than 25 years ago. So is the Middle East's, excluding oil exports. One reason is that entrepreneurs in these regions face numerous regulatory hurdles to exporting. In the case of manufactured goods, customs and transport together represent the single greatest cost of trading in developing countries—even higher than the cost of

BOX 9.1


What are the Doing Business trade indicators?


Doing Business compiles the procedural requirements for exporting and importing a standardized cargo of goods. A procedure is counted from the time the business starts preparing the necessary documents to the time the cargo is in the client's warehouse. Every official procedure is counted-from the contractual agreement between the 2 parties to the delivery of goods-along with the time necessary for completion. All documents and signatures required for clearance of the goods across the border are also recorded. For example, the importing process is divided into 4 stages: prearrival documentation necessary for the cargo to be loaded on the ship or train, procedures necessary during the vessel's arrival at the port and the associated terminal handling, going through customs and cargo inspections, and inland transport for the cargo's delivery to the warehouse (box table 9.1).

On average, the 2 stages that require "hard infrastructure"—ports and inland transport—account for only a quarter of the time. The preparation of prearrival documents accounts for more than half the time (box figure 9.1). The time when the cargo is at sea is not counted. Once the trader has completed the prearrival documents, the counting of time stops; it starts again when the ship is docked. If the destination is a landlocked country, the time for inland transport includes transit time.

BOX TABLE 9.1	
Days to complete each stage of importing	1

tariffs on their exports imposed by rich countries. Trade agreements, except those with the European Union and between Central American countries and the United States, do not address these high costs. As a consequence, much of the reform fails to remove the largest barriers to trade. This is why *Doing Business* studies the procedures and time of going through customs and using trade infrastructure such as roads, ports and warehousing (box 9.1).





Information on the documents and signatures required and the time to complete each procedure is provided by local freight forwarders, shipping lines, customs brokers and port officials, using several assumptions: The traded product travels in a dry-cargo, 20-foot, full container load. It is not a hazardous product, does not require refrigeration and meets international phyto sanitary and environmental safety standards. The survey respondents consider several product categories: textile yarn, fabrics, apparel and clothing accessories, coffee, tea, cocoa and spices.

Region	Prearrival documents	Port and terminal handling	Customs and inspections	Inland transport to warehouse	Total time
OECD high income	8	2	2	2	14
East Asia & Pacific	18	3	4	3	28
Latin America & Caribbean	24	4	5	3	36
Middle East & North Africa	25	5	9	4	43
Eastern Europe & Central Asia	25	4	7	7	43
South Asia	24	6	7	10	47
Sub-Saharan Africa	33	8	10	9	59
World	23	5	6	5	39

Who is reforming?

In 2004, 25 countries reformed their customs or trade transport (table 9.2). Egypt was the top reformer. It established a single window for trade documentation and merged 26 approvals into 5. A time limit of 2 days for clearing customs now applies. Improvements were part of a broader reform that cut the number of tariff bands from 27 to 6 and simplified inspections. The inspiration was the association agreement with the European Union.


Rwanda came second. Preshipment inspection is no longer required. The customs declaration can be made electronically, although hard copies are still inspected when the cargo is picked up.

Colombia, Guatemala, Peru and 4 other countries set lower time limits for going through customs. Colombia reduced the time limit from 5 days to 2, Guatemala from 4 to 2, and Peru from 6 to 2. If the allowed time expires and the cargo is not cleared, the trader can claim it.

Fiji and Hungary introduced electronic filing of customs documents. The file is submitted before the cargo reaches the border and usually approved within 10 minutes. Now 88% of shipments go through without stopping. For the rest, risk assessment software sends an alert. Documents are checked in detail for 10% of trade, and only 2% of containers are opened and inspected.

Pakistan eliminated the requirement for an import or export license for each shipment. Previously a trader needed to obtain a license each time he brought goods across the border. Now the license is given to the trader, not each cargo, and lasts 2 years. Yemen abolished licensing for imported shipments. Instead, a general import license is now in place. These reforms saved costs and numerous trips to the Ministry of Trade.

FIGURE 9 2



More hurdles for importers in poor countries

Source: Doing Business database.


TABLE 9.2 Major customs or trade transport reforms in 2004


Reform	Country
Set time limits on customs	Cameroon, Colombia, Egypt, Guatemala, Jamaica, Peru, Russia
Introduced electronic filing	Fiji, Hungary
Abolished trade licenses	Germany, Pakistan, Uganda, Yemen
Introduced risk analysis for inspections	Austria, Mauritius, Timor-Leste
Stopped mandating preshipment inspection	Philippines, Rwanda
Improved road and port infrastructure	Afghanistan, China, Mauritania United Arab Emirates
Automated trade tax payment	Iran, Panama, Spain
Source: Doing Business database.	

In the United Arab Emirates new berths were added at Jebel Ali port. In 2004 it took 6 days to load cargo. Now, an average of 17 hours. Similar improvements in Shanghai cut loading time by two-thirds. In Mauritania the Nouakchott port now operates around the clock. Previously it was open just 60 hours a week.

More than half the reforms in 2004 took place in poor countries. But on average it still takes 3 times as many days, nearly twice as many documents and 6 times as many signatures to import in a poor country as it does in rich countries (figure 9.2). Obstacles to exporting are just as large. Trade in Africa takes the longest—45 days on average to export and 59 to import. Typical regulations in Africa require 18 signatures to export and 28 to import. Outside the OECD, traders in East Asia have the easiest time (figure 9.3). Latin America ranks next. Many of the recent reforms there are inspired by regional trade agreements with the United States.







What to reform?

In reducing the regulatory and transport costs of trading, the 3 most effective reforms in 2004 were these:

- Make document filing electronic.
- ٠ Use a risk assessment policy for inspections.
- Go regional with reform of customs and transport rules.

Another popular reform was to contract out preshipment inspection and customs management. But beware: contracting out has often failed to deliver better services, may not reduce smuggling and has sometimes been blamed for increased corruption.

TABLE 9.3

Who makes	exporting	easy—and	who ma	akes it	difficult?
Days					

Fewest		Most	
Denmark	5	Burundi	67
Germany	6	Mali	67
Lithuania	6	Azerbaijan	69
Senegal	6	Eritrea	69
Singapore	6	Burkina Faso	71
Sweden	6	Sudan	82
Belgium	7	Chad	87
Finland	7	Kazakhstan	93
Netherlands	7	Iraq	105
Norway	7	Central African Republic	116

Documents

Fewest	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Most	
Denmark	3	Iran	11
Austria	4	Mongolia	11
Finland	4	Nigeria	11
Germany	4	Ecuador	12
Norway	4	Lao PDR	12
Spain	4	Syria	12
Sweden	4	Uganda	13
Australia	5	Kazakhstan	14
Lithuania	5	Rwanda	14
Singapore	5	Zambia	16

Signatures

	Most	
1	Ethiopia	33
1	Mali	33
2	Georgia	35
2	Sudan	35
2	Central African Republic	38
2	Nigeria	39
2	Azerbaijan	40
2	Congo, Rep.	42
2	Congo, Dem. Rep.	45
2	Iraq	70
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1Ethiopia1Mali2Georgia2Sudan2Central African Republic2Nigeria2Azerbaijan2Congo, Rep.2Congo, Dem. Rep.2Congo, Dem. Rep.

Source: Doing Business database.


Make document filing electronic


In 1989 Singapore introduced electronic filing of all documents necessary for trading. TradeNet linked 34 government agencies responsible for customs clearance, export and import permits, import duties, certificates of origin and trade statistics. The trader fills in a single form and sends it to TradeNet. TradeNet reads the application and forwards each section to the relevant agency, which has a limited time to respond. Within 2 years the time for cargo clearance was cut from 4 days to 30 minutes. The number of shipments processed rose threefold, to 32,000 a day. And the cost to businesses of handling trade documents fell by a third.3 The reform made Singapore one of the easiest places for exporters and enhanced its position as a global trading center (table 9.3).

Many countries have followed suit. Mauritius invited TradeNet's inventors to set up shop in Port Louis. By 1996 the number of documents for exporting fell from 36 to 5. The time to clear customs, from 7 days to 2. From Mauritius the modified TradeNet technology was exported to Ghana. Before its introduction at Kotoka airport and Tema port in 2003, an exporter went through 23 different procedures in 2 weeks. An importer had to submit 13 copies of the shipping manifest to customs. Now 18% of goods at the airport clear in 2 hours, and 75% clear the same day.⁴ But at the port improvements have yet to materialize.

Two dozen other countries have implemented electronic filing for trade documents-most in Europe and some in East Asia and Latin America. In Africa, Uganda has made the most progress. In North Africa, Tunisia introduced electronic documents in 2000.⁵ Three

FIGURE 9.4





documents are processed electronically: the certificate for external trade, the customs declaration and technical control sheets. The payment of customs and port duties is also electronic and takes one hour. Before the reform it took a full day.

In another 50 countries there have been partial advances. In Botswana, Brazil and Russia customs declarations are submitted through the Internet, but other documents are required in hard copy—hardly practical. Delays are reduced but not by much (figure 9.4).

Use a risk assessment policy for inspections


In Africa and South Asia almost 70% of imported cargo containers are opened and inspected when clearing customs (figure 9.5). Every container is opened in Burkina Faso, Kenya, Malawi and Mali. The same is true in Nepal, Pakistan and Sri Lanka. In the Middle East more than 60% are opened and inspected. Contrast this with OECD countries, where 5% of imports undergo inspection.

The difference is explained by the risk assessment policy used in OECD countries. It works like this. When a customs officer receives the cargo documents, she runs them through the computer. A software program calculates the probability that the shipment should be inspected. The probability is based on the profiles of the business and the freight forwarders and on the nature of the goods and their destination. In some countries the containers are scanned for weight and the shapes of objects inside. If nothing suspicious arises, the container gets a green light and sails through customs. Above a certain risk threshold a yellow light comes on and the documentation is thoroughly checked. The container remains sealed. At a still-higher threshold a red light





Cargo inspected at import (%)



Source: Doing Business database.

blinks and the container is opened for inspection. This system has allowed Mexico to limit inspections to 10% of shipments, Thailand to 15%, and Latvia to 20%. It has also increased the detection of smuggled goods.

Risk analysis can reduce delays. Ten years ago shipments took nearly 20 days to clear customs in Peru.⁶ By 2000 the introduction of risk analysis meant that green channel goods were cleared in 90 minutes, and even those in the red channel were cleared overnight. By law, only 15% of cargo can go through the red channel.

But risk analysis is only as useful as the data on which it is based. Even the most sophisticated risk analysis software will not help if there is no information

TABLE 9.4 Who makes importing easy—and who makes it difficult? Days

Fewest		Most	
Denmark	5	Niger	89
Germany	б	Rwanda	92
Sweden	6	Afghanistan	97
Finland	7	Chad	111
Norway	7	Sudan	111
Netherlands	8	Central African Republic	122
Singapore	8	Burundi	124
Austria	9	Kyrgyz Republic	127
Belgium	9	Iraq	135
United States	9	Uzbekistan	139

Documents

Fewest	·····	Most	
Denmark	3	Azerbaijan	18
Finland	3	Kazakhstan	18
Sweden	3	Kyrgyz Republic	18
Germany	4	Syria	18
Ireland	4	Uzbekistan	18
Netherlands	4	Burundi	19
Norway	4	Iraq	19
United Kingdom	4	Niger	19
Austria	5	Rwanda	19
United States	5	Zambia	19

Signatures

Fewest		Most	
Canada	1	Congo, Rep.	51
Denmark	1	Niger	52
Finland	1	Azerbaijan	55
Germany	1	Burundi	55
Netherlands	1	Afghanistan	57
Sweden	1	Mali	60
Belgium	2	Nigeria	71
Iceland	2	Central African Republic	75
New Zealand	2	iraq	75
Singapore	2	Congo, Dem. Rep.	80

from which to develop the necessary profiles of traders, freight forwarders and the like. Reforms to introduce risk assessment—for example, the one started in 2004 in Timor-Leste—require patience.

Go regional with reform of customs and transport

Being landlocked is viewed as a curse. Yet in Europe being a trader in a landlocked country is not that different from being one elsewhere. It takes 1 day to get imports to Berlin from the port of Hamburg, and just 2 extra days to get them to Bratislava in landlocked Slovakia. European integration gets the credit. Once on land, the cargo moves effortlessly across borders. There are no further checks.

African entrepreneurs like Fabien face delays of 65 days if importing to landlocked countries, but only 38 days to countries with a port. Yet there is no reason why landlocked Burkina Faso, Mali and Niger and the other countries of West Africa cannot replicate some of Europe's reforms and move off the list of the most difficult countries for importing (table 9.4). In southern Africa, Botswana, Namibia, Lesotho and South Africa share customs forms. This reduces paperwork and cost. The next step for them is to remove border checks altogether and introduce harmonized transport rules.

Beware of contracting out preshipment inspection and customs management

When the reputation of the customs office is damaged, because of long delays or corruption, reformers may contract out the service to a private company. This re-

Why reform?

For manufactured exports, the cost of trade transactions in developing countries—which includes the cost of dealing with customs and the cost of inland transport exceeds the cost imposed by tariffs in the European Union and the United States. Red tape is estimated to cost more than 10% of the value of exports in developing countries.¹⁰ Trade costs represent 14% of the value of exports in Georgia and 18% in Nigeria. And inefficient customs and trade transport mean that businesses must hold larger inventories at their warehouse, adding 4–6% to production costs.¹¹ "Just in time" manufacturing is just a dream.

The countries that have efficient customs and trade transport—fewer documents and signatures, less time

form was successful in Bulgaria, where it started in 2002. By the following year annual customs revenue rose by 18%, or nearly \$500 million.⁷ The number of fines for smuggling increased threefold, to 12,000 a year.

It rarely works so smoothly. In Mozambique customs were contracted out in 1996. Few of the goals set in the management agreement had been met by 1999. One bright spot: the seizure of smuggled shipments tripled from 559 in 1997 to 1,709 in 1999.⁸ But delays remained the same. Customs revenue increased somewhat, but mostly because of greater trade volume. The management agreement was extended in the hope of better results. In other countries contracting is blamed for increased corruption: several high-profile cases have made the news in recent years.⁹


In many developing countries the contracting out of preshipment inspection has been a disappointment. Angola, Benin, the Republic of Congo, Côte d'Ivoire and Togo, among others, all have concession agreements for the management of cargo inspections. Two complaints are heard most often. First, to increase revenue, private inspection companies push for new regulation to make preshipment inspection mandatory for all cargo. This increases trade costs. Second, inspection companies introduce their own forms to fill out, on top of the documents already required by customs. If a trader sends goods to a country where preshipment inspection is contracted out to a company different from the one in his country, he has to fill out nearly 3 times as many forms. That's the case for an entrepreneur in Angola sending goods to Togo, for example.

necessary to comply with procedures—export and import more (figure 9.6). They also make it cheaper for exporters to operate. Studies suggest that each additional day in transport delays costs 0.5% of the cargo value for goods transported by ship or rail. In other words, if transporting a cargo now takes 20 days and reform can reduce transport time to 10 days, it may save the exporter 5% of the cargo's value.¹² Even poor countries can make rapid progress, as the burden imposed by complicated procedures exceeds that imposed by poor transport infrastructure.


Filing more documents is associated with more corruption in customs (see figure 9.6). Faced with long delays and frequent demands for bribes, many traders avoid customs altogether. Instead, they smuggle goods across the border. Smuggling defeats the very reason to

FIGURE 9 6

More red tape in trading—less trade, larger bribes



Corruption in exports and imports



Note: Relationships are significant at 5% level and remain significant when controlling for income per capita. Source: Doing Business database, WEF (2004), World Bank (2005d).


have border control of trade: to ensure high quality of goods and levy taxes.

That brings us back to Fabien, the shop owner in Burundi. High transport and customs costs may not be his biggest headache. Demand is low—a new bicycle is a luxury for most people in Burundi, where annual incomes average \$90. And the police periodically come to his shop to receive "presents." But if the government wants to make it easier to run businesses, reducing trade costs is a good place to start.

Notes

- 1. Bhagwati (2004).
- 2. Freund (2000).
- 3. De Wulf (2004).
- 4. De Wulf (2004).
- 5. Alavi (2004).
- 6. Goorman (2004).
- 7. Velchev (2005). Some of this increase is due to a favorable exchange rate with the dollar during this period.
- 8. Mwangi (2004).

- For example, a consultant for Société Générale de Surveillance (SGS), a Swiss company, is alleged to have channeled \$9 million to a former prime minister of Pakistan, to persuade the government to retain SGS. See Miller and Balgobin (2002).
- 10. UNCTAD (2004).
- 11. See Hausman, Subramanian and Lee (2005) and Subramanian and Anderson (2005).
- 12. Hummels (2001).



Tiago, who runs a textiles business in Timor-Leste, summarizes his experience with the courts: "I never use them. None of the businesses that I deal with use them either. What's the point? Whoever pays the larger bribe wins the case. Even then, collecting your debt would be a miracle." A miracle indeed—it takes 69 procedures and 990 days to resolve a commercial dispute in the country's courts. To collect a debt of \$1,000, a business would have to pay \$1,800 in court and attorney fees, making Timor-Leste the most difficult place to enforce a contract (table 10.1).

The inefficiency of the courts in Timor-Leste may be extreme, but there is room for improvement everywhere. The most common complaint involves delays in the courts and in executing judgments once a judge has ruled (figure 10.1). The second most common complaint is protracted appeals. The third is incompetent court

TABLE 10.1

Where is enforcing contracts easy-and where not?

Easiest	Most difficult
Norway	Benin
Denmark	Kyrgyz Republic
Japan	Congo, Rep.
New Zealand	Syria
Iceland	Chad
Tunisia	Sudan
Lithuania	Cameroon
Greece	Central African Republic
Switzerland	Congo, Dem. Rep.
United States	Timor-Leste

Note: Rankings on the ease of enforcing contracts are the average of the country rankings on the procedures, time and cost to collect a debt through the courts. See the Data notes for details.

Source: Doing Business database.


clerks, bailiffs and other judicial officials. And corruption is considered a problem in 43 countries.

In 2004, 16 countries reformed procedures for contract enforcement. Serbia and Montenegro cut nearly 400 days off the time it takes to go through court. Kazakhstan, Latvia, Slovenia and Vietnam also reduced delays.

The most popular reform was to streamline appeals and impose time limits on their filing. Five countries—Brazil, Burundi, the Czech Republic, Romania and Rwanda—introduced rules to ensure that debtors do not abuse the process. The time and cost savings allowed more businesses to use the courts. Uganda saw a 62% increase in commercial cases filed after similar reforms in 2002. "We have more faith in the courts now, more trust," says Musoke, a local businessman. "The president is now mentioning us in his speeches," adds a judge in Kampala.

FIGURE 10 1

What is the biggest obstacle to enforcing a contract?



Note: Share of countries where the obstacle was cited by all survey respondents. *Source: Doing Business* database.

Who is reforming?

Serbia and Montenegro, the country with the third longest delay in 2003, went from 1,028 days to 635 days. Two new laws—on civil procedure and enforcement of judgments—were passed. These contain strict time limits. For example, a debtor has only 3 days to file an appeal after the judge rules. The judge then has 3 days to decide its merit. Previously this back-and-forth could take 10 months.


Africa has the least efficient courts. But 2 countries made significant reforms in 2004. Burundi introduced a new summary procedure for debt recovery, requiring fewer steps and written statements. In addition, the deadline for filing an appeal was cut from 60 days to 30. And enforcement can now be done by private bailiffs, not just by court officials. Similar reforms in Colombia in 2003 and in Slovakia in 2002 have enjoyed tremendous success.

Rwanda created specialized chambers in trial courts for litigation related to business, financial and tax matters. Moreover, 1 professional judge now presides over such cases, assisted by 2 lay judges. Previously a 3-judge jury was required. "I was suspicious of the changes at first, but now I am a believer. Cases that sat in the courts for years are now resolved in months," says Andre, a trader. Still, big problems remain: some chambers have yet to become operational for lack of trained lay judges.

The top 10 reformers cut the average time to resolve

FIGURE 10.2

Reforms cut time in court for entrepreneurs



Source: Doing Business database

TABLE 10).2			
Major	court	reforms	in	2004

Reform	Country
Streamlined appeais	Belarus, Brazil, Burundi, Czech Republic, Romania, Rwanda, Serbia and Montenegro
Shortened time for enforcement	Germany, Kazakhstan, Latvia, Poland, Rwanda
Set time limits on judgments	Philippines, Rwanda, Serbia and Montenegro, Vietnam
Introduced summary proceedings	Burundi, Latvia
Established case management	Slovenia

Source: Doing Business database.

a dispute by 12%, with Serbia and Montenegro reducing delays by 38% and Rwanda by 22% (figure 10.2). Reforms also lowered costs-for example, in Rwanda attorney fees fell by 15%. The most popular court reform in 2004-introduced in 7 countries-dealt with delays in appeals (table 10.2). Burundi, Romania and Rwanda introduced a rehearing with the judge who presided over the original case and has already reviewed the evidence. This is in lieu of a first appeal and saves considerable time. Previously appellate judges reviewed the evidence from scratch. Now they review the process, not the evidence. Brazil and Serbia and Montenegro set time limits on the filing of appeals. These reforms may seem like an attack on due process, and there is clearly a balance to be struck between the rights of debtors and creditors. Yet the trend in streamlining appeals suggests that excessive delays deny justice more than a well-intentioned but prone-to-abuse appeals process.

The second most common reform—introduced in 6 countries—shortened time for the enforcement of judgments. Establishing case management systems in the courts continues to be the main reform in transition economies. In Armenia reforms have become so popular that the country's most-watched television drama features the deputy minister of justice in an improvised court. Viewers are now asking for legal advice and assistance as they recognize that the courts can now resolve disputes effectively.

What to reform?

Courts should be fast, fair and affordable. Long delays, as experienced in Italy and Guatemala (table 10.3), force businesses to look for other means of resolving disputes. If going to court is expensive, as in Timor-Leste and the Democratic Republic of Congo, fewer business transactions occur—and those that do involve only a small group of people linked by kinship, ethnicity or previous dealings. Less wealth is created.

Three reforms are most urgent:

- Reduce delays in deciding cases.
- Cut the number of appeals to the supreme court.
- · Make enforcement competitive.

Reduce delays in deciding cases

Four types of reform reduce the time it takes to enforce a contract. One is introducing summary proceedings or simplified trial procedures. Another is simplifying procedures for collecting and hearing evidence. A third is changing case management practices. The final one is using lower courts to decide simple commercial cases.

In a summary proceeding the creditor need only present the judge with evidence of the transaction and nonpayment. Debt cases no longer go through preliminary investigation before court clerks. In Burundi this reform cut nearly 3 months off the time to recover debt. In Latvia the creditor can enforce payment immediately after the hearing. Not all reforms bring the desired results. For example, in Poland a simplified trial procedure exists for small claims up to \$3,000. But few people use it. Restricted access—with claims arising from unpaid utility bills ineligible—and court fees that equal those for the regular procedure explain the lack of enthusiasm.

In 94 countries only written evidence is admitted for a judge's consideration. When judges hear cases, they read and approve the record of any new evidence. This takes days, sometimes weeks, to transcribe. In 2004 Brazil allowed oral evidence in its courts. In Germany a judge need no longer hear witnesses or experts if they have already testified on the same matter before another judge. This is possible even without the consent of the parties to the case. And if judges decide they have enough evidence, they can interrupt hearings and issue judgments. In Finland hearings are now optional. Judges can decide on cases based solely on the evidence submitted.

Case management is illustrated by Slovenia's reforms, which involved 2 changes. First, judges are re-

TABLE 10.3

Where is enforcing contracts the most efficient—and where the least?

Procedures (number)

Fewest Most				
Australia	11	Kuwait	52	
	14	Lao PDR	52 53	
Greece Iceland	14 14	Lao PDR United Arab Emirates		
	14		53 55	
Norway	14	Egypt		
Tunisia		Cameroon Sierre Leene	58	
United Kingdom	14 15	Sierra Leone	58	
Denmark		Iraq São Tomá and Dríncina	65	
Uganda	15	São Tomé and Príncipe	67 67	
Hong Kong, China Ireland	16	Sudan		
ireiano	16	Timor-Leste	69	
Time (days)				
Least		Most		
Tunisia	27	Lebanon	721	
Netherlands	48	Nigeria	730	
New Zealand	50	Congo, Dem. Rep.	909	
Japan	60	Slovenia	913	
Singapore	69	Sudan	915	
France	75	Poland	980	
Korea	75	Timor-Leste	990	
Denmark	83	Angola	1,011	
Norway	87	Italy	1,390	
Belgium	112	Guatemala	1,459	
Cost (% of overdue	e debt)			
Least		Most		
Norway	4.2	São Tomé and Príncipe	69.5	
New Zealand	4.8	Central African Republic	72.2	
Switzerland	5.2	Burkina Faso	95 <i>.</i> 4	
Denmark	5.3	Papua New Guinea	110.3	
Korea	5.4	Bhutan	113.8	
Sweden	5.9	Cambodia	121.3	
Belgium	6.2	Indonesia	126.5	
Finland	6.5	Malawi	136.5	
United States	7.5	Timor-Leste	183.1	
Taiwan, China	7.7	Congo, Dem. Rep.	256.8	
Source: Doing Rusines	r databaco			

Source: Doing Business database.

sponsible for following cases from start to finish rather than sending the parties from one court administrator to another. Second, a preliminary hearing clarifies the nature of the dispute so that parties come to the main hearing prepared. In its first year case management has cut Slovenia's average time to resolve disputes by 90 days.

Attorney fees tend to be lower in countries with case management: the average cost of resolving a dispute about a debt is 15% of the debt's value in countries with case management and 29% in countries without, even after controlling for income per capita. This is because cases move faster. Georgia is implementing case management in 15 pilot courts. In these courts the number of cases pending for more than a year dropped 23% by June 2005 compared with a year earlier. In contrast, the number of such cases fell by 5% in other courts.

Vietnam expedited contract enforcement by 2 months by moving cases to lower jurisdictions. This was accomplished by abolishing a provision mandating that provincial courts hear cases involving more than 50 million dong (\$3,200). Such cases now go to district courts. A similar reform in Lao PDR in 2003 allowed debt collection cases worth less than \$2,500 to be handled in district courts.

Cut the number of appeals to the supreme court

Appeals are necessary for fair justice and are allowed in every country. But they needn't automatically go all the way to the supreme court. Such abuse is exemplified in Brazil, where debtors use the tactic to stall enforcement: 88% of judgments in commercial cases are appealed. As a result Brazil's supreme court handles more than 115,000 cases a year. In comparison, the U.S. supreme court takes about 200 cases, as it deals mainly with constitutional issues. Federal circuit courts and state courts of appeal are the main venue for appeals on commercial cases. These intermediate courts are the most important source of due process for litigants. Access to them is generally easy since the grounds for appeal to the supreme court are limited.

The old system in the Czech Republic worked like this: when a debtor appealed the decision of the firstinstance court, the case was sent to an appeals court, which often overruled the decision and sent the case for retrial to the original court. Now the appeals court has expanded authority to issue a final and binding judgement. Fewer cases go to the supreme court. As a result the process is 4 months shorter.

Not everyone has gotten such reforms right. The 2004 civil procedure code in Burkina Faso establishes a stay on the execution of appealed judgments under several conditions. If granted, the stay could last 2 years while the case is sent to the supreme court. This more than doubles the average time to enforce a contract: rather than 446 days, such a case would take more than 1,200. A possible fix is to introduce an appeal bond—money put aside by the debtor until the appeal is considered. Such bonds are used to guarantee that judgments will be satisfied after appeals. The money should be allowed to accumulate interest, as its value may deteriorate quickly in countries with high inflation.

Make enforcement competitive

The best way to speed the recovery of overdue debt is by allowing competition in enforcing judgments. Colombia did this in 2003 by scrapping the monopoly of the courts to enforce judges' rulings. Private companies quickly moved into the business. The result: time was cut by nearly 2 months.

Hungary and Slovakia have introduced private enforcement in the past 5 years. In the Netherlands a 2001 reform removed territorial monopolies of private enforcement companies. Now they compete for business nationally. Kazakhstan has done the same, as has Burundi. In Kazakhstan it takes 20 days fewer to recover overdue debt. The effects in Burundi are still unclear, as the new industry is just being created.


Why reform?

There are several strong arguments for court reform. First, easier contract enforcement is associated with higher bank lending (figure 10.3). Consider Italy. A study of 27 judicial districts found that the average commercial case lasts 53 months, or nearly 4.5 years.¹ This is just over the 1,390 days documented using the *Doing Business* methodology. The delays cause large case backlogs. In efficient judicial districts like Venice, there are 22 cases pending per 1,000 inhabitants. Lending to the private sector is equivalent to 40% of the region's annual income. In Calabria, where the backlog is 50 cases per 1,000 inhabitants, lending is just 10% of the region's income. The price of loans is affected as well. Banks in Italian regions with the shortest court delays extended loans at 4 percentage points less interest than in regions with the longest delays.

Second, efficient courts increase entry by new firms and hiring by established ones. In Central and Eastern Europe cumbersome court procedures are associated with an 8% reduction in the number of new businesses. They also limit company growth: businesses, that are otherwise similar hire 18% fewer workers in countries with slow contract enforcement.²

Third, reform reduces demands on the government budget. For example, Croatia, Poland, Serbia and Montenegro and Slovenia have the most judges and court administrative staff (on a per capita basis) in Europe. These countries also have the most complex court procedures and longest court delays in the region. Simplified con-


FIGURE 10.3 Better courts—more credit



Note: Relationship is significant at 1% level and remains significant when controlling for income, growth and creditor rights. Source: Djankov, McLiesh and Shleifer (2005).

FIGURE 10 4


Large staff numbers in some European courts



tract enforcement would temper requests for larger court budgets. Dutch and Danish courts, for example, have only a quarter of the judges and administrators used in Croatia on a per capita basis (figure 10.4).

If Bulgaria were to adopt the Estonian process for enforcing contracts, which would imply revising the civil procedure code, a third of its judiciary budget could be reallocated to better uses.³ An easier judicial process would also bring more cases to the courts, as an estimated

FIGURE 10 5



Note: The correlation between the number of procedures to enforce a contract and integrity in judiciał decisions is 0.51.

Source: Doing Business database, WEF (2004).


Notes

- 1. Jappelli, Pagano and Bianco (2005).
- 2 Desai, Gompers and Lerner (2004).
- 3. Dimitrov and Stanchev (2005).
- 4. See recent evidence on Poland in World Bank (2005c).
- 5. Batra, Kaufmann and Stone (2003).

\$4 billion in overdue credit is currently handled outside the judicial system. Analysis suggests that in just 5 years such reform might create about 70,000 jobs, since more money would be available for new business activity.

Fourth, the integrity of the judiciary is higher in countries with faster resolution of cases and fewer procedures (figure 10.5). And businesses use courts more if they see value in their dispute resolution services.

Legal experts often argue that stricter procedural requirements lead to more fairness. They do not, at least in developing countries. Procedural complexity makes it difficult for typical businesses to understand the process. As a result they avoid courts in favor of simpler, often informal, alternatives.⁴ And countries with more procedures to enforce a contract, such as Egypt, are not the ones where businesses consider the process fairer. Quite the opposite: a World Bank survey of more than 10,000 enterprises in 82 countries shows that fewer procedures are associated with more fairness and impartiality in the legal system.⁵ This makes for happier clients and a more credible justice system.



"To my friends, everything. To my enemies, the law," says a Brazilian proverb. The case of Engesa shows why. In 1985 the Brazilian manufacturer hit financial difficulties. When its fortunes continued to fall and workers became redundant, there was no cash for severance. Engesa filed for reorganization in 1990. A complicated liquidation trial was launched, with the judge besieged by disputes and appeals. It took more than 2 years just to compile a list of claimants. Fifteen years after the bankruptcy filing, less than half the debts have been resolved.

No more. Brazil passed a new bankruptcy law in 2004. A reorganization procedure gives troubled companies a better chance to stay alive. Creditors have more power to influence the proceedings. Secured creditors enjoy preference over tax claims, and labor claims are limited. The expected time to go through bankruptcy was halved, from 10 years to 5. If Brazil's new law works as a similar law in Spain did, it could inject 204 billion reals into the economy in 6 years.¹ If this money were put to productive uses, more than 400,000 jobs could be saved.²


Brazil joins 88 other countries with major reforms to bankruptcy law since World War II. More than half the reforms happened in the past 10 years, and most in rich countries. The reforms have typically worked. Recovery rates for bankruptcy claimants—creditors, workers and government—are significantly higher for the reformers, even controlling for country income levels (figure 11.1). The reason: reformed bankruptcy regimes allow viable businesses to weather a short-term liquidity crisis, and insolvent businesses are rapidly liquidated.

Every country needs effective procedures for closing a failed business or saving a viable one that is experienc-

ing temporary problems. But bankruptcy is not the only solution. In poor countries bankruptcy is rarely used. Rich countries averaged around 10,000 bankruptcy filings between 1999 and 2003. Poor countries, fewer than 50. Cameroon, Georgia and Lao PDR have each seen fewer than 10.

These countries are not short of failed enterprises. But it is naive to expect that complex bankruptcy proceedings will rejuvenate businesses. Even in rich countries rates of successful reorganization, where the business comes out of bankruptcy without changing ownership or management, are low—12% in the United States, 3% in France and 2% in the United Kingdom.³ Reformers in poor countries would do better to focus on improving foreclosure of secured debt outside of bankruptcy, thus reducing reliance on the courts.





Who is reforming?


Bankruptcy laws were revamped in 9 countries during 2004. Seven of them—Brazil, Finland, Indonesia, Japan, Portugal, Serbia and Montenegro and Vietnam—passed new bankruptcy acts. But Madagascar and Thailand reduced efficiency with amendments making bankruptcy more complex.

In Portugal a new insolvency code sped proceedings and enlarged creditors' powers. The law provides for a single insolvency proceeding. The judge, administrator and creditors jointly examine the company's prospects and decide whether to pursue liquidation or reorganization. In the old system liquidation and reorganization were pursued through separate channels. The new system expands the range of conditions that trigger proceedings, making it easier to file for bankruptcy. The changes cut 6 months off the time to go through insolvency. Recovery rates, calculated using the *Doing Business* methodology, jumped from 69 cents on the dollar to 75 (figure 11.2).

In Serbia and Montenegro recovery rates are expected to jump by 45%—to 29 cents on the dollar thanks to a new law adopted in August 2004. Under the old laws few private companies went through bankruptcy proceedings, because that could take up to 12 years. The new law sets strict time limits: parties have 5 days to raise objections to the resolution, appeals must be made within 8 days after the ruling, and the court has 30 days to issue a decision on an appeal. The changes will cut the time to an estimated 1.5 years.

East Asia saw the most reform. Indonesia and Vietnam clarified and improved their bankruptcy laws. Indonesia's new law gives more precise definitions and codifies case law. Creditors now have expanded powers to file and vote on reorganization plans. *Doing Business* respondents estimate that the time to resolve bankruptcy has fallen by 6 months, increasing recovery from 10 cents on the dollar to 13.

Vietnam's 1993 bankruptcy law had been rarely used in the past dozen years. Only 45 businesses were declared bankrupt, and many of those were state owned. The 2004 law makes it easier to file for bankruptcy. Only one condition is required: that a business be unable to pay a due debt on request. Before, the business also had to suffer losses for 2 years and attempt reorganization. Another change: secured creditors' claims rank ahead of those of the tax office. The reforms are expected to cut 6 months off the process. And recovery rates have



Source: Doing Business database.

increased from 16 cents on the dollar to 19.

Japan's reforms sped bankruptcy by allowing more companies to file in the better-equipped Tokyo and Osaka courts and permitting the liquidator to sell assets free of other claims. Before, consent of all creditors was required to sell assets, delaying the process. Finland improved efficiency by abolishing a 3-stage appointment of the administrator. But costs rose slightly because powers were transferred from the court to the (more expensive) administrator. Both countries changed the priority order of claimants. Japan now favors the bankruptcy trustee, taxes and employees. Finland favors secured creditors.

Some reforms were for the worse. A common tactic for delaying the bankruptcy process is to appeal: Thailand made it easier for debtors to use and abuse the appeals process. It abolished a 1999 provision that limited interlocutory appeals—appeals of decisions during the trial—as well as appeals on reorganization. On the bright side the reforms reduced asset collection fees, cutting costs by 2%. Madagascar—the only African reformer—also went backward, introducing complex reorganization provisions in a country that has had only a handful of bankruptcies. Delays increased.

Another dozen countries made minor changes. FYR Macedonia streamlined asset auction procedures and will introduce a new bankruptcy law in 2006. Burkina Faso increased the number of judges and cut the backlog of bankruptcy cases. In Bosnia and Herzegovina only 8 months of salary now take priority over secured creditors. Before the reform all salaries owed had priority. Chile, Italy and Slovakia adopted changes that will come into effect in late 2005.

What to reform?

Bankruptcy is hopelessly inefficient in most countries. Claims are eroded by long delays, by high costs and by laws that either kill viable businesses or keep unviable ones alive. On average, only 32 cents of every dollar owed creditors, workers, tax agencies and other claimants are available for distribution. South Asian countries have the worst recovery rate, averaging 17 cents, followed by African countries with 27 cents. Only in the OECD and some middle-income economies can creditors recover half or more of overdue debt (table 11.1).

Poor countries can most easily boost recovery rates by improving foreclosure processes. For middle-income countries, speeding liquidation should be the priority. Other helpful reforms: providing specialized expertise, limiting appeals, reducing court powers and paying administrators for maximizing the estate value. These were discussed in earlier editions of *Doing Business*. Reforms can also:


- Encourage continuous operation of viable businesses.
- Set up creditors' committees.
- Give entrepreneurs a chance for a fresh start.

Encourage continuous operation of viable businesses

Efficient bankruptcy laws break up unviable firms and save viable ones. In the hope of keeping viable firms alive, more than 60 countries have adopted reorganization laws in the past 25 years. But adopting reorganization is not the same thing as helping distressed businesses restructure their finances and operations.

FIGURE 11 3





Note: Different procedures make no significant difference in survival rates. Source: Doing Business database. Albania's reorganization procedure has not preserved a single viable business. And countries in which *Doing Business* respondents indicate that a firm will go through reorganization proceedings are no more likely to save viable firms (figure 11.3). Procedures such as Algeria's, Ecuador's and, until 2004, Vietnam's—which require attempts at reorganization before liquidation—seldom keep a viable firm in operation.

TABLE 11.1

Where is bankruptcy the most efficient----and where the least?

Time (years)

Least		Most	
Ireland	0.4	Philippines	5.7
Japan	0.6	Belarus	5.8
Canada	0.8	Turkey	5.9
Singapore	0.8	Angola	6.2
Taiwan, China	0.8	Oman	7.0
Belgium	0.9	Mauritania	8.0
Finland	0.9	Czech Republic	9.2
Norway	0.9	Brazil	10.0
Spain	1.0	Chad	10.0
United Kingdom	1.0	India	10.0

Cost (% of estate)

Least		Most	
Colombia	1.0	Albania	38
Kuwait	1.0	Dominican Republic	38
Netherlands	1.0	Philippines	38
Norway	1.0	Venezuela	38
Singapore	1.0	Guyana	42
Belgium	3.5	Sierra Leone	42
Canada	3.5	Ukraine	42
Finland	3.5	Chad	63
Japan	3.5	Central African Republic	76
Taiwan, China	3.5	Lao PDR	76

Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)

	Lowest	
92.6	Haiti	2.9
91.3	Niger	2.6
91.1	Zimbabwe	2.1
90.1	Congo, Dem. Rep.	1.6
89.4	Angola	0.6
89.0	Brazil	0.5
88.0	Timor-Leste	0.0
86.7	Lao PDR	0.0
86.6	Central African Republic	0.0
85.3	Chad	0.0
	91.3 91.1 90.1 89.4 89.0 88.0 86.7 86.6	92.6Haiti91.3Niger91.1Zimbabwe90.1Congo, Dem. Rep.89.4Angola89.0Brazil88.0Timor-Leste86.7Lao PDR86.6Central African Republic

Source: Doing Business database.

FIGURE 11 4

Higher recovery with creditor involvement





FIGURE 11 5

How to discourage entrepreneurs: penalize the honest ones

Penalties for bankruptcy in cases without fraud (number of countries)



Source: Doing Business database.

Foreclosures and liquidations, by contrast, can keep businesses in operation. Foreclosure often leads to the sale of the entire firm to new owners, who keep it running as a going concern. And even in liquidation the firm can keep operating, as in Botswana, Denmark, Poland and Sweden. Creditors win, because saving viable firms yields higher recovery. Workers win too, because they keep their jobs.

There are better ways than reorganization to save viable firms. First, don't require interruption of business operations. Twenty-five countries require firms to discontinue operations once the bankruptcy petition is approved. Second, keep the bankruptcy process moving. By the time companies are in their third year of bankruptcy, the chance of surviving is slim. Short processes help maximize the value of the estate. Long ones often lead to the stripping of enterprise assets.

Brazil's new law encourages going-concern sales at the beginning of liquidation and allows buyers to take assets free of tax and labor liabilities. Previously asset sales could start only after liquidation proceedings ended, and they were subject to existing claims. Other reforms also help. One is allowing the administrator to rescind or compel performance on contracts. Another: letting the business obtain fresh loans that have priority for the lender-which could increase the chance of survival from 22% to 38%. And yet another: allowing management to dismiss employees during the bankruptcy process to help keep the company going. Many jobs will be saved if a viable business can continue operating. The benefits are large. Countries that keep viable firms alive have recovery averaging 59 cents on the dollar. Those that don't, 21 cents.

Set up creditors' committees

Finland's reforms gave creditors the right to set up a creditors' committee that advises the administrator. This is obligatory for large estates. Six of the other 8 reformers expanded the powers of creditors. Doing so is associated with higher recovery rates (figure 11.4). The reason is that such reforms align the incentives of creditors with reorganizing viable firms and closing down unviable ones. When creditors participate in decisions, proceedings tend to maximize recovery. And when creditors have the right to choose which bankruptcy procedure to follow—reorganization or liquidation—they opt for reorganization only when the chances of successful recovery are high.

Other countries are recognizing the benefits of involving creditors. Chile's reforms in May 2005 authorized creditors—rather than the courts—to appoint the trustee. Slovakia's upcoming bankruptcy law does the same. Indonesia's reform gives secured creditors the right to vote in reorganization. And Brazil's also brings secured creditors into the voting on reorganization proceedings.

Give entrepreneurs a chance for a fresh start

In Greece bankrupt entrepreneurs lose their trading license. A Lithuanian entrepreneur may face criminal penalties even in the absence of fraud. Such measures are common. In two-thirds of countries managers of bankrupt firms can be punished for negligence even if there is no fraud (figure 11.5). In 39% of countries managers can be barred from taking corporate positions. The ban lasts an average of 4 years. In Chad and Lebanon it lasts 10. Such measures discourage the use of bankruptcy. And they dampen entrepreneurship: studies in the United States show that entrepreneurs try several business ideas before succeeding. Punishing fraud is justified, but bankruptcy is different. An entrepreneur can have bad luck or make mistakes. Bankrupt debtors face stigma anyway. Why compound it with legal penalties?

Reforming such penalty provisions in bankruptcy laws has been popular. Madagascar's new law separates

management sanction from liquidation. Previously they were one and the same, whether or not there was fraud. Thailand's 2004 reform distinguishes fraudulent bankrupts from those in good faith. In Poland before 2003, bankrupt entrepreneurs were automatically banned from getting a fresh start for 5 years. Now it could be for 3–10 years, but only if the court decides the case involves fraud. The United Kingdom's Enterprise Act of 2002 removed automatic penalties on bankrupt debtors.


Why reform?

Bottlenecks in bankruptcy cut the amount that claimants can recover.⁴ In countries where bankruptcy is used, this is a strong deterrent to investment. Access to credit shrinks. And nonperforming loans and financial risk rise because creditors cannot recover overdue loans (figure 11.6). Even in poor countries, where bankruptcy is rarely used, efficient laws can serve as a threat and encourage debtors to negotiate and restructure outside of bankruptcy. In Egypt an estimated 95% of bankruptcy filings are made to speed informal workouts and enforcement.⁵

Easier exit means easier entry. Bankruptcy laws can also encourage entrepreneurs. One study shows that reforms to encourage a fresh start have raised rates of entrepreneurship by 8–9%.⁶ The freedom to fail, and to do so through an efficient process, puts people and capital to its most effective use. The result is more productive businesses, and more jobs.

FIGURE 11 6

Higher recovery—fewer defaults, fewer credit constraints



Source: Doing Business database, IMF (2005a), WEF (2004).

Higher


Perceived access to credit



Notes

- Gazeta Mercantil (Brazil), "New Bankruptcy Law Could Inject R\$204 bn," June 2, 2005.
- 2. Azar and Lu (2004).
- 3. Couwenberg (2001).

- 4. World Bank (2004a) and Franks and Loranth (2005).
- 5. Data from Doing Business local partners.
- 6. Armour and Cumming (2005).



- Acemoglu, Daron. 2001. "Credit Market Imperfections and Persistent Unemployment." *European Economic Review* 45 (4–6): 665–79.
- Alavi, Hamid. 2004. "Good Practice in Trade Facilitation: Lessons from Tunisia." PREM Economic Policy Note 89. World Bank, Washington, D.C.
- Alesina, Alberto, Silvia Ardagna, Giuseppe Nicoletti and Fabio Schiantarelli. 2003. Regulation and Investment. NBER Working Paper 9560. Cambridge, Mass.: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Alm, James, Patricia Annez and Arbind Modi. 2004. "Stamp Duties in Indian States: A Case for Reform." Policy Research Working Paper 3413. World Bank, Washington, D.C.
- Angrist, Joshua, and Adriana Kugler. 2003. "Protective or Counter-Productive? Labor Market Institutions and the Effect of Immigration on EU Natives." *Economic Journal* 113 (488): 302–31.
- Armour, John, and Douglas Cumming. 2005. "Bankruptcy Law and Entrepreneurship." University of Cambridge Centre for Business Research Working Paper 300.
- Avery, Robert, Paul Calem and Glenn Canner. 2004. "Credit Report Accuracy and Access to Credit." *Federal Reserve Board Bulletin* (summer): 297–322.
- Azar, Ziad Raymond, and Marcelo Lu. 2004. "Comparing the Old and New Brazilian Bankruptcy Law." Inter-American Trade Report 12(3).
- Batra, Geeta, Daniel Kaufmann and Andrew Stone. 2003. Investment Climate around the World: Voices of the Firms from the World Business Environment Survey. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Berglof, Erik, and Stijn Claessens. 2004. "Enforcement and Corporate Governance." Policy Research Working Paper 3409. World Bank, Washington, D.C.
- Bertrand, Marianne, and Francis Kramarz. 2002. "Does Entry Regulation Hinder Job Creation? Evidence from the French Retail Industry." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 117 (4): 1369–413.

- Bhagwati, Jagdish. 2004. In Defense of Globalization. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bird, Richard. 2003. "Administrative Dimensions of Tax Reform." Draft module prepared for course on Practical Issues of Tax Policy in Developing Countries, World Bank, Washington, D.C., April 28–May 1.
- Bird, Richard, and Oliver Oldman. 2000. "Improving Taxpayer Service and Facilitating Compliance in Singapore." PREM Note 48. World Bank, Poverty Reduction and Economic Management Network, Washington, D.C. http://www1 .worldbank.org/prem/PREMNotes/premnote 48.pdf.
- Black, Bernard. 2001. "The Legal and Institutional Preconditions for Strong Securities Markets." UCLA Law Review 48 (3): 781–855.
- Blanchard, Olivier, and Thomas Philippon. 2004. "The Quality of Labor Regulations and Unemployment." Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Economics, Cambridge, Mass.
- Blanchard, Olivier, and Pedro Portugal. 1998. What Hides Behind an Unemployment Rate: Comparing Portuguese and U.S. Unemployment. NBER Working Paper 6636. Cambridge, Mass.: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Bolaky, Bineswaree, and Caroline Freund. 2004. "Trade, Regulations, and Growth." Policy Research Working Paper 3255. World Bank, Washington, D.C.
- Botero, Juan, Simeon Djankov, Rafael La Porta, Florencio López-de-Silanes and Andrei Shleifer. 2004. "The Regulation of Labor," *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 119 (4): 1339–82.
- Burns, Tony. 2005. "Registering Property: Country Case Studies of Reform in Armenia, Ghana, New Zealand, Peru, Thailand." Working paper. World Bank Group, Private Sector Development Vice Presidency, Monitoring, Analysis and Policy Unit, Washington, D.C.
- Caballero, Ricardo, Kevin Cowan, Eduardo Engel and Alejandro Micco. 2005. "Effective Labor Regulation and Microeconomic Flexibility." MIT Department of Economics

Working Paper 04-30. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Mass.

- Chaves, Rodrigo, Nuria de la Peña and Heywood Fleisig. 2004. "Secured Transactions Reform: Early Results from Romania." CEAL Issues Brief. Center for the Economic Analysis of Law, Washington, D.C.
- Council of Europe. 2004. "European Judicial Systems 2002." European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice, Strasbourg.
- Couwenberg, Oscar. 2001. "Survival Rates in Bankruptcy Systems: Overlooking the Evidence." *European Journal of Law and Economics* 12 (3): 253–73.
- Davydenko, Sergei, and Julian Franks. 2005. "Do Bankruptcy Codes Matter? A Study of Defaults in France, Germany and the UK." Finance Working Paper 89. European Corporate Governance Institute, Brussels.
- de Soto, Hernando. 2000. *The Mystery of Capital*. New York: Basic Books.
- De Wulf, Luc. 2004. "Ghana." In Luc De Wulf and José B. Sokol, eds., *Customs Modernization Initiatives: Case Studies.* Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Deininger, Klaus. 2003. Land Policies for Growth and Poverty Reduction. World Bank Policy Research Report. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Desai, Mihir A., C. Fritz Foley and James R. Hines Jr. 2004. "Foreign Direct Investment in a World of Multiple Taxes." *Journal of Public Economics* 88: 2727–44.
- Desai, Mihir, Paul Gompers and Josh Lerner. 2004. "Institutions, Capital Constraints and Entrepreneurial Firm Dynamics: Evidence from Europe." Harvard Business School, Cambridge, Mass.
- Dimitrov, Martin, and Krasen Stanchev. 2005. "Privatizing Enforcement." *Economic Policy Brief* 8 (213): 3–8.
- Djankov, Simeon, Rafael La Porta and Andrei Shleifer. 2005. "The Law and Economics of Self-Dealing." Harvard University, Department of Economics, Cambridge, Mass.
- Djankov, Simeon, Caralee McLiesh and Rita Ramalho. 2004. "Regulation and Growth." Working paper. World Bank Group, Private Sector Development Vice Presidency, Monitoring, Analysis and Policy Unit, Washington, D.C.
- Djankov, Simeon, Caralee McLiesh and Andrei Shleifer. 2005. *Private Credit in 129 Countries*. NBER Working Paper 11078. Cambridge, Mass.: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Djankov, Simeon, Rafael La Porta, Florencio López-de-Silanes and Andrei Shleifer. 2002. "The Regulation of Entry." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 117 (1): 1–37.
- 2003. "Courts," Quarterly Journal of Economics, 118 (2): 453–517.
- Dreher, Axel, Christos Kotsogiannis and Steve McCorriston. 2004. "Corruption around the World: Evidence from a Structural Model." University of Exeter, Department of Economics.
- ———. 2005. "How Do Institutions Affect Corruption and the Shadow Economy?" University of Exeter, Department of Economics.
- Dulleck, Uwe, Paul Frijters and Rudolf Winter-Ebmer. 2003. "Reducing Start-Up Costs for New Firms: The Double

Dividend on the Labor Market." IZA Discussion Paper 923. Institute for the Study of Labor, Bonn.

- Ebell, Monique, and Christian Haefke. 2003. "Product Market Deregulation and Labor Market Outcomes." IZA Discussion Paper 957. Institute for the Study of Labor, Bonn.
- Engelschalk, Michael. 2004. "Creating a Favorable Tax Environment for Small Business." In James Alm, Jorge Martinez-Vazquez and Sally Wallace, eds., *Taxing the Hard to Tax: Lessons from Theory and Practice.* Boston: Elsevier.
- Engen, Eric, and Jonathan Skinner. 1996. "Taxation and Economic Growth." National Tax Journal 49 (4): 617–42.
- EU (European Union). 2004. Facing the Challenge: The Lisbon Strategy for Growth and Employment. Brussels.
- European Council. 1997. Council Directive 96/97/EC. Official Journal of the European Community 46, 17.2.1997.
- Feder, Gershon. 2002. "The Intricacies of Land Markets: Why the World Bank Succeeds in Economic Reform through Land Registration and Tenure Security." Paper presented at the Conference of the International Federation of Surveyors, Washington, D.C., April 19–26.
- FIAS (Foreign Investment Advisory Service). 2002. Administrative Barriers to Investment in Latvia. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- ——. 2004. Administrative Barriers to Investment in the Russian Federation. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Field, Erica. 2002. "Entitled to Work: Urban Property Rights and Labor Supply in Peru." Princeton Law & Public Affairs Working Paper 02-1. Princeton University, Princeton, N.J.
- 2004. "Property Rights, Community Public Goods, and Household Time Allocation in Urban Squatter Communities." William and Mary Law Review 45 (3): 837–87.
- Fields, Gary, Paul L. Cichello, Marta Menendez and David Newhouse. 2002. "Household Income Dynamics: A Four-Country Study." *Journal of Development Studies* 40(2).
- Fjeldstad, Odd-Helge, and Lise Rakner. 2003. *Taxation and Tax Reforms in Developing Countries: Illustrations from Sub-Saharan Africa*. CMI Report R 2003: 6. Bergen, Norway: Chr. Michelsen Institute.
- Fonseca, Raquel, Paloma Lopez-Garcia and Christopher Pissarides. 2001. "Entrepreneurship, Start-Up Costs and Employment." *European Economic Review* 45 (4–6): 692–705.
- Franks, Julian, and Gyongyi Loranth. 2005. "A Study of Inefficient Going Concerns in Bankruptcy." CEPR Discussion Paper 5035. Centre for Economic Policy Research, London.
- Freund, Caroline. 2000. "Multilateralism and the Endogenous Formation of Preferential Trade Agreements." *Journal of International Economics* 52 (2): 359–76.
- Goolsbee, Austan. 2002. The Impact and Inefficiency of the Corporate Income Tax: Evidence from State Organizational Form Data. NBER Working Paper 9141. Cambridge, Mass.: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Goorman, Adrien. 2004. "Peru." In Luc De Wulf and José B. Sokol, eds., *Customs Modernization Initiatives: Case Studies.* Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Gropp, Reint, J. Karl Scholz and Michelle White. 1997. "Personal Bankruptcy and Credit Supply and Demand." Quarterly Journal of Economics 112: 217–52.


- Hausman, Warren, Uma Subramanian and Hau Lee. 2005. "The Role of Logistics and Supply Chain Indicators on Trade Competitiveness." World Bank, Investment Climate Department, Washington, D.C.
- Heckman, James, and Carmen Pagés, eds. 2004. Law and Employment: Lessons from Latin America and the Caribbean. Chicago: University of Chicago Press for National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Holmes, Thomas. 1998. "The Effect of State Policies on the Location of Manufacturing: Evidence from State Borders." *Journal of Political Economy* 106 (4): 667–705.
- Hummels, David. 2001. "Toward a Geography of Trade Costs." Purdue University, Department of Economics, West Lafayette, Ind.
- Ibbotson, Roger, Laurence Siegel and Kathryn Love. 1985. "World Wealth: Market Values and Returns." Journal of Portfolio Management 12 (1): 4–23.
- ILO (International Labour Organization). (1998, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003). *ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work.* Geneva.
 - -----. 2004. Household Labour Force Survey in Turkey. Geneva.
- ILO (International Labour Organization). 2005. Laborsta database at http://laborsta.ilo.org. Visited July 2005.
- IMF (International Monetary Fund). 2005a. International Financial Statistics. Washington, D.C.
 - 2005b. Government Finance Statistics. International Monetary Fund, Washington, D.C.
- Iradian, Garbis. 2005. "Inequality, Poverty and Growth: Cross-Country Evidence." IMF Working Paper WP/05/28. International Monetary Fund, Washington, D.C.
- Ivanova, Anna, Michael Keen and Alexander Klemm. 2005. "The Russian Flat Tax Reform." IMF Working Paper WP/05/16. International Monetary Fund, Washington, D.C.
- Jappelli, Tullio, Marco Pagano and Magda Bianco. 2005. "Courts and Banks: Effects of Judicial Enforcement on Credit Markets." *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 37 (2): 223–44.
- Kagame, Paul. 2002. Remarks by the President of the Republic of Rwanda at the occasion marking the fifth anniversary of the Rwandan bar association, Kigali, November 9.
- King, Robert, and Ross Levine. 1993. "Finance and Growth: Schumpeter Might Be Right." Quarterly Journal of Economics 108 (3): 717–37.
- La Porta, Rafael, Florencio López-de-Silanes and Andrei Shleifer. Forthcoming. "What Works in Securities Laws?" *Journal of Finance.*
- La Porta, Rafael, Florencio López-de-Silanes, Andrei Shleifer and Robert Vishny. 1997. "Legal Determinants of External Finance." *Journal of Finance* 52 (3): 1131–50.
 - ——. 1998. "Law and Finance." Journal of Political Economy, 106 (6): 1113–55.
- Lee, Young, and Roger Gordon. 2004. "Tax Structure and Economic Growth." University of California at San Diego, Department of Economics. http://www.econ.ucsd.edu/ %7Erogordon/papers.html.

- Lerner, Josh, and Antoinette Schoar. 2005. "Does Legal Enforcement Affect Financial Transactions? The Contractual Channel in Private Equity." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 120 (1): 223–46.
- Loayza, Norman, Ana Maria Oviedo and Luis Serven. 2004. "Regulation and Macroeconomic Performance." Policy Research Working Paper 3469. World Bank, Washington, D.C.
- Love, Inessa, and Nataliya Mylenko. 2003. "Credit Reporting and Financing Constraints." Policy Research Working Paper 3142. World Bank, Washington, D.C.
- Meadows, Pamela. 2003. "Retirement Ages in the UK: A Review of the Literature." Employment Relations Research Series 18. U.K. Department of Treasury and Industry, London.
- Micco, Alejandro, and Carmen Pagés. 2004. "Employment Protection and Gross Job Flows: A Difference-in-Difference Approach." Research Department Working Paper 505. Inter-American Development Bank, Washington, D.C.
- Miller, William, and Nadia Balgobin. 2002. "Overcoming the Ethics Crisis: Société Générale de Surveillance." International Business Ethics Institute Magazine 5 (1): 5–9.
- Moore, David. 2005. "Slovakia's 2004 Tax and Welfare Reforms." IMF Working Paper WP/05/133. International Monetary Fund, Washington, D.C.
- Mwangi, Anthony. 2004. "Mozambique." In Luc De Wulf and José B. Sokol, eds., *Customs Modernization Initiatives: Case Studies.* Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Narayan, Deepa, with Raj Patel, Kai Schafft, Anne Rademacher and Sarah Koch-Schulte. 2000. Voices of the Poor: Can Anyone Hear Us? New York: Oxford University Press.
- Netherlands, Ministry of Finance. 2005. Reducing Administrative Burdens: Now Full Steam Ahead. The Hague.
- Neumark, David, and William Wascher. 2004. "Minimum Wages, Labor Market Institutions, and Youth Employment: A Cross-National Analysis." *Industrial and Labor Relations Review* 57 (2): 223–48.
- Pierre, Gaelle, and Stefano Scarpetta. Forthcoming. "Employment Protection: Do Firms' Perceptions Match with Legislation?" *Economic Letters*.
- Qian, Jun, and Philip Strahan. 2005. *How Law and Institutions* Shape Financial Contracts: The Case of Bank Loans. NBER Working Paper 11052. Cambridge, Mass.: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Saavedra, Jaime, and Máximo Torero. 2004. "The Effect of Job Security Regulations on Labor Market Flexibility: Evidence from the Colombian Labor Market Reform." In James Heckman and Carmen Pagés, eds., Law and Employment: Lessons from Latin America and the Caribbean. Chicago: University of Chicago Press for National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Schneider, Friedrich. 2005. "The Informal Sector in 145 Countries," University of Linz, Department of Economics.
- Shleifer, Andrei, and Daniel Wolfenzon. 2002. "Investor Protection and Equity Markets." *Journal of Financial Economics* 66 (1): 3–27.
- Slemrod, Joel. 1995. "What Do Cross-Country Studies Teach about Government Involvement, Prosperity, and Economic Growth?" *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, no. 2: 373–431.

Slovak Statistical Office. 2005. Labour Force Survey. Bratislava.

- Subramanian, Uma, and William Anderson. 2005. "Are We There Yet? The Impact of Logistics Time on Trade." World Bank, Investment Climate Department, Washington, D.C.
- Svensson, Jakob. 2003. "Who Must Pay Bribes and How Much? Evidence from a Cross-Section of Firms." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 118 (1): 207–30.
- Tan Chee-Wee, Pan Shan-Ling, and Eric T. K. Lim. 2005. "Towards the Restoration of Public Trust in Electronic Governments: A Case Study of the E-Filing System in Singapore." In Proceedings of the 38th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences. http://csdl2.computer.org/ comp/proceedings/hicss/2005/2268/05/22680126c.pdf.
- Tanzi, Vito, and Howell Zee. 2000. "Tax Policy for Emerging Markets: Developing Countries." IMF Working Paper WP/00/35. International Monetary Fund, Washington, D.C.
- UNCTAD (United Nations Conference on Trade and Development). 2004. *Handbook of Statistics 2004.* Geneva.
- UNDP (United Nations Development Programme). 2004. Human Development Report 2004. New York: Oxford University Press.

- Velchev, Milen. 2005. "On the Occasion of Signing a New Contract with Crown Agents." Bulgaria, Ministry of Finance, Press Office, Sofia.
- World Bank. 1991. Lessons of Tax Reform. Washington, D.C.
- -------. 2003. Doing Business in 2004: Understanding Regulation. Washington, D.C.
- ——. 2004a. Doing Business in 2005: Removing Obstacles to Growth. Washington, D.C.
- ——. 2004b. Improving Enterprise Performance and Growth in Tanzania. Washington, D.C.
- 2005a. "Aide Memoire: Judicial Reform Project in Armenia." Europe and Central Asia Region, Poverty Reduction and Economic Management, Washington, D.C.
- ——. 2005b. Old Age Income Support in the 21st Century. Washington, D.C.
- ———. 2005c. "Poland: Legal Barriers to Contract Enforcement." Private Sector Development Vice Presidency, Foreign Investment Advisory Service, Washington, D.C.
- -------. 2005d. World Development Indicators 2005. Washington, D.C.
- WEF (World Economic Forum). 2004. Global Competitiveness Report 2004/2005. Geneva.



The indicators presented and analyzed in Doing Business measure government regulation and the protection of property rights-and their effect on businesses, especially small and medium-size domestic firms. First, the indicators document the degree of regulation, such as the number of procedures to start a business or register commercial property. Second, they gauge regulatory outcomes, such as the time and cost to enforce a contract, go through bankruptcy or trade across borders. Third, they measure the extent of legal protections of property, for example, the protections of investors against looting by the company directors or the scope of assets that can be used as collateral according to secured transactions laws. Fourth, they measure the flexibility of employment regulation. Finally, a new set of indicators documents the tax burden on businesses. The data for all sets of indicators in Doing Business in 2006 are for January 2005.

Based on the study of laws and regulations-with input and verification by more than 3,500 government officials, lawyers, business consultants, accountants and other professionals routinely administering or advising on legal and regulatory requirements-the Doing Business methodology offers several advantages. It uses factual information about what laws and regulations say and allows for multiple interactions with local respondents to clarify potential misinterpretations of questions. Having representative samples of respondents is not an issue, as the texts of the relevant laws and regulations are collected and answers checked for accuracy. The methodology is inexpensive, so data can be collected in a large sample of economies-155 published in Doing Business in 2006. Because the same standard assumptions are applied in the data collection, which is transparent and easily replicable, comparisons and benchmarks are valid across countries. And the data not only highlight the extent of obstacles but also help identify their source, supporting policymakers in designing reform.

The Doing Business methodology has 4 limitations that should be considered when interpreting the data. First, in many cases the collected data refer to businesses in the country's most populous city and may not be representative of regulatory practices in other parts of the country. Second, the data often focus on a specific business form-a limited liability company of a specified size-and may not be representative of the regulation on other businesses, for example, sole proprietorships. Third, the measures of time involve an element of judgment by the expert respondents. Therefore, if sources indicate different estimates, the time indicators reported in Doing Business represent the median values of several responses given under the assumptions of the case study. Fourth, the methodology assumes that the business has full information on what is required and does not waste time in completing procedures. In practice, completing a procedure may take longer if the business lacks information or is unable to follow up promptly.

Questions on the methodology and challenges to data may be submitted through the "Ask a Question" function on the *Doing Business* website at http://www.doingbusiness.org. Updated indicators, as well as any revisions of or corrections to the printed data, are posted on the website. Since the publication of last year's report, 26 complaints on data have been received. In 4 instances data were corrected as a result of these complaints: the number of procedures and time to start a business in Burkina Faso, the cost of registering property in Senegal, the time to go through bankruptcy in Switzerland and the time and cost to go through bankruptcy in Russia. In 22 other cases past data were corrected during the updating of the indicators for this year's report.

Economy characteristics

Region and income group

Doing Business uses the World Bank regional and income group classifications, available at http://www.worldbank .org/data/countryclass/countryclass.html. Throughout the report the term *rich economies* refers to the high-income group, *middle income economies* to the upper-middle-income group and *poor economies* to the lower-middle-income and low-income groups.

Starting a business

Doing Business records all generic procedures that are officially required for an entrepreneur to start up an industrial or commercial business. These include obtaining all necessary licenses and permits and completing any required notifications, verifications or inscriptions with relevant authorities. After a study of laws, regulations and publicly available information on business entry, a detailed list of procedures, time, cost and paid-in minimum capital requirements is developed. Subsequently, local incorporation lawyers and government officials complete and verify the data on applicable procedures, the time and cost of complying with each procedure under normal circumstances and the paid-in minimum capital. On average 4 law firms participate in each country. Information is also collected on the sequence in which procedures are to be completed and whether procedures may be carried out simultaneously. It is assumed that any required information is readily available and that all government and nongovernment agencies involved in the start-up process function efficiently and without corruption. If answers by local experts differ, inquiries continue until the data are reconciled.

To make the data comparable across countries, several assumptions about the business and the procedures are used.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability company. If there is more than one type of limited liability company in the country, the most popular limited liability form among domestic firms is chosen. Information on the most popular form is obtained from incorporation lawyers or the statistical office.
- Operates in the country's most populous city.
- Is 100% domestically owned and has 5 owners, none of whom is a legal entity.
- Has start-up capital of 10 times income per capita at the end of 2004, paid in cash.
- Performs general industrial or commercial activities, such as the production or sale of products or services to the public. It does not perform foreign trade activities and

Gross national income (GNI) per capita

Doing Business in 2006 reports 2004 income per capita, as published in the World Bank's *World Development Indicators 2005*. Income is calculated using the Atlas method (current US\$). For cost indicators expressed as a percentage of income per capita, 2004 GNI in local currency units, as reported in *World Development Indicators 2005*, is used as the denominator.

Population

Doing Business in 2006 reports midyear 2004 population statistics as published in World Development Indicators 2005.

does not handle products subject to a special tax regime, for example, liquor or tobacco. The business is not using heavily polluting production processes.

- Leases the commercial plant and offices and is not a proprietor of real estate.
- Does not qualify for investment incentives or any special benefits.
- Has up to 50 employees 1 month after the commencement of operations, all of them nationals.
- Has a turnover at least 100 times income per capita.
- Has a company deed 10 pages long.

Assumptions about procedures

- A procedure is defined as any interaction of the company founder with external parties (government agencies, lawyers, auditors, notaries). Interactions between company founders or company officers and employees are not considered separate procedures.
- The founders complete all procedures themselves, without middlemen, facilitators, accountants or lawyers, unless the use of such a third party is mandated by law.
- Procedures that are not required by law for starting a business are ignored. For example, obtaining exclusive rights over the company name is not counted in a country where businesses may use a number as identification.
- Shortcuts are counted only if they fulfill 3 criteria: they are legal, they are available to the general public, and avoiding them causes substantial delays.
- Only procedures required of all businesses are covered. Industry-specific procedures are excluded. For example, procedures to comply with environmental regulations are included only when they apply to all businesses.
- Procedures that the company undergoes to connect to electricity, water, gas and waste disposal services are not included unless they entail inspections required before starting operations.

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is 1 day. Time captures the median duration that incorporation lawyers indicate is necessary to complete a procedure. If a procedure can be accelerated for an additional cost, the fastest procedure is chosen. It is assumed that the entrepreneur does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. The time that the entrepreneur spends on gathering information is ignored. It is assumed that the entrepreneur is aware of all entry regulations and their sequence from the beginning.

Cost

The text of the company law, the commercial code and specific regulations and fee schedules are used as sources for calculating the cost of start-up. If there are conflicting sources and the laws are not clear, the most authoritative source is used. The constitution supersedes the company law, and the law prevails over regulations and decrees. If conflicting sources are of the same rank, the source indicating the most costly procedure is used, since an entrepreneur never secondguesses a government official. In the absence of fee schedules, a government officer's estimate is taken as an official source.

data notes 79

In the absence of a government officer's estimate, estimates of incorporation lawyers are used. If several incorporation lawyers provide different estimates, the median reported value is applied. In all cases the cost excludes bribes.

Paid-in minimum capital requirement

The paid-in minimum capital requirement reflects the amount that the entrepreneur needs to deposit in a bank before registration starts. This amount is typically specified in the commercial code or the company law. Many countries require paid-in capital but allow businesses to pay only a part of it before registration, with the rest to be paid after the first year of operation. In Mozambique in January 2005, for example, the minimum capital requirement for limited liability companies was 1,500,000 meticais, of which half was payable before registration. In the Philippines the minimum capital requirement was 5,000 pesos, but only a quarter needed to be paid before registration.

This medlodeder was originally developed in Djankov and others (2002) and is adopted here with minor changes.

Dealing with licenses

Doing Business records all procedures required for a business in the construction industry to build a standardized warehouse. These include obtaining all necessary licenses and permits, completing all required notifications and inspections and submitting the relevant documents (for example, building plans and site maps) to the authorities. *Doing Business* also records procedures for obtaining utility connections, such as electricity, telephone, water and sewerage. The survey divides the process of building a warehouse into distinct procedures and calculates the time and cost of completing each procedure under normal circumstances.

Information is collected from construction lawyers, construction firms and public officials who deal with building regulations. To make the data comparable across countries, several assumptions about the business and the procedures are used.

Assumptions about the construction company (BuildCo)

The business (BuildCo):

- Is a limited liability company.
- · Operates in the country's most populous city.
- Is 100% domestically owned and has 5 owners, none of whom is a legal entity.
- Carries out construction projects, such as building a warehouse.
- Has up to 20 builders and other employees, all of them nationals with the technical expertise and professional experience necessary to develop architectural and technical plans for building a warehouse.

Assumptions about the warehouse project

The warehouse:

- Has 2 stories and approximately 14,000 square feet (1,300.6 square meters) of space.
- Is located in the most populous city in the country.
- Is located on land 100% owned by BuildCo, a plot of 8,000 square feet (743.2 square meters) that is accurately registered in the cadastre and land registry.
- Is a new construction (there was no previous construction on the land).
- Has complete architectural and technical plans.
- Will be connected to electricity, water, sewerage and one regular phone line.
- Will be used for storing books.

Assumptions about procedures

- A procedure is any interaction of the company's employees or managers with external parties (government agencies, public inspectors, notaries, land registry and cadastre, technical experts apart from architects and engineers). Interactions between company employees, such as development of the warehouse plans and inspections conducted by employees, are not considered separate procedures.
- Procedures that the company undergoes to connect to electricity, water, sewerage and phone services are included.

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is 1 day. Time captures the median duration that construction lawyers and construction company managers indicate is necessary to complete a procedure. If a procedure can be accelerated for an additional cost, the fastest procedure is chosen. It is assumed that BuildCo does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. The time that BuildCo spends on gathering information is ignored. It is assumed that BuildCo is aware of all building requirements and their sequence from the beginning.

Cost

All the fees associated with completing the procedures to legally build a warehouse are included. The cost measure is based on information received from lawyers and construction companies as well as information in local construction regulations.

Hiring and firing workers

Every economy has established a complex system of laws and institutions intended to protect the interests of workers and to guarantee a minimum standard of living for its population. The OECD Job Study and the International Encyclopedia for Labour Law and Industrial Relations identify 4 areas subject to statutory regulation in all countries: employment, social security, industrial relations and occupational health and safety. Doing Business focuses on the regulation of employment, specifically the hiring and firing of workers and the rigidity of working hours. This year data on social security payments by the employer and pension benefits, including the mandatory retirement age, have been added.

The data on hiring and firing workers are based on a detailed survey of employment and social security regulations. The survey is completed by local law firms. The employment laws of most countries are available online in the NATLEX database, published by the International Labour Organization. In all cases both actual laws and secondary sources are used to ensure accuracy. Conflicting answers are further checked against 2 additional sources, including a local legal treatise on employment regulation.

To make the data comparable across countries, several assumptions about the worker and the business are used.

Assumptions about the worker

The worker:

- Is a nonexecutive, full-time male employee who has worked in the same company for 20 years.
- Earns a salary plus benefits equal to the country's average wage during the entire period of his employment.
- Has a wife and 2 children. The family resides in the country's most populous city.
- Is a lawful citizen who belongs to the same race and religion as the majority of the country's population.
- Is not a member of the labor union, unless membership is mandatory.

For this year's report data were also collected for an employee who has worked in the same company for only 3 years. This change influences several indicators, for example, the notice period and severance payment in redundancy dismissals as well as the number of vacation days. In Sri Lanka an employee with 3 years of service receives 2.5 months of wages in severance payment for every year of work, for a total of 7.5 months of wages. The formula for employees with 20 or more years of service is 38 + (y - 19), where *y* is the years of service. Thus for a worker with 20 years of service, that makes for 39 months of wages paid in severance. In Argentina a worker with 3 years of service is entitled to 15 vacation days. A worker with 20 years receives 25 vacation days. The choice of years of service does not influence country rankings, as benefits to the employee increase linearly with the years of service everywhere.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability company.
- Operates in the country's most populous city.
- · Is 100% domestically owned.
- Operates in the manufacturing sector.
- Has 201 employees.
- Abides by every law and regulation but does not grant workers more benefits than what is legally mandated.
- Is subject to collective bargaining agreements in countries where collective bargaining covers more than half the manufacturing sector.

The last assumption is especially important in Western Europe, where labor laws are sometimes silent on such issues as minimum wages, notice period and severance pay. These are covered in collective bargaining agreements. In Denmark, for example, the labor law does not mandate a notice period or severance pay for redundancy dismissals. Collective bargaining does. For a worker with 20 years of service, a 26-week notice period and a 3-month severance payment are required. This is what *Doing Business* records, as collective agreements are prevalent in the country. Similarly, in Germany the labor law does not mandate a minimum wage. Industry-level collective bargaining in the metal industry specifies 7.25 euro an hour as the minimum wage. This is what *Doing Business* records, as more than half of German manufacturing is covered by collective agreements.

Rigidity of employment index

The rigidity of employment index is the average of three subindices: a difficulty of hiring index, a rigidity of hours index and a difficulty of firing index. All the subindices have several components. And all take values between 0 and 100, with higher values indicating more rigid regulation.

The difficulty of hiring index measures (i) whether term contracts can be used only for temporary tasks; (ii) the max-

imum duration of term contracts; and (iii) the ratio of the mandated minimum wage (or apprentice wage, if available) to the average value added per worker. A country is assigned a score of 1 if term contracts can be used only for temporary tasks, and a score of 0 if they can be used for any task. A score of 1 is assigned if the maximum duration of term contracts is 3 years or less; 0.5 if it is between 3 and 5 years; and 0 if term contracts can last more than 5 years. Finally, a score of 1 is assigned if the ratio of the minimum wage to the average value added per worker is higher than 0.75; 0.67 for a ratio between 0.50 and 0.75; 0.33 for a ratio between 0.25 and 0.50; and 0 for a ratio less than 0.25. In Nepal, for example, term contracts are allowed only for temporary tasks (a score of 1), but there is no limit on their duration (a score of 0). The ratio of the mandated minimum wage to the value added per worker is 0.54 (a score of 0.67). Averaging the three subindices and scaling the index to 100 gives Nepal a score of 22.

The rigidity of hours index has 5 components: (i) whether night work is unrestricted; (ii) whether weekend work is allowed; (iii) whether the workweek can consist of 5.5 days; (iv) whether the workday can extend to 12 hours or more (including overtime); and (v) whether the annual paid vacation days are 21 or fewer. For each of these questions, if the answer is no, the country is assigned a score of 1; otherwise a score of 0 is assigned. For example, Lithuania imposes restrictions on night work (a score of 1) and weekend work (a score of 1), allows 5.5-day workweeks (a score of 0), permits the workday with overtime—to extend to 12 hours (a score of 0) and requires paid vacation of 28 days (a score of 1). Adding the scores and scaling the sum to 100 gives a final index of 60 for Lithuania.

The difficulty of firing index has 8 components: (i) whether redundancy is not considered fair grounds for dismissal; (ii) whether the employer needs to notify the labor union or the labor ministry to fire 1 redundant worker; (iii) whether the employer needs to notify the labor union or the labor ministry for group dismissals; (iv) whether the employer needs approval from the labor union or the labor ministry for firing 1 redundant worker; (v) whether the employer needs approval from the labor union or the labor ministry for group dismissals; (vi) whether the labor ministry for group dismissals; (vi) whether the law mandates training or replacement before dismissal; (vii) whether priority rules apply for dismissals; and (viii) whether priority rules apply

Registering property

Doing Business records the full sequence of procedures necessary when a business purchases land and a building to transfer the property title from the seller to the buyer. Every required procedure is included, whether it is the responsibility of the seller or the buyer or required to be completed by a third party on their behalf. Local property lawyers and property registries provide information on required procedures as well as the time and cost to complete each of them. for reemployment. For each question, if the answer is yes, a score of 1 is assigned (for questions i and iv, a score of 2); otherwise a score of 0 is given. Questions (i) and (iv), as the most restrictive regulations, have double weight in the construction of the index.

In Nicaragua, for example, redundancy is not considered fair grounds for dismissal (a score of 2). An employer has to both notify (a score of 1) and seek approval (a score of 2) from third parties when dismissing a redundant worker, and he has to both notify (a score of 1) and seek approval (a score of 1) when dismissing a group of workers. The law does not mandate retraining or alternative placement before dismissal (a score of 0). There are no priority rules for dismissal (a score of 0) or reemployment (a score of 0). Adding up the scores and scaling to 100 gives a final index of 50 for Nicaragua.

Hiring cost

The hiring cost indicator measures all social security payments (including retirement fund; sickness, maternity and health insurance; workplace injury; family allowance; and other obligatory contributions) and payroll taxes associated with hiring an employee. The cost is expressed as a percentage of the worker's salary. In Algeria, for example, the social security contributions paid by the employer amount to 27.5% of the worker's wages and include 7.5% for the retirement fund; 12.5% for sickness, maternity and health insurance; 1% for workplace safety insurance; 2.5% for unemployment insurance; and 4% for family allowances.

Firing cost

The firing cost indicator measures the cost of advance notice requirements, severance payments and penalties due when dismissing a redundant worker, expressed in weekly wages. In Mozambique, for example, an employer is required to give 12 weeks' notice before a redundancy dismissal, and the severance pay for workers with 20 years of service equals 30 months of wages. Redundancy is considered legitimate grounds for dismissal in the labor law, so no penalty is levied. Altogether, the employer pays the equivalent of 141 weeks of salary to dismiss the worker.

This methodology was originally developed in Botero and others (2004) and is adopted here with minor changes.

To make the data comparable across countries, several assumptions about the business, the property and the procedures are used.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability company.
- Is located in a periurban area of the country's most populous city.

- Is 100% domestically and privately owned (no foreign or state ownership).
- Has 50 employees, all of whom are nationals.
- Performs general commercial activities.

Assumptions about the property

The property:

- Has a value of 50 times income per capita.
- Is fully owned by another domestic limited liability company.
- Has no mortgages attached and has been under the same ownership for the past 10 years.
- Is adequately measured and filed in the cadastre, registered in the land register and free of title disputes.
- Is located in a periurban commercial zone, and no rezoning is required.
- Consists of land and a building. The land area is 6,000 square feet (557.4 square meters). A warehouse of 10,000 square feet (929 square meters) is located on the land. The warehouse is 10 years old, is in good condition and complies with all safety standards, building codes and other legal requirements.
- Will not be subject to renovations or additional building following the purchase,
- Has no trees, natural water sources, natural reserves or historical monuments of any kind.
- Will not be used for special purposes, and no special permits, such as for residential use, industrial plants, waste storage or certain types of agricultural activities, are required.
- Has no occupants (legal or illegal), and no other party holds a legal interest in it.

Procedures

A procedure is defined as any interaction of the buyer or the seller, their agents (if the agent is required by law) or the

property with external parties, including government agencies, inspectors, notaries and lawyers. Interactions between company officers and employees are not considered. All procedures that are legally required for registering property are recorded, even if they may be avoided in exceptional cases. It is assumed that the buyer follows the fastest legal option available. Although the business may use lawyers or other professionals where necessary in the registration process, it is assumed that it does not employ an outside facilitator in the registration process unless required to by law.

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is 1 day. Time captures the median duration that property lawyers or registry officials indicate is necessary to complete a procedure. It is assumed that the buyer does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. If a procedure can be accelerated for an additional cost, the fastest procedure is chosen. If procedures may be undertaken simultaneously, it is assumed that they are. It is assumed that the parties involved are aware of all regulations and their sequence from the beginning. Time spent on gathering information is not considered.

Cost

Only official costs are recorded. These include fees, transfer taxes, stamp duties and any other payment to the property registry, notaries, public agencies or lawyers, if required by law. Other taxes, such as capital gains tax or value added tax, are excluded from the cost measure. If cost estimates differ among sources, the median reported value is used. Costs are expressed as a percentage of the property value, assumed to be equivalent to 50 times income per capita.

Getting credit

Doing Business constructs measures on the legal rights of lenders and credit information sharing. The first set of indicators describes how well collateral and bankruptcy laws facilitate lending. The second set measures the coverage, scope, quality and accessibility of credit information available through public and private credit registries.

The data on credit information sharing are built in 2 stages. First, banking supervision authorities and public information sources are surveyed to confirm the presence of public credit registries and private credit information bureaus. Second, when applicable, a detailed survey on the

public or private credit registry's structure, law and associated rules collects data in 5 areas:

- Coverage of the market.
- Scope of distributed information.
- Access to data.
- Quality of data.
- Laws on information sharing and quality of data.

Survey responses are verified through several rounds of follow-up communication with respondents as well as by contacting third parties and consulting public sources. The survey data are confirmed through teleconference calls in more than half the countries.

Strength of legal rights index

This index, reflecting the legal rights of borrowers and lenders, measures the degree to which collateral and bankruptcy laws facilitate lending. It is based on data collected through study of collateral and insolvency laws, supported by the responses to the survey on secured transactions laws. The index includes 3 aspects related to legal rights in bankruptcy and 7 aspects found in collateral law. A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following features of the laws:

- Secured creditors are able to seize their collateral when a debtor enters reorganization—there is no "automatic stay" or "asset freeze" imposed by the court.
- Secured creditors, rather than other parties such as government or workers, are paid first out of the proceeds from liquidating a bankrupt firm.
- Management does not stay during reorganization. An administrator is responsible for managing the business during reorganization.
- General, rather than specific, description of assets is permitted in collateral agreements.
- General, rather than specific, description of debt is permitted in collateral agreements.
- Any legal or natural person may grant or take security in the property.
- A unified registry that includes charges over movable property operates.
- Secured creditors have priority outside of bankruptcy.
- Parties may agree on enforcement procedures by contract.
- Creditors may both seize and sell collateral out of court.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher scores indicating that collateral and bankruptcy laws are better designed to expand access to credit.

Depth of credit information index

This index measures rules affecting the scope, accessibility and quality of credit information available through either public or private bureaus. A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following 6 features of the credit information system:

- Both positive and negative credit information (for example, on payment history, number and kinds of accounts, number and frequency of late payments and any collections or bankruptcies) is distributed.
- · Data on both firms and individuals are distributed.
- Data from retailers, trade creditors or utilities as well as financial institutions are distributed.
- More than 2 years of historical data are distributed.
- Data on loans above 1% of income per capita are distributed.
- By law, borrowers have the right to access their data.

The index ranges from 0 to 6, with higher values indicating that more credit information is available from either a public registry or a private bureau to facilitate lending decisions. In Uruguay, for example, both a public and a private registry operate. The private bureau distributes only negative information, but the public registry distributes both negative and positive information (a score of 1). Both the public and the private registries distribute data on firms as well as individuals (a score of 1). Although the public registry shares data only among supervised financial institutions, lenders can access information from retailers and utilities through the private bureau (a score of 1). The public registry distributes more than 2 years of historical data (a score of 1). It collects data only on loans of \$11,000 or more-3.6 times income per capita—but the private bureau collects information on loans above 100 pesos, less than 1% of income per capita (a score of 1). Borrowers do not have the right to access their data (score of 0). Summing across the indicators gives Uruguay a total score of 5.

Public credit registry coverage

A public credit registry is defined as a database managed by the public sector, usually by the central bank or the superintendent of banks, that collects information on the creditworthiness of borrowers (persons or businesses) in the financial system and makes it available to financial institutions. The coverage indicator reports the number of individuals and firms listed in the public credit registry with current information on repayment history, unpaid debts or credit outstanding. The number is expressed as a percentage of the adult population. If no public registry operates, the coverage value is 0.

Private credit bureau coverage

A private credit bureau is defined as a private firm or nonprofit organization that maintains a database on the creditworthiness of borrowers (persons or businesses) in the financial system and facilitates the exchange of credit information among banks and financial institutions. Credit investigative bureaus and credit reporting firms that do not directly facilitate information exchange between financial institutions are not considered. The coverage indicator reports the number of individuals or firms listed by the private credit bureau with current information on repayment history, unpaid debts or credit outstanding. The number is expressed as a percentage of the adult population. If no private bureau operates, the coverage value is 0.

This include on adapted from La Porta and others (1998), is developed in Djankov, McLiesh and Shleifer (2005).

Protecting investors

Doing Business measures the strength of minority shareholder protections against directors' misuse of corporate assets for personal gain. The indicators distinguish 3 dimensions of investor protection: transparency of transactions (extent of disclosure index), liability for self-dealing (extent of director liability index) and shareholders' ability to sue officers and directors for misconduct (ease of shareholder suits index). The data come from a survey of corporate lawyers and are based on company laws, codes of civil procedure and securities regulations.

To make the data comparable across countries, several assumptions about the business and the transaction are used.

Assumptions about the business

The business (Buyer):

- Is a publicly traded corporation listed on the country's most important stock exchange. If there are no publicly traded companies in the country, it is assumed that Buyer is a large private company with multiple shareholders.
- Has a board of directors and a chief executive officer (CEO) who has the legal capacity to act on behalf of Buyer where permitted, even if this is not specifically required by law.
- · Has only national shareholders.
- Has invested only in the country and has no subsidiaries or operations abroad.
- Is a food manufacturer.
- · Has its own distribution network.

Assumptions about the transaction

- Mr. James is Buyer's controlling shareholder and a member of Buyer's board of directors. He owns 60% of Buyer and elected 2 directors to Buyer's 5-member board of directors.
- Mr. James also owns 90% of Seller, a company that operates a chain of retail hardware stores. Seller recently shut a large number of its stores. As a result, its fleet of trucks is idle.
- Mr. James proposes to Buyer that Buyer purchase Seller's unused fleet of trucks to expand Buyer's distribution of its food products. Buyer agrees. The price is equal to 10% of Buyer's assets.
- The proposed transaction is part of the company's ordinary course of business and is not outside the authority of the company.
- Buyer enters into the transaction. All required approvals were obtained, and all required disclosures made.
- The transaction is unfair to Buyer. Shareholders sue the interested parties and the members of the board of directors.

Extent of disclosure index

The extent of disclosure index measures (i) what corporate body can provide legally sufficient approval for the transaction (a score of 0 is assigned if it is the CEO or the managing director alone; 1 if the board of directors or shareholders must vote but Mr. James is permitted to vote; 2 if the board of directors must vote and Mr. James is not permitted to vote; 3 if shareholders must vote and Mr. James is not permitted to vote); (ii) whether immediate disclosure of the transaction to the public, the shareholders or both is required (a score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure is required; 1 if disclosure on the terms of the transaction is required; 2 if disclosure on both the terms and Mr. James's conflict of interest is required); (iii) whether disclosure in the annual report is required (a score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure on the transaction is required; 1 if disclosure about the terms of the transaction is required; 2 if disclosure on both the terms and Mr. James's conflict of interest is required); (iv) whether disclosure by Mr. James to the board of directors is required (a score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure is required; 1 if disclosure on Mr. James's conflict of interest is required but without any specifics; 2 if full disclosure of all material facts about his interest in the transaction is required); and (v) whether it is required that an external body, for example, an external auditor, review the transaction before it takes place (a score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes).

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater disclosure. Poland, for example, requires approval from the board of directors for the transaction and Mr. James is not allowed to vote (a score of 2). Buyer is required to disclose immediately all information affecting the stock price, including the conflict of interest (a score of 2). In its annual report Buyer must also disclose the terms of the transaction and Mr. James's ownership in Buyer and Seller (a score of 2). Before the transaction Mr. James must disclose his conflict of interest to the other directors, but he is not required to provide specific information about it (a score of 1). Poland does not require an external body to review the transaction (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Poland a score of 7 on the extent of disclosure index.

Extent of director liability index

The extent of director liability index measures (i) a plaintiff's ability to hold Mr. James liable for damages to the company (a score of 0 is assigned if Mr. James is not liable or is liable only for fraud or bad faith; 1 if Mr. James is liable if he influenced the approval of the transaction or was negligent; 2 if Mr. James is liable if the transaction was unfair or prejudicial to the other shareholders); (ii) a plaintiff's ability to hold the approving body (the CEO or board of directors) liable for damages to the company (a score of 0 is assigned if the approving body is not liable or is liable only in case of fraud or bad faith; 1 if the approving body can be held liable for negligence; 2 if the approving body can be held liable when the

transaction is unfair or prejudicial to the other shareholders); (iii) a plaintiff's ability to void the transaction (a score of 0 is assigned if rescission is unavailable or is available only in case of fraud; 1 if rescission is available when the transaction is oppressive or prejudicial to the other shareholders; 2 if rescission is available when the transaction is unfair or entails a conflict of interest); (iv) whether Mr. James pays damages for the harm caused to the company (a score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes); (v) whether Mr. James repays profits made from the transaction (a score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes); (vi) whether fines and imprisonment can be applied against Mr. James (a score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes); (vii) the ability of minority shareholders to sue directly or derivatively for damages that the company suffered as a result of the transaction (a score of 0 is assigned if suits are not available or are available only for shareholders holding more than 10% of the company's share capital; 1 if direct or derivative suits are available for shareholders holding 10% or less of share capital).

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater liability of directors. To hold Mr. James liable in Panama, for example, a plaintiff must prove that Mr. James influenced the approving body or acted negligently (a score of 1). To hold the other directors liable, a plaintiff must prove that they acted negligently (a score of 1). The unfair transaction cannot be voided (a score of 0). If Mr. James is found liable, he must pay damages (a score of 1), but he is not required to disgorge his profits (a score of 0). Mr. James cannot be fined or imprisoned (a score of 0). Direct suits are available (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Panama a score of 4 on the extent of director liability index.

Ease of shareholder suits index

The ease of shareholder suits index measures (i) the range of documents available to the plaintiff from the defendant and witnesses during trial (a score of 1 is assigned for each of the following types of documents available: information that the defendant has indicated he intends to rely on for his defense; information that directly proves specific facts in the plaintiff's claim; any information relevant to the subject matter of the claim; and any information that may lead to the discovery of relevant information); (ii) whether the plaintiff has the ability to directly examine the defendant and witnesses during trial (a score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes, with prior approval of the questions by the judge; 2 if yes, without prior approval); (iii) whether the plaintiff can obtain any documents from the defendant without identifying them specifically (a score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes); (iv) whether shareholders owning 10% or less of the company's share capital can request an inspector (a score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes); (v) whether shareholders owning 10% or less of the company's share capital can request an inspector (a score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes); (v) whether shareholders owning 10% or less of the company's share capital have the right to inspect the transaction documents before filing suit (a score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes); and (vi) whether the standard of proof for civil suits is lower than that for a criminal case (a score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes).

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater powers of shareholders to challenge the transaction. In Chad, for example, shareholders suing directors can access documents that the defendant intends to rely on for his defense and that directly prove facts in the plaintiff's claim (a score of 2). The plaintiff can examine the defendant and witnesses during trial only with prior approval of the questions by the court (a score of 1). The plaintiff must specifically identify the documents he seeks (for example, the Buyer-Seller purchase agreement of July 15, 2003) and cannot just request categories (for example, all documents related to the transaction) (a score of 0). Any shareholder can request that an inspector review suspected mismanagement by the defendant (a score of 1). And any shareholder can inspect the transaction documents before deciding whether to sue (a score of 1). The standard of proof for civil suits is the same as the standard for criminal suits (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Chad a score of 5 on the ease of shareholder suits index.

Strength of investor protection index

The strength of investor protection index is the average of the extent of disclosure index, the extent of director liability index and the ease of shareholder suits index. The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating better investor protection.

This methodology was originally developed in Djankov, La Porta and Shleifer (2005) and is adopted here with minor changes.

Paying taxes

Doing Business records the tax that a medium-size company must pay or withhold in a given year, as well as measures of the administrative burden in paying taxes. Taxes are measured at all levels of government and include the corporate income tax, the personal income tax withheld by the company, the value added tax or sales tax, property taxes, property transfer taxes, the dividend tax, the capital gains tax, the financial transactions tax, waste collection taxes and vehicle and road taxes. To measure the tax paid by a standardized business and the complexity of a country's tax law, a case study is prepared with a set of financial statements and assumptions about transactions made over the year. Experts in each country compute the taxes owed for their jurisdiction based on the standardized case facts. Information on the frequency of filing, audits and other costs of compliance is also compiled. The project is developed and implemented in cooperation with PricewaterhouseCoopers.

To make the data comparable across countries, several assumptions about the business and the taxes are used.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability, taxable company. If there is more than one type of limited liability company in the country, the most popular limited liability form among domestic firms is chosen. Information on the most popular form is obtained from incorporation lawyers or the statistical office.
- Started operations on January 1, 2003. At that time the company purchased all the assets shown in its balance sheet and hired all its workers.
- Operates in the country's most populous city.
- Is 100% domestically owned and has 5 owners, all of whom are natural persons.
- Has a start-up capital of 102 times income per capita at the end of 2003.
- Performs general industrial or commercial activities. Specifically, it produces ceramic flowerpots and sells them at retail. It does not participate in foreign trade (no import or export) and does not handle products subject to a special tax regime, for example, liquor or tobacco.
- Owns 2 plots of land, 1 building, machinery, office equipment, computers and 1 truck and leases another truck.
- Does not qualify for investment incentives or any special benefits apart from those related to the age or size of the company.
- Has 60 employees—4 managers, 8 assistants and 48 workers. All are nationals, and one of the managers is also an owner.
- Has a turnover of 1,050 times income per capita.
- Makes a loss in the first year of operation.
- Distributes 50% of its profits as dividends to the owners at the end of the second year.
- Sells one of its plots of land at a profit during the second year.
- Is subject to a series of detailed assumptions on expenses and transactions to further standardize the case.

Assumptions about the taxes

- All the taxes paid or withheld in the second year of operation are recorded. A tax is considered distinct if it has a different name or is collected by a different agency. Taxes with the same name and agency, but charged at different rates depending on the business, are counted as the same tax.
- The number of times the company pays or withholds taxes in a year is the number of different taxes multiplied by the frequency of payment (or withholding) for each tax. The frequency of payment includes advance payments (or withholding) as well as regular payments (or withholding).

Tax payments

This indicator measures the total number of taxes paid and withheld, the method of payment or withholding, the frequency of payment or withholding and the number of agencies involved for this standardized case during the second year of operation. It takes into account electronic filing. Where electronic filing is allowed, the tax is counted as paid once a year even if the payment is more frequent.

Time

This indicator measures the time, in hours per year, it takes to prepare, file and pay (or withhold) three major types of taxes: the corporate income tax; the value added tax or sales tax; and labor taxes, including payroll taxes and social security contributions.

Total tax payable

This indicator measures the total amount of taxes payable by the business in the second year of operation except for labor taxes. Labor taxes (such as payroll taxes and social security contributions) are included in the hiring cost indicator (see the section on hiring and firing workers). The total amount of taxes is the sum of all the different taxes payable after accounting for deductions and exemptions. The taxes withheld but not paid by the company are not included. Payable taxes are presented as a share of gross profit (defined as sales minus cost of goods sold and labor costs).

A common method for assessing tax rates is the marginal effective tax rate (METR) method, which estimates the tax payable resulting from investing one more unit of capital, or hiring one more worker, or producing one more unit of output. According to this method each input or output has a separate METR. The Doing Business measure differs from the METR in several ways: First, it estimates the total tax that a standardized company has to pay, while the METR is the incremental tax. Second, the Doing Business method aggregates all different tax rates into one. Third, the METR of capital takes into account the life of the asset by computing the present discounted value of the future taxes associated with investing one more unit of capital today, while the Doing Business measure is built on one fiscal year only. Fourth, the METRs can be positive, negative or zero because they measure if an activity (e.g., buy a new piece of machinery) is encouraged, discouraged, or unaffected by the tax system. The Doing Business tax measure is always positive unless the company pays no tax at all. Finally, the METR method normally looks at the effect of depreciation in corporate income tax only. It generally ignores small taxes such as property tax, which are included in the Doing Business measure.

This methodology was developed in "Tax Burdens around the World," an ongoing research project by Mihir Desai, Caralee McLiesh, Rita Ramalho and Andrei Shleifer.

Trading across borders

Doing Business compiles procedural requirements for exporting and importing a standardized cargo of goods. Every official procedure for importing and exporting the goods is recorded—from the contractual agreement between the two parties to the delivery of goods—along with the time necessary for completion. All documents and signatures required for clearance of the goods across the border are also recorded. For importing goods, procedures range from the vessel's arrival at the port of entry to the cargo's delivery at the factory warehouse. For exporting goods, procedures range from the packing of the goods at the factory to their departure from the port of exit.

Local freight forwarders, shipping lines, customs brokers and port officials provide information on required documents and signatures as well as the time to complete each procedure. To make the data comparable across countries, several assumptions about the business and the traded goods are used.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Has 100 or more employees.
- Is located in the country's most populous city.
- Is a private, limited liability company, formally registered and operating under commercial laws and regulations of the country. It does not operate within an export processing zone or an industrial estate with special export or import privileges.
- Is domestically owned with no foreign ownership.
- Exports more than 10% of its sales to international markets.

Assumptions about the traded goods

The traded product travels in a dry-cargo, 20-foot, full container load. The product:

- Is not hazardous nor does it include military arms or equipment.
- Does not require refrigeration or any other special environment.

Enforcing contracts

Indicators on enforcing contracts measure the efficiency of the judicial (or administrative) system in the collection of overdue debt. The data are built by following the stepby-step evolution of a payment dispute either before local courts or through an administrative process, if such a process is available and preferred by creditors. The data are collected through study of the codes of civil procedures and other • Does not require any special phytosanitary or environmental safety standards other than accepted international standards.

The following Standard International Trade Classification (SITC) Revision 3 categories are considered by the respondents:

- SITC 65: textile yarn, fabrics, made-up articles.
- SITC 84: articles of apparel and clothing accessories.
- SITC 07: coffee, tea, cocoa, spices and manufactures thereof.

Documents

All documents required to export and import the goods are recorded. It is assumed that the contract and letter of credit have already been agreed upon and signed by both parties. Documents include port filing documents, customs declaration and clearance documents and official documents exchanged between the concerned parties. Documents filed simultaneously or in packages are considered different documents but with the same time frame for completion.

Signatures

A signature is defined as an approval, signature or stamp that clears one or more formal procedures. It need not be a physical signature. Electronic signatures are also counted. A mandatory verification from supervisors is also considered a signature. If a document requires approval from several departments or from several individuals within a department, approvals or signatures from all levels are counted. Signatures of the importer and exporter are excluded.

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The time calculation for a procedure starts from the moment it is initiated and runs until it is completed. If a procedure can be accelerated for an additional cost, the fastest legal procedure is chosen. It is assumed that neither the importer nor the exporter wastes time and that each commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. Procedures that can be completed in parallel are treated as simultaneous for the purpose of measuring time. The waiting time between procedures (for example, during unloading of the cargo) is included in the measure.

court regulations as well as surveys of local litigation lawyers. At least 2 lawyers participate in each country, and in a quarter of the countries judges also complete the survey. To ensure comparability, survey respondents are provided with significant detail, including the amount of the claim, the location and main characteristics of the litigants, the presence of city regulations, the nature of the remedy requested by the plaintiff, the merit of the plaintiff's and the defendant's claims and the social implications of the judicial outcomes.

Assumptions about the case

To make the case comparable across countries, 10 assumptions are used:

- The debt value equals 200% of the country's income per capita.
- The plaintiff has fully complied with the contract (that is, the plaintiff is 100% right).
- The case represents a lawful transaction between businesses residing in the country's most populous city.
- The bank refuses payment for lack of funds in the debtor's account.
- The plaintiff attempts to recover the debt by filing a lawsuit or going through an administrative process, if such a process is available and preferred by creditors.
- The debtor attempts to delay service of process but it is finally accomplished.
- The debtor opposes the complaint (default judgment is not an option).
- The judge decides every motion for the plaintiff.
- The plaintiff attempts to introduce documentary evidence and to call one witness. The debtor attempts to call one witness. Neither party presents objections.
- The judgment is in favor of the plaintiff.

Procedures

All procedures mandated by law or court regulation that demand interaction between the parties, or between them and the judge (or administrator) or court officer, are recorded.

Time

The time required for dispute resolution is recorded in calendar days, counted from the moment the plaintiff files the lawsuit in court until settlement or payment. This includes both the days when actions take place and the waiting periods between actions. The respondents make separate estimates of the average duration of different stages of dispute resolution: for the completion of service of process (time to notify the defendant), the issuance of judgment (time for the trial or administrative process) and the moment of payment or repossession (time for enforcement).

Cost

The cost indicator measures the official cost of going through court procedures, including court costs and attorney fees where the use of attorneys is mandatory or common, or the costs of an administrative debt recovery procedure, expressed as a percentage of the debt value.

This methodology was originally developed in Djankov and others (2003) and is adopted here with minor changes.

Closing a business

Doing Business studies the time and cost of bankruptcy proceedings involving domestic entities. The data are derived from survey responses by local law firms. Answers are provided by a senior partner at each firm in cooperation with 1 or 2 junior associates.

To make the data comparable across countries, several assumptions about the business and the case are used.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability company.
- Operates in the country's most populous city.
- Is 100% domestically owned, with the founder, who is also the chairman of the supervisory board, owning 51% (besides the founder, no other shareholder holds more than 1% of shares).
- Has downtown real estate, where it runs a hotel, as its major asset.
- Has a professional general manager.
- Has had average annual revenue of 1,000 times income per capita over the past 3 years.
- Has 201 employees and 50 suppliers, each of whom is owed money for the last delivery.

- Borrowed from a domestic bank 5 years ago (the loan has 10 years to full repayment) and bought real estate (the hotel building), using it as security for the bank loan.
- Has observed the payment schedule and all other conditions of the loan up to now.
- Has a mortgage, with the value of the mortgage principal being exactly equal to the market value of the hotel.

Assumptions about the case

- In January 2005 the business is experiencing liquidity problems. The company's loss in 2004 brought its net worth to a negative figure. There is no cash to pay the bank interest or principal in full, due on January 2, 2005. Therefore, the business defaults on its loan. Management believes that losses will be incurred in 2006 and 2007 as well.
- The bank holds a floating charge against the hotel in countries where floating charges are possible. If the law does not permit a floating charge but contracts commonly use some other provision to that effect, this provision is specified in the lending contract.
- The business has too many creditors to renegotiate out of court. It has the following options: a procedure aimed at rehabilitation or any procedure that will reorganize the business to permit further operation; a procedure aimed at liquidation; or a procedure aimed at selling the hotel, as

a going concern or piecemeal, either enforced through court (or by a government authority like a debt collection agency) or out of court (receivership).

Time

Time is recorded in calendar years. It captures the average duration to complete a procedure as estimated by bankruptcy lawyers. Information is collected on the sequence of the bankruptcy procedures and on whether any procedures can be carried out simultaneously. Delays due to legal derailment tactics that parties to the bankruptcy may use—in particular, the extension of response periods or appeals—are considered.


Cost

The cost of the bankruptcy proceedings is calculated on the basis of survey responses by practicing insolvency lawyers. If several respondents report different estimates, the median reported value is used. Costs include court costs as well as fees of insolvency practitioners, independent assessors, lawyers, accountants and the like. Bribes are excluded. The cost figures are averages of the estimates on a multiple-choice question, where the respondents choose among the following options: 0-2%, 3-5%, 6-8%, 9-10%, 11-18%, 19-25%, 26-33%, 34-50%, 51-75% and more than 75% of the estate value of the bankrupt business.

Recovery rate

The recovery rate measures the efficiency of foreclosure or bankruptcy procedures. It estimates how many cents on the dollar claimants-creditors, tax authorities and employeesrecover from an insolvent firm. The calculation takes into account whether the business is kept as a going concern during the proceedings, as well as court, attorney and other related costs and the discounted value due to the time spent closing down. If the business keeps operating, no value is lost on the initial claim, set at 100 cents on the dollar. If it does not, the initial 100 cents on the dollar are reduced to 70 cents on the dollar. Then the official costs of the insolvency procedure are deducted (1 cent for each percentage of the initial value). Finally, the value lost due to the time that the money remains tied up in insolvency procedures is taken into account, including the loss of value due to depreciation of the hotel furniture. Consistent with international accounting practice, the depreciation rate for office furniture is taken to be 20%. The value of the furniture is assumed to be a quarter of the total value of assets. The recovery rate is the present value of the remaining proceeds, based on end-2004 lending rates from the International Monetary Fund's International Financial Statistics, supplemented with data from central banks.

This methodology was developed in "Efficiency in Bankruptcy," an ongoing research project by Simeon Djankov, Oliver Hart, Caralee McLiesh and Andrei Shleifer.



The ease of doing business index ranks economies from 1 to 155 (table A.1). The index is calculated as the ranking on the simple average of country percentile rankings on each of the 10 topics covered in *Doing Business in 2006*. The ranking on each topic is the simple average of the percentile rankings on its component indicators (table A.2).

One example: The ranking on starting a business is the average of the country percentile rankings on the procedures, days, cost and paid-in minimum capital requirement to register a business. It takes 5 procedures, 5 days and 2.9% of annual income per capita in fees to open a business in Iceland. The minimum capital required amounts to 17% of income per capita. On these 4 indicators, Iceland ranks in the 7th, 1st, 8th and 48th percentiles. So on average, Iceland ranks in the 16th percentile on the ease of starting a business. It ranks in the 15th percentile on trading across borders, 8th percentile on enforcing contracts, 7th percentile on closing a business, 52nd percentile on protecting investors and so on. Higher ranks indicate simpler regulation and stronger protections of property rights. The simple average of Iceland's percentile rankings on all topics is 22%. When all countries are ordered by their average percentile rank, Iceland is in 12th place.

The data for all sets of indicators are for January 2005. Based on the study of laws and regulations—with input and verification by more than 3,500 government officials, lawyers, business consultants, accountants and other professionals routinely administering or advising on legal and regulatory requirements—the *Doing Business* methodology offers several advantages. It uses factual information about what laws and regulations say and allows for multiple interactions with local respondents to clarify potential misinterpretations. It is inexpensive, so data can be collected in a large sample of economies. Because the same standard assumptions are applied in the data collection, which is transparent and easily replicable, comparisons and benchmarks are valid across countries. And the data not only highlight the extent of obstacles but also help identify their source, supporting policymakers in designing reform.

Each indicator set studies a different aspect of the business environment. Country rankings vary across indicator sets. For example, Iceland ranks in the 7th percentile on closing a business, its highest, and in the 52nd percentile on protecting investors, its lowest. This points to priorities for reform: Protecting investors is one place to start in further improving business conditions in Iceland. Across all 155 economies, the average correlation coefficient between the 10 sets of indicators is 0.39, and the coefficients between any 2 sets of indicators range between 0.16 (between hiring and firing workers and trading across borders) and 0.64 (between closing a business and enforcing contracts) (table A.3). The low correlations suggest that countries rarely score universally well or universally badly on the indicators. In other words, there is much room for partial reform.

There remains an unfinished agenda for research on what regulations constitute binding constraints, what package of reforms is most effective and how this is shaped by country context. The *Doing Business* indicators provide a new empirical dataset that may improve understanding of these issues.

The ease of doing business index is limited in scope. It does not account for a country's proximity to large markets, quality of infrastructure services (other than services related to trading across borders), the security of property from theft and looting or macroeconomic conditions or the strength of underlying institutions. Thus while Jamaica ranks similarly (at 43) on the ease of doing business to France (at 44), this clearly does not mean that businesses are better off operating in Kingston rather than in Paris. For example, crime and macroeconomic imbalances—2 issues not directly studied in *Doing Business*—make Jamaica a less attractive destination for investment.

92 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006

Having a high ranking on the ease of doing business does not mean that a country has no regulation. Few would argue that it is every business for itself in New Zealand, that workers are abused in Canada or that creditors seize debtors' assets without a fair process in the Netherlands. And to protect the rights of creditors and investors, as well as establish or upgrade property and credit registries, more regulation rather than less is needed to have a high ranking.

Nor do higher rankings necessarily mean better regulation. While on average high rankings on the Doing Business indicators are associated with better economic and social outcomes, this association need not be linear. For example, expedient court procedures to resolve commercial disputes are welcomed by businesses. But to ensure fair process, some

TABLE A.	1		
Ease o	of doing	business	ranking

Ease	e of doing business ranking		
1	New Zealand	40	Botswana
2	Singapore	41	Czech Republic
3	United States	42	Portugal
4	Canada	43	Jamaica
5	Norway	44	France
6	Australia	45	Kiribati
7	Hong Kong, China	46	Armenia
8	Denmark	47	Kuwait
9	United Kingdom	48	Marshall Islands
10	Japan	49	Vanuatu
11	Ireland	50	Palau
12	Iceland	51	Oman
13	Finland	52	Hungary
14	Sweden	53	Solomon Islands
15	Lithuania	54	Poland
16	Estonia	55	Nepal
17	Switzerland	56	Micronesia
18	Belgium	57	Panama
19	Germany	58	Tunisia
20	Thailand	59	Nicaragua
21	Malaysia	60	Pakistan
22	Puerto Rico	61	Mongolia
23	Mauritius	62	Bulgaria
24	Netherlands	63	Slovenia
25	Chile	64	Papua New Guinea
26	Latvia	65	Bangladesh
27	Korea	66	Colombia
28	South Africa	67	Zambia
29	Israel	68	Kenya
30	Spain	69	United Arab Emirates
31	Maldives	70	Italy
32	Austria	71	Peru
33	Namibia	72	Uganda
34	Fiji	73	Mexico
35	Taiwan, China	74	Jordan
36	Tonga	75	Sri Lanka
37	Slovakia	76	El Salvador
38	Saudi Arabia	77	Argentina
39	Samoa	78	Romania

procedural requirements are necessary, and these may cause delays. Likewise there are tradeoffs between job protection and labor market flexibility.

But a high ranking on the ease of doing business does mean that the government has created a regulatory environment conducive to business operations. Often, improvements on the Doing Business indicators proxy for broader reforms, which affect more than the procedures, time and cost to comply with business regulation and the ease of access to credit. Such improvements are also associated with an expanded reach of regulation, as simpler and less burdensome rules may entice informal businesses to join the formal sector.

Five groups of countries stand out in the rankings on the ease of doing business (see table A.1). First, the 5 Nordic

79	Russia	118	Croatia
80	Greece	119	Brazil
81	Macedonia, FYR	120	Venezuela
82	Ghana	121	Syria
83	Moldova	122	Afghanistan
84	Kyrgyz Republic	123	São Tomé and Príncipe
85	Uruguay	124	Ukraine
86	Kazakhstan	125	West Bank and Gaza
87	Bosnia and Herzegovina	126	Zimbabwe
88	Paraguay	127	Mauritania
89	Costa Rica	128	Algeria
90	Yemen	129	Benin
91	China	130	Cameroon
92	Serbia and Montenegro	131	Madagascar
93	Turkey	132	Senegal
94	Nigeria	133	Cambodia
95	Lebanon	134	Haiti
96	Malawi	135	Angola
97	Lesotho	136	Sierra Leone
98	Azerbaijan	137	Eritrea
99	Vietnam	138	Uzbekistan
100	Georgia	139	Rwanda
101	Ethiopia	140	Tanzania
102	Morocco	141	Egypt
103	Dominican Republic	142	Timor-Leste
104	Bhutan	143	Burundi
105	Guyana	144	Guinea
106	Belarus	145	Côte d'Ivoire
107	Ecuador	146	Mali
108	Iran	147	Lao PDR
109	Guatemala	148	Congo, Rep.
110	Mozambique	149	Togo
111	Bolivia	150	Niger
112	Honduras	151	Sudan
113	Philippines	152	Chad
114	Iraq	153	Central African Republic
115	Indonesia	154	Burkina Faso
116	India	155	Congo, Dem. Rep.

1 1 117

Albania

Source: Doing Business database.

countries all rank in the top 15. Second, 3 Southern European countries have the lowest ranks among OECD countrieswith France at 44, Italy at 70 and Greece at 80. France ranks among the top 10 on starting a business and has very efficient contract enforcement, but scores low on registering property, getting credit, and labor market flexibility. Italy has average performance on most indicators. Greece has efficient courts and bankruptcy procedures, but lags on other indicators. Third, Saudi Arabia (38), Kuwait (47) and Oman (51) rank high, especially on paying taxes and labor market flexibility. Fourth, 3 of the largest emerging market economies-Brazil (119), India (116) and Indonesia (115)-have below-average ranks. The 3 display a similar pattern: reforms to ease access to credit (for example, by reforming bankruptcy and investor protection) are not accompanied by reforms to simplify regulation for opening a business, hiring workers and paying taxes. Finally, the Pacific islands, which closely follow the business regulations of Australia, New Zealand and the United States, have high scores. For example, Fiji ranks 34, and Samoa 39.

Empirical research is needed to establish the optimal level of business regulation—for example, what the duration of court procedures should be and what the optimal degree of social protection is. The indicators compiled in the *Doing Business* project allow such research to take place. Since the start of the project in November 2001, more than 200 academic papers have used one or more indicators constructed in *Doing Business* and the related background papers by its authors. These citations can be found by typing "Doing Business" and the names of the background papers or their authors (listed in the Data notes) at http://www.scholar.google. com/advanced_scholar_search.

Questions on the methodology and challenges to the data may be submitted through the "Ask a Question" function on the *Doing Business* website at http://www.doingbusiness.org. Updated indicators, as well as any revisions of or corrections to the printed data, are posted on the website. *Doing Business* now publishes more than 5700 data points. Since the publication of last year's report in September 2004, 26 complaints

TABLE A.3 Correlation coefficients between sets of *Doing Business* indicators

Ease of Ease of Ease of Ease of Strength Ease of Ease of starting a hiring and Ease of paying registering getting of investor Ease of enforcing Indicator set firing business licensing property credit protection taxes trading contracts Ease of hiring and firing 0.38 Ease of licensing 0.49 0.47 0.51 Ease of registering property 0.41 0.27 Ease of getting credit 0.48 0.18 0.41 041 Strength of investor protection 0.44 0.39 0.38 0.43 0.17 Ease of paying taxes 0.35 0.45 0.38 0.34 0.20 0.29 Ease of trading 0.49 0.15 0.57 0.42 0.56 0.34 0.31 Ease of enforcing contracts 0.43 0.55 0.20 0.39 0.45 0.28 0.36 0.54 Ease of closing a business 0.54 0.16 0.42 0.37 0.62 0.62 0.36 0.27 0.64

Source: Doing Business database.

Which indicators make up the ranking?

Indica	tor set
Starti	ng a business
	rocedures, time, cost and minimum capital to open a new business
• P	ng with licenses rocedures, time and cost of business inspections and licensing onstruction industry)
• D	a nd firing workers ifficulty of hiring index, rigidity of hours of index, difficulty of firing dex, hiring cost and firing cost
	tering property rocedures, time and cost to register commercial real estate
	ig credit trength of legal rights index, depth of credit information index
• Ir	c ting investors idices on the extent of disclosure, extent of director liability and ase of shareholder suits
• N	J taxes umber of taxes paid, hours per year spent preparing tax returns and tal tax payable as share of gross profit
• N	g across borders umber of documents, number of signatures and time necessary to kport and import
	ring contracts rocedures, time and cost to enforce a debt contract
	g a business me and cost to close down a business, and recovery rate
Source	Doing Rusiness database

Source: Doing Business database

about data have been received. In 4 instances data were corrected as a result of these complaints: the number of procedures and time to start a business in Burkina Faso, the cost of registering property in Senegal, the time to go through bankruptcy in Switzerland and the time and cost to go through bankruptcy in Russia. The other complaints were resolved without a need for corrections, through explanations of the assumptions underlying the methodology and the date as of which the data are collected. In 22 other cases past data were corrected by the *Doing Business* team during the updating of the indicators for this publication.

.

		Startir	ng a Business	Dealing with Licenses			
		JÆ	NUARY 2005	JANUARY 2005			
Economy	Procedures (number)	Time (days)	Cost (% of income per capita)	Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	Procedures (number)	Time (days)	Cost (% of income per capita)
Afghanistan	1	7	52.8	0.0			
Albania	11	41	31.1	39.9	22	344	227.4
Algeria	14	26	25.3	55.1	25	244	70.5
Angola	14	146	642.8	485.4	15	326	1674.7
Argentina	15	32	13.4	6.6	23	288	47.9
Armenia	10	25	6.1	4.0	20	176	64.9
Australia	2	2	1.9	0.0	16	121	12.3
Austria	9	29	5.7	61.5	14	195	81.6
Azerbaijan	14	115	12.5	0.0	28	212	1326.2
Bangladesh	8	35	81.4	0.0	13	185	291.0
Belarus	16	79	22.9	42.8	18	354	22.7
Belgium	4	34	11.1	13.5	15	184	64.1
Benin	8	32	190.8	323.1	22	335	287.9
Bhutan	11	62	10.7	0.0	26	249	62.5
Bolivia	15	50	154.8	4.1	13	187	268.2
Bosnia and Herzegovina	12	54	40.9	57.4	17	476	8735.4
Botswana	11	108	10.9	0.0	42	160	298.8
Brazil	17	152	10.1	0.0	19	460	184.4
Bulgaria	11	32	9.6	104.2	24	212	325.1
Burkina Faso	12	45	149.9	483.8	46	241	5002.3
Burundi	11	43	200.7	0.0	18	302	10740.5
Cambodia	10	43 86	276.1	80.7	28	247	606.7
	10	80 37	172.8	216.5	28 15	444	1094.2
Cameroon			0.9	0.0	15	444 87	123.0
Canada	2	3					
Central African Republic	10	14	211.6	568.1	21	237	308.3
Chad	19	75	360.8	619.1	16	199	1703.1
Chile	9	27	10.3	0.0	12	191	125.2
China	13	48	13.6	946.7	30	363	126.0
Colombia	12	43	25.3	0.0	12	150	697.3
Congo, Dem. Rep.	13	155	503.3	215.9	16	306	6516.3
Congo, Rep.	8	67	288.8	220.1	15	174	1422.2
Costa Rica	11	77	23.8	0.0	19	120	150.3
Côte d'Ivoire	11	45	134.0	225.2	22	569	194.9
Croatia	12	49	13.4	22.7	28	278	1236.7
Czech Republic	10	40	9.5	39.0	31	245	16.1
Denmark	3	5	0.0	47.0		70	71.3
Dominican Republic	10	75	30.9	1.2	12	150	255.1
Ecuador	14	69	38.1	9.2	19	149	100.0
Egypt	10	34	104.9	739.8	30	263	1067.1
El Salvador	12	40	118.0	124.4	22	144	204.2
Eritrea	13	91	128.6	535.2	19	187	1254.2
Estonia	6	35	6.2	41.4	12	116	41.4
Ethiopia	7	32	65.1	1532.0	12	133	1746.5
=iji 	8	46	28.4	0.0	29	117	35.3
Finland	3	14	1.2	28.0	17	56	76.2
France	7	8	1.2	0.0	10	185	78.0
Georgia	8	21	13.7	46.8	29	282	144.6
Germany	9	24	4.7	47.6	11	165	82.8
Ghana	12	81	78.6	27.9	16	127	1549.7
Greece	15	38	24.6	121.4	17	176	71.9
Guatemala	15	39	58.4	29.3	22	294	667.8
Guinea	13	49	178.8	405.0	29	278	512.2

-

-

-

.

.

		Startir	ng a Business	Dealing with Licenses			
		jĄ	NUARY 2005	JANUARY 2005			
Economy	Procedures (number)	Time (days)	Cost (% of income per capita)	Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	Procedures (number)	Time (days)	Cost (% of income per capita)
Guyana	8	46	101.4	0.0	17	202	96.7
Haiti	12	203	153.1	155.0	12	186	1129.6
Honduras	13	62	64.1	34.1	14	199	759.6
Hong Kong, China	5	11	3.4	0.0	22	230	38.5
Hungary	6	38	22.4	79.6	25	213	279.1
Iceland	5	5	2.9	17.1	20	124	16.8
India	11	71	61.7	0.0	20	270	678.5
Indonesia	12	151	101.7	97.8	19	224	364.9
Iran	8	47	6.3	1.7	21	668	818.0
Iraq	11	77	37.4	31.6	14	210	311.5
Ireland	4	24	5.3	0.0	10	181	23.6
Israel	5	34	5.3	0.0	21	219	93.5
Italy	9	13	15.7	10.8	17	284	147.3
Jamaica	6	9	8.3	0.0	13	242	526.1
Japan	11	31	10.7	75.3	11	87	19.7
Jordan	11	36	45.9	1011.6	17	122	506.3
Kazakhstan	7	24	8.6	26.6	32	258	68.3
Kenya	13	54	48.2	0.0	11	170	40.0
Kiribati	6	21	71.0	38.4	••		
Korea	12	22	15.2	308.8	14	60	232.6
Kuwait	13	35	2.2	133.8	26	149	278.9
Kyrgyz Republic	8	21	10.4	0.6	16	152	325.2
Lao PDR	9	198	15.1	23.4	24	208	224.5
Latvia	7	18	4.2	31.8	21	160	43.9
Lebanon	6	46	110.6	68.5	16	275	214.6
Lesotho	9	92	56.1	16.4	12	254	134.2
Lithuania	8	26	3.3	57.3	14	151	17.5
Macedonia, FYR	13	48	11.3	145.2	18	214	67.5
Madagascar	11	38	54.3	2158.0	19	356	447.8
Malawi	10	35	139.6	0.0	23	205	244.7
Malaysia	9	30	20.9	0.0	25	226	82.7
Maldives	6	12	12.4	6.6	9	131	40.3
Mali	13	42	190.7	490.8	17	260	4903.0
Marshall islands	7	22	27.4	0.0	6	76	36.9
Mauritania	11	82	143.6	877.5	19	152	987.1
Mauritius	6	46	8.8	0.0	21	132	16.7
Mexico	9	58	15.6	13.9	12	222	159.0
Micronesia	7	36	27.7	50.3	6	53	41.4
Moldova	10	30	17.1	22.0	20	122	215.0
Mongolia	8	20	6.2	140.2	18	96	58.8
Morocco	5	11	12.0	700.3	21	217	1302.8
Mozambique	14	153	95.0	12.0	14	212	148.6
Namibia	10	95	18.8	0.0	11	169	892.0
Nepal	7	21	69.9	0.0	12	147	314.7
Netherlands	7	11	13.0	64.6	18	184	142.7
New Zealand	2	12	0.2	0.0	7	65	29.3
Nicaragua	8	42	139.1	0.0	12	192	1243.8
Niger	13	35	465.4	760.8	27	165	2920.3
Nigeria	9	43	73.8	43.3	16	465	355.8
Norway	4	13	2.7	27.0	13	97	53.9
Oman	9	34	4.8	97.3	16	271	1014.0
Pakistan	11	24	18.6	0.0	12	218	1170.7

			ng a Business	Dealing with Licenses			
		JA	NUARY 2005		JANUARY 2005		
	Procedures	Time	Cost (% of income	Minimum capital (% of income	Procedures	Time	Cost (% of income
Economy	(number)	(days)	per capita)	per capita)	(number)	(days)	per capita)
Palau	8	33	10.2	7.3	6	67	18.8
Panama	7	19	24.8	0.0	22	128	114.3
Papua New Guinea	8	56	30.2	0.0	20	218	124.7
Paraguay	17	74	147.8	0.0	15	273	544.5
Peru	10	102	38.0	0.0	19	201	366.3
Philippines	11	48	20.3	2.0	23	197	121.0
Poland	10	31	22.2	220.1	25	322	83.1
Portugal	11	54	13.4	39.4	20	327	57.7
Puerto Rico	7	7	1.0	0.0	20	137	103.3
Romania	5	11	5.3	0.0	15	291	187.7
Russia	8	33	5.0	4.4	22	528	353.7
Rwanda	9	21	280.2	0.0	17	252	510.9
Samoa	7	68	18.8	0.0	19	88	107.3
São Tomé and Príncipe	9	192	97.0	0.0	13	259	1737.1
Saudi Arabia	13	64	68.5	1236.9	18	131	82.1
Senegal	9	57	108.7	260.4	18	185	175.9
Serbia and Montenegro	10	15	6.0	9.5	21	212	2195.0
Sierra Leone	9	26	835.4	0.0	48	236	268.9
Singapore	6	6	1.1	0.0	11	129	24.0
Slovakia	9	25	5.1	41.0	13	272	18.0
Slovenia	9	60	10,1	17.0	13	207	128.7
Solomon Islands	5	35	48.4	0.0			
South Africa	9	38	8.6	0.0	 18	176	 38.0
Spain	9 10	47	16,5	15.7	18	277	77.1
Sri Lanka	8	50	10.3	0.0	12	167	144.0
Sudan	° 10	38	68.1	0.0			
Sweden		38 16	0.7	35.0		116	 119.6
	3 6			31.3	° 15		
Switzerland		20 47	8.7	5111.9		152	59.2
Syria	12		34.5		20	134	359.8
Taiwan, China	8	48	6.0	216.3	32	235	250.9
Tanzania	13	35	161.3	6.0	26	313	4110.2
Thailand	8	33	6.1	0.0	9	147	17.3
Timor-Leste	10	92	125.4	909.1	24	192	51.0
Togo	13	53	218.3	459.9	14	273	1223.4
Tonga	4	32	11.7	0.0	15	81	198.0
Tunisia	9	14	10.0	29.8	21	154	340.0
Turkey	8	9	27.7	20.9	32	232	368.7
Uganda	17	36	117.8	0.0	19	155	861.8
Ukraine	15	34	10.6	183.0	18	265	229.4
United Arab Emirates	12	54	44.3	416.9	21	125	2.1
United Kingdom	6	18	0.7	0.0	19	115	70.2
United States	5	5	0.5	0.0	19	70	16.9
Uruguay	11	45	43.9	151.7	17	146	95.0
Uzbekıstan	9	35	15.5	20.2		• •	
Vanuatu	8	39	65.6	0.0	7	82	427.1
Venezuela	13	116	15.7	0.0	13	276	547.2
Vietnam	11	50	50.6	0.0	14	143	64.1
West Bank and Gaza	11	106	275.4	1409.8	18	144	779.2
Yemen	12	63	240.2	2703.2	13	131	274.4
Zambia	6	35	18.1	2.1	16	165	1671.2
Zimbabwe	10	96	1442.5	53.0	21	481	1509.6

-

		Hirir	ng and Fi		Registering Property						
		JANUARY 2005						JANUARY 2005			
Economy	Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	Rigidity of employment index (0-100)	Hiring cost (% of salary)	Firing Cost (weeks of salary)	Procedures (number)	Time (days)	Cost (% of property value)		
Afghanistan	67	20	30	39	0	4	11	252	9.5		
Albania	44	80	20	48	31	64	7	47	3.6		
Algeria	44	60	50	51	27	17	16	52	9.0		
Angola	33	80	80	64	8	62	7	334	11.1		
Argentina	44	60	40	48	30	94	5	44	8.3		
Armenia	17	60	70	49	19	17	4	6	0.5		
Australia	0	40	10	17	21	4	5	5	7.1		
Austria	11	80	40	44	31	55	3	32	4.5		
Azerbaijan	33	40	40	38	27	42	7	61	0.4		
Bangladesh	11	40 40	20	24 27	0	47	11	363	11.0		
Belarus	0 11	40 40	40 10	27 20	39 55	21 16	7 7	231 132	0.1		
Belgium Benin	39	80	40	53	27	35	3	50	12.8 15.1		
Bhutan	78	60	40	46	0	94	5	50 72	1.0		
Bolivia	61	60	ů 0	40	14	98	7	92	5.0		
Bosnia and Herzegovina	56	40	30	42	42	33	7	331	6.0		
Botswana	11	40	40	30	0	19	6	69	5.1		
Brazil	67	80	20	56	27	165	15	47	4.0		
Bulgaria	61	60	10	44	32	30	9	19	2.3		
Burkina Faso	83	100	70	84	23	57	8	107	16.2		
Burundi	67	80	60	69	7	25	5	94	18.9		
Cambodia	67	80	30	59	0	39	7	56	4.7		
Cameroon	28	60	80	56	15	40	5	93	19.0		
Canada	11	0	30	14	12	28	6	10	1.7		
Central African Republic	89	80	60	76	18	37	3	69	17.3		
Chad	67	80	70	72	21	21	6	44	21.3		
Chile	33	20	20	24	3	51	6	31	1.3		
China	11	40	40	30	30	90	3	32	3.1		
Colombia	72	60	40	57	28	44	7	23	3.5		
Congo, Dem. Rep.	100	100	70	90	9	31	8	106	10.2		
Congo, Rep.	89 50	80	70	80	16	42	6	103	22.1		
Costa Rica	56	60 80	0	39 45	24 15	34 68	<u> </u>	21 369	3.6		
Côte d'Ivoire Croatia	44	80 60	50	45 57	15 17	68 38	7 5	369 956	14.3 5.0		
Croatia Czech Republic	61 33	20	20	24	37	22	4	123	3.0		
Denmark	11	40	10	24	1	39	6	42	0.6		
Dominican Republic	22	80	30	44	14	77	7	107	5.1		
Ecuador	44	60	70	58	13	131	10	21	6.7		
Egypt	0	80	80	53	26	162	7	193	6.1		
El Salvador	44	60	20	41	15	86	5	52	3.6		
Eritrea	0	60	20	27	2	69	6	91	9.1		
Estonia	33	80	40	51	33	33	4	65	0.5		
Ethiopia	33	60	30	41	0	40	15	56	10.4		
Fiji	22	40	0	21	8	28	3	48	12.0		
Finland	44	60	40	48	22	24	3	14	4.0		
France	78	80	40	66	47	32	9	183	6.5		
Georgia	0	60	70	43	31	4	6	9	0.6		
Germany	44	80	40	55	21	67	4	41	4.1		
Ghana	11	40	50	34	13	25	7	382	3.7		
Greece	78	80	40	66	30	69	12	23	13.7		
Guatemala	61	40	20	40	13	101	5	69 104	4.7		
Guinea	33	80	30	48	27	26	6	104	15.6		

		Hirir	Registering Property						
				JANUARY 2005					
Economy	Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	Rigidity of hours index (0-100)	Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	Rigidity of employment index (0-100)	Hiring cost (% of salary)	Firing Cost (weeks of salary)	Procedures (number)	Time (days)	Cost (% of property value)
Guyana					7	• •	4	24	2.5
Haiti	11	40	20	24	9	26	5	683	8.1
Honduras	22	40	40	34	10	46	7	36	5.8
Hong Kong, China	0	0	0	0	5	13	5	83	5.0
Hungary	11	80	20	37	34	34	4	78	11.0
celand	33	60	0	31	12	13	3	4	2.4
ndia	56	40	90	62	12	79	6	67	8.9
ndonesia	61	40	70	57	10	145	7	42	11.0
ran	78	60	10	49	23	90	9	36	5.0
raq	78	80	50	69	12	4	5	8	7.7
reland	28	40	30	33	11	52	5	38	10.3
srael	0	80	20	33	6	90	7	144	7.5
taly	61	80	30	57	33	47	8	27	0.9
amaica	11	0	20	10	12	60	5	54	13.5
apan	17	40	0	19	13	21	6	14	4.1
ordan	11	40	50	34	11	<u>90</u>	8	22	10.0
Kazakhstan	0	60	10	23	22	8	8	52	1.6
Kenya	33	20	30	28	5	47	8	73	4.1
(iribati	0	0	50	17	8	46	4	58	0.1
lorea	44	60	30	45	17	90	7	11	6.3
(uwait	0	60	0	20	11	42	8	75	0.6
Cyrgyz Republic	33	40	40	38	27	21	7	10	5.3
ao PDR	11	60	80	50	5	36	, 9	135	4.2
atvia	67	40	70	59	22	17	9	54	4.2 2.1
ebanon	33		40	24	22	17	8	25	5.9
esotho	56	60	40	42	0	47	6	101	5.9 8.5
ithuania	33	60	40	42	28	34			
	61	60 60					3	3	0.8
Macedonia, FYR	67	60	40	54	33 18	41	6	74	3.6
Madagascar Malaum			50	59		41	8	134	11.0
Malawi Malawi	22	20	20	21	1	90	6	118	3.4
Malaysia Aataliaa	0	20	10	10	13	65	4	143	2.3
Aaldives	0	20	0	7	0	20			
/lali	78	60	60	66	24	81	5	44	20.0
Marshall Islands	33	0	0	11	11	0	4	12	1.7
Mauritania	100	60	60	73	17	31	4	49	6.8
Mauritius	0	60	50	37	7	15	5	210	16.5
Aexico	33	60	60	51	24	75	5	74	5.3
Aicronesia	33	0	0	11	6	0	3	8	1.1
Aoldova	33	100	70	68	30	21	6	48	1.5
Aongolia	11	80	10	34	19	17	5	11	2.3
Aorocco	100	40	40	60	18	83	3	82	6.1
<i>l</i> lozambique	83	80	20	61	4	141	8	42	5.2
lamibia	0	60	20	27	0	24	9	28	9.3
lepal	22	20	90	44	0	90	2	2	6.2
letherlands	28	60	60	49	16	16	2	2	6.2
lew Zealand	11	0	10	7	0	0	2	2	0.1
licaragua	11	80	50	47	17	24	7	65	6.5
liger	100	100	70	90	16	76	5	49	14.0
ligeria	33	60	20	38	8	4	21	274	27.1
lorway	44	40	30	38	14	12	1	1	2.5
Dman	44	60	0	35	9	13	4	16	3.0
'akistan	67	40	30	46	12	90	5	49	3.2

	Hiring and Firing Workers							Registering Property			
			JANUAR		JANUARY 2005						
Economy	Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	Rigidity of hours index (0-100)	Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	Rigidity of employment index (0-100)	Hiring cost (% of salary)	Firing Cost (weeks of salary)	Procedures (number)	Time (days)	Cost (% of property value)		
Palau	0	0	0	0	6	0	3	14	0.3		
Panama	78	40	70	63	14	47	7	44	2.4		
Papua New Guinea	22	20	20	21	8	38	4	72	5.2		
Paraguay	56	60	60	59	17	99	7	48	2.0		
Peru	44	60	40	48	10	56	5	33	3.2		
Philippines	56	40	40	45	9	90	8	33	5.7		
Poland	11	60	40	37	26	25	6	197	1.6		
Portugal	33	80	60	58	24	98	5	83	7.4		
Puerto Rico	56	20	30	35	16	0	8	15	1.6		
Romania	67	60	50	59	34	98	8	170	2.0		
Russia	0	60	30	30	36	17	6	52	0.4		
Rwanda	56	60	60	59	8	54	5	371	9.6		
Samoa	11	20	0	10	6	42	5	147	1.9		
São Tomé and Príncipe	61	60	60	60	6	108	6	51	12.6		
Saudi Arabia	0	40	0	13	11	79	4	4	0.0		
Senegal	61	60	70	64	23	38	6	114	18.0		
Serbia and Montenegro	44	0	40	28	25	21	6	111	5.3		
Sierra Leone	89	80	70	80	10	188	8	58	15.4		
Singapore	0	0	0	0	13	4	3	9	2.8		
Slovakia	17	60	40	39	35	13	3	17	0.1		
Slovenia	61	80	50	64	17	43	6	391	2.0		
Solomon Islands	11	20	20	17	8	52	6	86	10.2		
South Africa	56	40	60	52	3	38	6	23	11.0		
Spain	67	80	50	66	32	56	3	25	7.2		
Sri Lanka	0	40	80	40	16	176	8	63	5.1		
Sudan	0	60	70	43	19	37					
Sweden	28	60	40	43	33	24	1	2	3.0		
Switzerland	0	40	10	17	14	12	4	16	0.4		
Syria	11	60	50	40	17	79	4	34	30.4		
Taiwan, China	78	60	30	56	10	90	3	5	6.2		
Tanzania	67	80	60	69	16	38	12	61	12.2		
Thailand	33	20	0	18	5	47	2	2	6.3		
Timor-Leste	67	20	50	46	0	21	7	71	10.0		
Togo	78	80	80	79	25	66	6	212	7.5		
Tonga	0	40	0	13	0	0	4	108	10.3		
Tunisia	61	0	100	54	19	29	5	57	6.1		
Turkey	44	80	40	55	22	112	8	9	3.2		
Uganda	0	20	20	13	10	12	8	48	5.1		
Ukraine	44	60	80	61	36	17	10	93	3.8		
United Arab Emirates	0	80	20	33	13	96	3	9	2.0		
United Kingdom	11	20	10	14	9	34	2	21	4.1		
United States	0	0	10	3	8	0	4	12	0.5		
Uruguay	33	60	0	31	20	26	8	66	7.1		
Uzbekistan	33	40	30	34	36	31	12	97	10.5		
Vanuatu	39	40	10	30	6	55	2	188	7.0		
Venezuela	33	40 80	0	38	15	46	7	33	2.1		
Vietnam	44	80 40	70	51	17	4 0 98	5	67	1.2		
West Bank and Gaza	33	40 60	20	38	13	90	7	58	4.7		
Yemen	0	80	30	37	17	17	6	21	3.9		
	0	20	30 10	10	9	176	6	70	9.6		
Zambia Zimbabwa									22.6		
Zimbabwe	11	40	20	24	6	29	4	30	22.6		

.

.

		Getting	Credit		Protecting Investors				
	JANUARY 2005								
Economy	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	Depth of credit information		Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	
Afghanistan	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	2	0.7	
Albania	9	0	0.0	0.0	0	6	2	2.7	
Algeria	3	0	0.0	0.0	8	4	1	4.3	
Angola	3	4	2.9	0.0	5	6	6	5.7	
Argentina	3	6	22.1	95.0	7	2	7	5.3	
Armenia	4	3	2.6	0.0					
Australia	9	5	0.0	100.0	8	2	8	6.0	
Austria	5	6	1.2	45.4	2	5	4	3.7	
Azerbaijan	6	3	0.4	0.0	0	5	7	4.0	
Bangladesh	7	2	0.4	0.0	6	7	7	6.7	
Belarus	5	3		0.0	1	4	7	4.0	
Belgium	5	4	55.3	0.0	8	7	7	7.3	
Benin	4	1	3.5	0.0	5	8	4	5.7	
Bhutan	3	0	0.0	0.0	6	6	4	5.3	
Bolivia	3	4	10.3	24.6	1	5	7	4.3	
Bosnia and Herzegovina	8	5	0.0	19.3	3	6	5	4.7	
Botswana	9	5	0.0	30.8	8	2	3	4.3	
Brazil	2	5	9.6	53.6	5	7	4	5.3	
Bulgaria	6	3	13.6	0.0	8	1	7	5.3	
Burkina Faso	4	1	1.9	0.0	6	5	3	4.7	
Burundi	2	3	0.2	0.0	••				
Cambodia	0	0	0.0	0.0	5	9	2	5.3	
Cameroon	4	2	0.8	0.0	8	3	6	5.7	
Canada	7	6	0.0	100.0	8	9	9	8.7	
Central African Republic	3	2	1.2	0.0	• •	••			
Chad	3	2	0.2	0.0	3	6	5	4.7	
Chile	4	6	45.7	22.1	8	4	5	5.7	
China	2	3	0.4	0.0	10	1	2	4.3	
Colombia	4	4	0.0	31.7	7	1	9	5.7	
Congo, Dem. Rep.	3	0	0.0	0.0	3	4	5	4.0	
Congo, Rep.	2	2	2.3	0.0	4	5	6	5.0	
Costa Rica	4	6	34.8	4.5	2	5	2	3.0	
Côte d'Ivoire	2	1	3.0	0.0	6	5	3	4.7	
Croatia	4	0	0.0	0.0	2	5	2	3.0	
Czech Republic	6	5	2.8	37.9	2	5	8	5.0	
Denmark	7	4	0.0	7.7	7	5	7	6.3	
Dominican Republic	4	5	19.2	34.6	3	0	9	4.0	
, Ecuador	3	4	13.6	0.0	1	5	6	4.0	
Egypt	1	2	1.2	0.0	5	2	5	4.0	
El Salvador	5	5	17.3	78.7	6	2	6	4.7	
Eritrea	3	0	0.0	0.0	4	5	5	4.7	
Estonia	4	5	0.0	12.5	8	4	6	6.0	
Ethiopia	5	0	0.0	0.0	1	4	3	2.7	
Fiji	8	4	0.0	28.3	5	5	7	5.7	
Finland	6	5	0.0	14.7	6	4	7	5.7	
France	3	2	1.8	0.0	10	1	5	5.3	
Georgia	7	0	0.0	0.0	4	4	4	4.0	
Germany	8	6	0.6	88.2	5	5	6	5.3	
Ghana	5	0	0.0	0.0	7	7	4	6.0	
Greece	3	4	0.0	17.7	1	4	5	3.3	
Guatemala	4	5	0.0	9,9	1	3	7	3.7	
Guinea	2	-	0.0	0.0	5	6	3	4.7	
	—				-	-	-		

~

-

102 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006

		Getting (Credit		Protecting Investors				
		JANUARY 2	2005			JANUAR	Y 2005	Strength	
Economy	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	Depth of credit information index (0-6)	Public registry coverage (% of adults)	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	of investor protection index (0-10)	
Guyana	3	0	0.0	0.0	5	4	4	4.3	
Haiti	2	2	0.3	0.0	4	3	4	3.7	
Honduras	5	4	11.2	18.7	1	5	4	3.3	
Hong Kong, China	10	5	0.0	64.5	10	8	8	8.7	
Hungary	6	5	0.0	4.0	1	5	8	4.7	
Iceland	7	5	0.0	100.0	4	5	6	5.0	
India	5	2	0.0	1.7·	7	4	7	6.0	
Indonesia	5	3	0.0	0.1	8	5	3	5.3	
Iran	5	3	13.7	0.0	3	5	0	2.7	
Iraq	4	0	0.0	0.0	4	5	5	4.7	
Ireland	8	5	0.0	100.0	9	5	9	7.7	
Israel	8	5	0.0	0.7	8	8	9	8.3	
Italy	3	6	6.1	59.9	7	2	5	4.7	
Jamaica	6	0	0.0	0.0	3	8	5	5.3	
Japan	6	6	0.0	61.2	6	7	7	6.7	
Jordan	6	2	0.6	0.0	5	2	4	3.7	
Kazakhstan	5	0	0.0	0.0	7	2	6	5.0	
Kenya	8	5	0.0	0.1	4	2	10	5.3	
Kiribati	6	0	0.0	0.0	6	5	8	6.3	
Korea	6	5	0.0	80.7	7	2	5	4.7	
Kuwait	5	4	0.0	16.1	5	5	5	5.0	
Kyrgyz Republic	8	2	0.0	0.2	8	1	8	5.7	
Lao PDR	2	0	0.0	0.0	4	2	4	3.3	
Latvia	8	3	1.1	0.0	5	4	8	5.7	
Lebanon	4	4	3.5	0.0	8	1	4	4.3	
Lesotho	5	0	0.0	0.0	2	2	8	4.0	
Lithuania	4	6	2.5	12.1	5	- 4	7	5.3	
Macedonia, FYR	6	3	1.9	0.0	5	7	6	6.0	
Madagascar	4	2	0.3	0.0	5	6	6	5.7	
Malawi	7	0	0.0	0.0	4	7	5	5.3	
Malaysia	8	6	33.7		10	9	7	8.7	
Maldives	4	0	0.0	0.0	0	8	8	5,3	
Mali	3	1	2.3	0.0	6	5	3	4.7	
Marshall Islands	6	0	0.0	0.0	2	0	8	3.3	
Mauritania	7	1	0.2	0.0					
Mauritius	7	0	0.0	0.0	6	 8	,. 9	 7.7	
Mexico	2	6	0.0	49.4	6	0	5	3.7	
Micronesia	6	õ	0.0	0.0	0	0	8	2.7	
Moldova	6	õ	0.0	0.0	7	1	6	4.7	
Mongolia	5	3	4.7	0.0	'	·	Ū		
Morocco	2		2.0	0.0	6	5	1	4.0	
Mozambique	4	4	0.8	0.0					
Namibia	4 5	4 5	0.8	35.2			 7	6.7	
Nepal	4	3	0.0	0.1	4	1	9	4.7	
Netherlands	8	5	0.0	68.9	4	3	6	4.7	
New Zealand	8 9	5	0.0	95.8	4 10	9	10	4.5 9.7	
	9 4		8.1	0.0	4	5	6	5.0	
Nicaragua Nicar	4	4 1	8.1 0.9	0.0	4 6	5	3	5.0 4.7	
Niger	7	3	0.9	0.0		<u>></u> 7	4	<u> </u>	
Nigeria						6	4	5.7 6.7	
Norway	6	4	0.0	100.0	7			6.7 5.7	
Oman	3	0	0.0	0.0	8	6	3		
Pakıstan	4	4	0.3	0.9	6	6	7	6.3	

doing business indicators 103

.

.

.

	Getting Credit					Protecting			
	JANUARY 2005				JANUARY 2005				
Economy	Strength of legal rights index (0-10)	Depth of credit information		Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	Extent of director liability index (0-10)	Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	
Palau	5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	8	2.7	
anama	6	6	0.0	40.2	3	4	7	4.7	
apua New Guinea	6	0	0.0	0.0	5	5	8	6.0	
araguay	3	6	8.7	52.2	6	5	6	5.7	
eru	2	6	30.2	27,8	7	5	7	6.3	
hilippines	3	2	0.0	3.7	1	2	7	3.3	
oland	3	4	0.0	38.1	7	4	8	6.3	
ortugal	5	4	64.3	9.8	7	5	6	6.0	
uerto Rico	6	5	0.0	63.6		••			
lomania	4	4	1.4	1.0	8	5	4	5.7	
lussia	3	0	0.0	0.0	7	3	5	5.0	
wanda	1	2	0.0	0.0					
amoa	7	0	0.0	0.0		6		6.3	
ão Tomé and Príncipe		0	0.0	0.0	6	1	° 6	4.3	
		5			8	•			
audi Arabia	4		0.2	10.2 0.0	8 7	4 1	3 3	5.0 3.7	
enegal	3	1	4.3						
erbia and Montenegro	5	1	0.1	0.0	7	6	4	5.7	
ierra Leone	5	0	0.0	0.0	3	6	5	4.7	
ingapore	10	4	0.0	38.6	10	9	9	9.3	
lovakia	9	2	0.5	18.1	2	4	6	4.0	
lovenia	6	3	2.7	0.0	3	8	6	5.7	
olomon Islands	6	0	0.0	0.0	5	6	8	6.3	
outh Africa	5	5	0.0	63.4	8	8	8	8.0	
pain	5	6	42.1	6.5	4	6	4	4.7	
iri Lanka	3	3	0.0	2.2	4	5	7	5.3	
udan	5	0	0.0	0.0					
weden	6	5	0.0	100.0	2	5	7	4.7	
witzerland	6	5	0.0	23.3	1	5	6	4.0	
yria	5	0	0.0	0.0	5	7	1	4.3	
aiwan, China	4	5	0.0	57.1	8	4	4	5.3	
anzania	5	0	0.0	0.0	3	3	0	2.0	
hailand	5	4	0.0	18.4	10	2	6	6.0	
imor-Leste	3	0	0.0	0.0	7	1	3	3.7	
ogo	2	1	3.5	0.0	4	3	5	4.0	
fonga	5	0	0.0	0.0	3	6	8	5.7	
unisia	4	2	8.2	0.0	0	4	6	3.3	
urkey	1	5	4.9	27.6	8	3	4	5.0	
Jganda	5	0	0.0	0.0	7	5	4	5.3	
Jkraine	8	õ	0.0	0.0	, 1	3	4	2.7	
Jnited Arab Emirates	4	2	1.5	0.0	4	8	2	4.7	
Inited Kingdom	10	6	0.0	76.2	10	7		8.0	
Jnited States	7	6	0.0	100.0	7	, 9	9	8.3	
Jruguay	4	5	5.5	80.0	3	9 4	9	8.5 5.0	
Jzbekistan	4	0	0.0	0.0	4	4	о З	5.0 4.3	
/anuatu	5	0	0.0	0.0		6	8	<u>4.3</u> 6,3	
	6 4								
/enezuela /ietaam		4	16.8	0.0	3	2	2	2.3	
/ietnam Next Beak and Case	3	3	1.1	0.0	4	1	2	2.3	
Vest Bank and Gaza	5	0	0.0	0.0			·····		
emen	2 6	2 0	0.1 0.0	0.0 0.0	6 10	4	3 8	4.3	
Zambia					10	4	0	7.3	

-

-

-

-

	Pa	ying Tax	es	Trading across Borders						
	JANUARY 2005			JANUARY 2005						
Economy	Payments (number)	Time (hours per year)	Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	Documents for export (number)	Signatures for export (number)	Time for export (days)	Documents for import (number)	Signatures for import (number)	Time for import (days)	
Afghanistan	2	80	21.4				10	57	97	
Albania	53	240	71.6	6	13	37	12	17	38	
Algeria	63	504	58.5	8	8	29	8	12	51	
Angola	30	656	32.5				10	28	64	
Argentina	35	580	97.9	6	6	23	7	9	30	
Armenia	50	1120	53.8	7	12	34	6	15	37	
Australia	12	107	37.0	5	2	12	11	2	16	
Austria	20	272	50.8	4	2	8	5	3	9	
Azerbaijan	35	756	41.4	7	40	69	18	55	79	
Bangladesh	17	640	50.4	7	15	35	16	38	57	
Belarus	113	1188	121.8	7	9	33	7	10	37	
Belgium	10	160	44.6	5	2	7	6	2	9	
Benin	75	270	53.1		10	36	11	14	49	
Bhutan	30	370	23.3	10	12	39	14	12	42	
Bolivia	41	1080	64.0	9	15	43	9	16	49	
Bosnia and Herzegovina	73	100	19.7	9	15	32	15	18	43	
Botswana	24	140	52.9	6	7	37	9	10	42	
Brazil	23	2600	147.9	7	8	39	14	16	42	
	25	616	38.6	7	° 5	26	14		43 24	
Bulgaria	40							4		
Burkina Faso		270	48.3	9	19	71	13	37	66	
Burundi	41	140	173.5	11	29	67	19	55	124	
Cambodia	27	97	31.1	8	10	43	12	18	55	
Cameroon	51	1300	47.6	10	11	39	14	20	53	
Canada	10	119	32.5	6	2	12	7	1	12	
Central African Republic	66	504	60.9	9	38	116	10	75	122	
Chad	65	122	51.3	7	32	87	14	42	111	
Chile	8	432	46.7	6	7	23	8	8	24	
China	34	584	46.9	6	7	20	11	8	24	
Colombia	54	432	75.1	6	7	34	11	12	48	
Congo, Dem. Rep.	34	312	134.7	8	45	50	15	80	67	
Congo, Rep.	94	576	66.9	8	42	50	12	51	62	
Costa Rica	41	402	54.3	7	8	36	13	8	42	
Côte d'Ivoire	71	270	46.9	7	11	21	16	21	48	
Croatia	39	232	47.1	9	10	35	15	10	37	
Czech Republic	14	930	40.1	5	3	20	8	4	22	
Denmark	18	135	63.4	3	2	5	3	1	5	
Dominican Republic	85	124	57.2	6	3	17	11	6	17	
Ecuador	33	600	33.9	12	4	20	11	7	42	
Egypt	39	504	32.1	8	11	27	9	8	29	
El Salvador	65	224	32.2	7	10	43	15	11	54	
Eritrea	18	216	66.3	11	20	69	17	33	69	
Estonia	10	104	39.5	5	20	12	5	5	14	
Ethiopia	20	52	43.6	8	33	46	13	45	57	
Fiji	20	140	44.4	6	5	22	13	2	22	
Finland	19		52.1	4	3	7	3	1	7	
France	29	 72	42.8	4	3	22	13	3	23	
		448	42.8 49.7	9	3 35	22 54	13	42	52	
Georgia	49 22								52 6	
Germany	32	105	50.3	4	1	6	4	1	55	
Ghana	35	304	45.3	6	11	47		13		
Greece	32	204	47.9	7	6	29	11	9	34	
Guatemala	50	260	53.4	8	6	20	7	5	36	
Guinea	55	416	51.2	7	11	43	12	23	56	

L

	Pa	ying Tax	es	Trading across Borders						
	JANUARY 2005			JANUARY 2005						
Economy	Payments (number)	Time (hours per year)	Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	Documents for export (number)	Signatures for export (number)	Time for export (days)	Documents for import (number)	Signatures for import (number)	Time for import (days)	
Guyana	45	288	20.7	8	10	42	11	15	54	
Haiti	53		31.7	8	20	58	9	35	60	
Honduras	48	424	43.2	7	17	34	15	21	46	
Hong Kong, China	1	80	14.3	6	4	13	8	3	16	
Hungary	24	304	56.8	6	4	23	10	5	24	
Iceland	19	175	52.2	7	3	15	6	2	15	
India	59	264	43.2	10	22	36	15	27	43	
Indonesia	52	560	38.8	7	3	25	10	6	30	
Iran	28		14.6		30	45	11	45	51	
Iraq	13	48	5.6	10	70	105	19	75	135	
Ireland	8	76	45.3	5	5	14	4	5	15	
Israel	33	210	57.5	5	2	10	5	4	13	
Italy	20	360	59.8	8	5	28	16	10	38	
Jamaica	72	414	49.4	5	7	20	8	7	26	
Japan	26	315	34.6	5	3	11	7	3	11	
Jordan	10	101	39.8	7	6	28	12	5	28	
Kazakhstan	34	156	41.6	14	15	93	18	17	87	
Kenya	17	372	68.2	8	15	45	13	20	62	
Kiribati	16		15.6	6	5	31	13	20 6	32	
Korea	26	 290	29.6	5	3	12	8	5	12	
Kuwait	14		8.2	5	10	30	11	12	39	
Kyrgyz Republic	95	 204	59.4							
Lao PDR	31	204 180	24.7	 12	 17	 66	18 16	27	127	
Latvia	39	320	38.7	9	6			28	78	
Lebanon	33	208	30.4	6	15	18 22	13	7 35	21 34	
Lesotho	19	208 564	37.7							
Lithuania	13	162	41.6	 5	· ·	•••	10	15	50	
	54	96			5	6	12	4	17	
Macedonia, FYR Madagascar			40.1		8	32	10	11	35	
Madagascar Malawi	29	400	58.9	7	15	50	9	18	59	
	33	782	56.5	9	12	41	6	20	61	
Malaysia	28		11.6	6	3	20	12	5	22	
Maldives Mali	1	0	5.5	7	4	24	12	4	29	
	60	270	44.0	10	33	67	16	60	61	
Marshall Islands	20	160	42.6				6	6	14	
Mauritania	61	696	75.8	9	13	42	7	25	40	
Mauritius	7	158	38.2	5	4	16	7	4	16	
Mexico	49	536	31.3	6	4	18	8	11	26	
Micronesia	8	128	32.1				14	5	33	
Moldova	44	250	44.7	7	12	33	7	13	35	
Mongolia	43		45.3	11	21	66	10	27	74	
Morocco	28	690	54.8	7	13	31	11	17	33	
Mozambique	35	230	50.9	6	12	41	16	12	41	
Namibia	23	50	43.9	9	7	32	14	7	25	
Nepal	23	408	31.8	7	12	44	10	24	38	
Netherlands	22	700	53.3	5	3	7	4	1	8	
New Zealand	8	70	44.2	5	2	8	9	2	13	
Nicaragua	64	240	54.3	6	4	38	7	5	38	
Niger	44	270	49.4	••	••	••	19	52	89	
Nigeria	36	1120	27.1	11	39	41	13	71	53	
Norway	3	87	60.1	4	3	7	4	3	7	
Oman	13	52	5.2	9	7	23	13	9	27	
Pakistan	32	560	57.4	8	10	33	12	15	39	

	. Pa	aying Tax	es	Trading across Borders						
	JANUARY 2005			JANUARY 2005						
Economy	Payments (number)	Time (hours per year)	Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	Documents for export (number)	Signatures for export (number)	Time for export (days)	Documents for import (number)	Signatures for import (number)	Time for import (days)	
Palau	17	128	40.0	7	3	20	9	4	26	
Panama	45	424	32.9	8	3	30	12	3	32	
Papua New Guinea	43	198	36.7	5	5	30	10	6	32	
Paraguay	33	328	37.9	9	7	34	13	11	31	
Peru	53	424	50.7	8	10	24	13	13	31	
Philippines	62	94	46.4	6	5	19	8	7	22	
Poland	43	175	55.6	6	5	19	7	8	26	
Portugal	7	328	45.4	6	4	18	7	5	18	
Puerto Rico	41	140	17.8	9	3	15	10	3	19	
Romania	62	188	51.1	7	6	27	15	10	28	
Russia	27	256	40.8	8	8	29	8	10	35	
Rwanda	42	168	53.9	14	27	63	19	46	92	
Samoa	35	224	35.8	6	4	12	8	6	13	
São Tomé and Príncipe	29	1008	27.4	7	8	31	9	12	40	
Saudi Arabia	13	70	1.4	5	12	36	9	18	44	
Senegal	59	696	45.0	6	8	6	10	12	26	
Serbia and Montenegro	41	168	46.3	9	15	32	15	17	44	
Sierra Leone	20	399	163.9	7	8	36	7	22	39	
Singapore	16	30	19.5	5	2	6	6	2	8	
Slovakia	31	344	39.5	9	8	20	8	10	21	
Slovenia	29	272	47.3	9	7	20	11	9	24	
Solomon Islands	33	80	13,5				7	5	24	
South Africa	32	350	43.8	5	7	31	9	9	34	
Spain	7	56	48.4	4	3	9	5	3	10	
Sri Lanka	42		49.4	8	10	25	13	15	27	
Sudan				9	35	82	15	50	111	
Sweden	5	122	 52.6	4	1	6	3	1	6	
Switzerland	25	63	22.0	8	5	21	13	5	22	
Syria	22	336	20.8	12	19	49	18	47	63	
Taiwan, China	15	296	23.6	8	9	14	8	11	14	
Tanzania	48	230	51.3	7	10	30	13	16	51	
Thailand	48	248 52	29.2	9	10	23	14	10	25	
Timor-Leste	15	640	34.9		9	32	11	12	37	
	51	270	50.9	8	8	34	11	14	43	
Togo			32.0	6	4	11	9	5	11	
Tonga	11 31	156 112	52.0	5	4	25	8	12	33	
Tunisia	18	254	51.1	9	10	20	13	20	25	
Turkey				13	18	58	13	20	73	
Uganda	31	237	42.9	6	9	34	10	10	46	
Ukraine	84	2185	51.0		3	54 18	6	3	40 18	
United Arab Emirates	15	12	8.9	6						
United Kingdom	22	 כוב	52.9	5	5	16	4 5	5 4	16 9	
United States	9	325	21.5	6	5	9	5 9		9 25	
Uruguay	54	300	80.2	9	10	22		12 32	25 139	
Uzbekistan	118	152	75.6				18	32	9	
Vanuatu	32	120	28.1	9	6		14 12			
Venezuela	68	864	48.9	8	6	34	13	9	42 36	
Vietnam	44	1050	31.5	6	12	35	9	15 18	36 42	
West Bank and Gaza	49		42.0	6	10	27	9			
Yemen	32	248	128.8	6	8	33	9	20	31 67	
Zambia	36	132	38.6	16	25	60 52	19	28	62 66	
Zimbabwe	59	216	48.6	9	18	52	15	19	66	

	Enf	orcing Contra	Enforcing Contracts			SS
		JANUARY 2005			JANUARY 2005	
						Recovery rate
Economy	Procedures (number)	Time (days)	Cost (% of debt)	Time (years)	Cost (% of estate)	(cents on the dollar)
Afghanistan		400	24.0	NO PRACTICE	NO PRACTICE	0.0
Albania	39	390	28.6	4.0	38.0	26.9
Algeria	49	407	28.7	3.5	3.5	37.4
Angola	47	1011	11.2	6.2	22.0	0.6
Argentina	33	520	15.0	2.8	14.5	34.9
Armenia	24	185	17.8	1.9	4.0	41.0
Australia	11	157	14.4	1.0	8.0	79.9
Austria	20	374	9.8	1.1	18.0	73.3
Azerbaijan	25	267	19.8	2.7	8.0	33.0
Bangladesh	29	365	21.3	4.0	8.0	24.2
Belarus	28	225	26.7	5.8	22.0	21.6
Belgium	27	112	6.2	0.9	3.5	86.6
Benin	49	570	29.6	3.1	14.5	9.4
Bhutan	20	275	113.8	NO PRACTICE	NO PRACTICE	0.0
Bolivia	47	591	10.6	1.8	14.5	36.9
Bosnia and Herzegovina	36	330	19.6	3.3	9.0	32.0
Botswana	26	154	24.8	2.2	14.5	54,4
Brazil	24	546	15.5	10.0	9.0	0.4
Bulgaria	34	440	14.0	3.3	9.0	33.5
Burkina Faso	41	446	95.4	4.0	9.0	6.3
Burundi	47	433	32.5	4.0	18.0	16.4
Cambodia	31	401	121.3	NO PRACTICE	NO PRACTICE	0.0
Cameroon	58	585	36.4	3.2	14.5	23.5
Canada	17	346	12.0	0.8	3.5	90.1
Central African Republic	45	660	72.2	4.8	76.0	0.0
Chad	52	526	54.9	10.0	63.0	0.0
Chile	28	305	10.4	5.6	14.5	23.1
China	25	241	25.5	2.4	22.0	31.5
Colombia	37	363	18.6	3.0	1.0	55.1
Congo, Dem. Rep.	51	909	256.8	5.2		
Congo, Rep.	47	560	43.0		22.0	1.6
Costa Rica	34	550		3.0	24.0	19.2
Côte d'Ivoire	25	525	41.2	3.5	14.5	18.2
			47.6	2.2	18.0	14.9
Croatia Croch Bonublic	22	415	10.0	3.1	14.5	28.4
Czech Republic Denmark	21	290	9.1	9.2	14.5	17.8
	15	83	5.3	3.3	9.0	63.0
Dominican Republic	29	580	35.0	3.5	38.0	5.4
Ecuador	41	388	15.3	8.0	63.0	20.7
Egypt El Saluador	55	410	18.4	4.2	22.0	16.1
El Salvador	41	275	12.5	4.0	9.0	30.5
Eritrea	27	385	19.9	1.7	14.5	0.0
Estonia	25	150	10.6	3.0	9.0	39.0
Ethiopia	30	420	14.8	2.4	14.5	36.9
- Jji	26	420	53.8	1.8	38.0	20.6
-inland	27	228	6.5	0.9	3.5	89.0
France	21	75	11.7	1.9	9.0	47.6
Georgia	18	375	31.7	3.3	3.5	20.8
Germany	26	175	10.5	1.2	8.0	53.0
Shana	23	200	14.4	1.9	22.0	23.7
Greece	14	151	12.7	2.0	9.0	45.9
Guatemala	37	1459	14.5	4.0	14.5	21.2
Guinea	44	306	27.6	3.8	8.0	23.3

I

	Enf	orcing Contra	acts	C	losing a Busines	s
		JANUARY 2005			JANUARY 2005	
Economy	Procedures (number)	Time (days)	Cost (% of debt)	Time (years)	Cost (% of estate)	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)
Guyana		525	24.4	1.5	42.0	16.7
Haiti	35	368	25.0	5.7	29.5	2.9
Honduras	36	545	33.1	3.8	8.0	21.9
Hong Kong, China	16	211	12.9	1.1	9.0	81.2
Hungary	21	365	8.1	2.0	14.5	35.7
Iceland	14	158	9.3	1.0	3.5	81.7
India	40	425	43.1	10.0	9.0	12.8
Indonesia	34	570	126.5	5.5	18.0	13.1
Iran	23	545	12.0	4.5	9.0	19.3
Iraq	65	320	10.5		•••	
Ireland	16	217	21.1	0.4	9.0	88.0
Israel	27	585	22.1	4.0	23.0	42.8
Italy	18	1390	17.6	1.2	22.0	40.0
Jamaica	18	202	27.8	1.1	18.0	63.9
	18	60	8.6	0.6	3.5	92.6
Japan		342	8.8	4.3	9.0	27.9
Jordan	43		8.5	3.3	18.0	19.9
Kazakhstan	47	380				
Kenya	25	360	41.3	4.5	22.0	15.0
Kiribati	18	440	71.0	2.7	38.0	14.1
Korea	29	75	5.4	1.5	3.5	81.7
Kuwait	52	390	13.3	4.2	1.0	38.3
Kyrgyz Republic	46	492	47.9	3.5	4.0	19.7
Lao PDR	53	443	30.3	5.0	76.0	0.0
Latvia	20	186	10.4	1.1	4.0	83.1
Lebanon	39	721	26.7	4.0	22.0	18.6
Lesotho	49	285	23.9	2.6	8.0	35.9
Lithuania	17	154	9.1	1.2	7.0	53.6
Macedonia, FYR	27	509	32.8	3.7	28.0	15.4
Madagascar	29	280	22.8	NO PRACTICE	NO PRACTICE	0.0
Malawi	16	277	136.5	2.6	29.5	12.3
Malaysia	31	300	20.2	2.3	14.5	38.8
Maldives	28	434	8.7	6.7	4.0	18.0
Mali	28	340	34.6	3.6	18.0	6.3
Marshall Islands	34	440	95.9	5.3	38.0	4.0
Mauritania	28	410	29.3	8.0	9.0	8.1
Mauritius	17	367	8.6	2.0	14.5	31.1
Mexico	37	421	20.0	1.8	18.0	64.1
Micronesia	28	410	124.4	5.3	38.0	3.3
Moldova	37	340	16.2	2.8	9.0	27.3
Mongolia	26	314	22.6	4.0	8.0	17.0
Morocco	17	240	17.7	1.8	18.0	35.1
Mozambique	38	580	16.0	5.0	9.0	13.3
Namibia	31	270	28.3	1.0	14.5	45.3
Nepal	28	350	25.8	5.0	9.0	23.9
Netherlands	22	48	17.0	1.7	1.0	86.7
New Zealand	19	50	4.8	2.0	3.5	71.0
	20	155	16.3	2.2	14.5	33.8
Nicaragua Nicor	33	330	42.0	5.0	18.0	2.6
Niger	23	730	37.2	1.5	22.0	31.1
Nigeria	14	87	4.2	0.9	1.0	91.1
Norway		455	4.2	7.0	3.5	24.9
Oman Dekisten	41		35.2	2.8	4.0	44,3
Pakistan	46	395	30.2	2.0	4.0	44,0

	Enf	orcing Contra	acts	C	losing a Busines	is
		JANUARY 2005			JANUARY 2005	D
Economy	Procedures (number)	Time (days)	Cost (% of debt)	Time (years)	Cost (% of estate)	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar
Palau	34	465	34.7	6.5	38.0	3.5
Panama	45	355	37.0	2.0	29.5	25.8
Papua New Guinea	22	440	110.3	2.8	38.0	13.0
Paraguay	46	285	30.4	3.9	9.0	13.3
Peru	35	381	34.7	3.1	7.0	31.3
Philippines	25	360	50.7	5.7	38.0	4.1
Poland	41	980	8.7	1.4	22.0	64.0
Portugal	24	320	17.5	2.0	9.0	74.7
Puerto Rico	43	270	21.0	3.8	8.0	61.4
Romania	43	335	12.4	4.6	9.0	17.5
Russia	29	330	20.3	3.8	9.0	27.6
Rwanda	27	310	43.2	NO PRACTICE	NO PRACTICE	0.0
amoa	21	505	25.0	2.5	38.0	15.2
ão Tomé and Príncipe	67	240	69.5	0.3	29.5	0.0
audi Arabia	44	360	20.0	2.8	22.0	28.4
Senegal	33	485	23.8	3.0	7.0	19.0
Serbia and Montenegro	33	635	18.1	2.7	23.0	20.3
iierra Leone	58	305	31.0	2.6	42.0	9.0
ingapore	23	69	9.0	0.8	1.0	91.3
lovakia	27	565	15.0	4.8	18.0	38.6
lovenia	25	913	15.2	3.6	14.5	27.9
olomon Islands	30	455	140.8	3.9	38.0	7.1
outh Africa	26	277	11.5	2.0	18.0	33.9
pain	23	169	14.1	1.0	14.5	77.8
ri Lanka	17	440	21.3	2.2	18.0	33.8
udan	67.0	915.0	30.0	NO PRACTICE	NO PRACTICE	0.0
weden	23	208	5.9	2.0	9.0	74.9
witzerland	22	170	5.2	3.0	3.5	46.9
yria	47	672	34.3	4.1	9.0	28.5
aiwan, China	28	210	7.7	0.8	3.5	89.4
anzania	21	242	35.3	3.0	22.0	22.3
hailand	26	390	13.4	2.7	36.0	43,9
imor-Leste	69	990	183.1	NO PRACTICE	NO PRACTICE	0.0
ogo	37	535	24.3	3.0	14.5	15.9
Tonga	30	510	47.0	2.7	22.0	25.0
unisia	14	27	12.0	1.3	7.0	51.5
urkey	22	330	12.5	5.9	7.0	7.2
Jganda	15	209	22.3	2.2	29.5	39.8
Jkraine	28	269	11.0	2.9	42.0	8.4
United Arab Emirates	53	614	16.0	5.1	30.0	5.5
United Kingdom	14	288	17.2	1.0	6.0	85.3
Jnited States	17	250	7.5	2.0	7.0	76.2
Jruguay	39	620	25.8	2.0	7.0	30.6
Jzbekistan	35	368	18.1	4.0	4.0	12.5
/anuatu	24	430	64.0	2.6	38.0	15.8
/enezuela	41	435	28.7	4.0	38.0	6.1
/ietnam	37	343	30.1	5.0	14.5	19.2
West Bank and Gaza	26	465	21.4			
emen	37	360	10.5	3.0	8.0	28.2
Yambia	16	274	28.7	3.1	8.0 9.0	28.2
Zimbabwe	33	350	19.1	2.2	22.0	20.0

AFGHANISTAN Ease of doing business (rank)	122
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days)	1
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	52.8 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	• •
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	67
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	20 30
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	39 0
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	4
ALBANIA	
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business	117
Procedures (number) Time (days)	11 41
Cost (% of income per capita)	31.1
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	39,9
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	22
Time (days) Cost (% of Income per capita)	344 227.4
	227.4
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	44
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	80 20
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	48
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	31 64
ALGERIA Ease of doing business (rank)	128
Starting a business Procedures (number)	14
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	26 25.3
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	55.1
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	25
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	244 70.5
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	44
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	60
Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	50 51
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	27 17
Thing Cost (weeks of Salary)	17

South Asia Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days)	1 25
Cost (% of property value)	9.
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0. 0.
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	0.
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	8 21.
Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	4 3.
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0. 0.
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	2.
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	5 24 71
Middle East & North Africa Lower middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	1 5 9
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0 0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director hability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4
Paying taxes Payments (number)	6

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	25 23.
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	
Time for export (days) Documents for import (number)	1
Signatures for import (number)	5
Time for Import (days)	9
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	40
Cost (% of debt)	24.
Closing a business	
Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	no practic no practic
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.
GNI per capita (US\$)	2,08
Population (m)	3.
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number)	1
Time for export (days) Documents for import (number)	3
Signatures for import (number)	1
Time for import (days)	3
Enforcing contracts	~
Procedures (number) Time (days)	3 39
Cost (% of debt)	28.
Closing a business	
Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	3
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	26.
GNI per capita (US\$)	2,28
Population (m)	31
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	
Time for export (days)	2
	1
Documents for import (number)	
	5
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts	
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	4
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts	4 40
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt) Closing a business	4 40 28
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	4 40

ANGOLA Ease of doing business (rank)	135
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	14
Time (days)	146
Cost (% of income per capita)	642.8
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	485.4
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	15
Time (days)	326
Cost (% of income per capita)	1674.7
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0~100)	33
Rigidity of hours index (0-100)	80
Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	80
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	64
Hiring cost (% of salary)	8
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	62
ARGENTINA Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days)	77 15 32
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.4
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	6.6
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	23
Time (days)	288
Cost (% of income per capita)	47.9
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	44
Rigidity of hours index (0~100)	60
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	40
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	48
Hiring cost (% of salary)	30
Ling cost (wooks of salani)	
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	94

I

ARMENIA Ease of doing business (rank)	46
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	10
Time (days)	25
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.1
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	4.0
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	20
Time (days)	176
Cost (% of income per capita)	64.9
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	17
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	60
Difficulty of firing index (0~100)	70
Rigidity of employment index (0~100)	49
Hiring cost (% of salary)	19
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	17

Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	7 334 11.1
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	3 4 2.9 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5 6 5.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	30 656 32.5
Latin America & Caribbean Upper middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	5 44 8.3
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	3 6 22.1 95.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	7 2 7 5.3
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Tota! tax payable (% of gross profit)	35 580 97.9
Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	4 6 0.5
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 3 2.6 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	•••
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	50 1120 53.8

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,030 13.5
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	 10 28 64
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	47 1011 11.2
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	6 22 0.6
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	3,720 36.8
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	6 6 23 7 9 30
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	33 520 15.0
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	3 15 34.9
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,120 3.1
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	7 12 34 15 37
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	24 185 17.8
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 4 41.0

112 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006	
AUSTRALIA Ease of doing business (rank)	6
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	2 2 1.9 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	16 121 12.3
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	0 40 10 17 21 4
AUSTRIA Ease of doing business (rank)	32
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	9 29 5.7 61.5
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	14 195 81.6
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	11 80 40 44 31 55
AZERBAIJAN Ease of doing business (rank)	98
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	14 115 12.5 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capıta)	28 212 1326.2
Hiring and firing workers	

Firing cost (weeks of salary)

OECD: High Income High income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	5 5 7.1
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	9 5 0.0 100.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	8 2 8 6.0
Paying taxes Payments (number) Tıme (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	12 107 37.0
OECD: High Income High income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	3 32 4.5
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	5 6 1.2 45.4
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	2 5 4 3.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	20 272 50.8
Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	7 61 0.4
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	6 3 0.4 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	0 5 7 4.0
Paying taxers Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	35 756 41.4

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	26,900 19.9
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	5 2 12 11 2 16
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	11 157 14.4
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	1 8 79.9
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	32,300 8.1
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	4 2 5 3 9
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	20 374 9.8
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	1 18 73.3
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	950 8.2
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	7 40 69 18 55 79
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	25 267 19.8

Closing a business Time (years)
Cost (% of estate)
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)

Cost (% of debt)

19.8

8

COUNTRY TABLES 113

.

BANGLADESH Ease of doing business (rank)	65
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days)	8 35
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	81.4 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	13 185 291.0
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	11 40 20 24 0 47
BELARUS Ease of doing business (rank)	106
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	16 79 22.9 42.8
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	18 354 22.7
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of iring index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	0 40 40 27 39 21
BELGIUM Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business	18
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	4 34 11.1 13.5
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	15 184 64.1
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	11 40 10 20 55 16

.

South Asia Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	11
Time (days)	363
Cost (% of property value)	11.0
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	7
Depth of credit information index (0-6)	2
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.4 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6
Extent of director liability index $(0-10)$	7
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	7 6.7
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	17 640
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	50.4
Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number)	7
Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	231 0.1
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	5
Depth of credit information index (0–6)	3
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	1
Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4 7
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4.0
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	113 1188
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	121.8
OECD: High Income	
High income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	7
Time (days) Cost (%, of property value)	132
Cost (% of property value)	12.8
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	5
Depth of credit information index (0–6)	4
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	55.3 0.0
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8
Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	7.3
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	10 160
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	44.6

.

GNI per capita (US\$)	440
Population (m)	138.0
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	7 15 35 16 38 57
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	29 365 21.3
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	4 8 24.2
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,120 9.9
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	7 9 33 7 10 37
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	28 225 26.7
Closing a business	
Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	6 22 21.6
GNI per capita (US \$) Population (m)	31,030 10.4
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	5 2 7 6 2 9
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days)	27 112

Cost (% of debt) 6.2 **Closing a business** Time (years) Cost (% of estate) 86.6 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)

ł.

114 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006

BENIN Ease of doing business (rank)	129
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	8
Time (days)	32
Cost (% of income per capita)	190.8
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	323.1
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	22 335 287.9
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0~100)	39
Rigidity of hours index (0-100)	80
Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	40
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	53
Hiring cost (% of salary)	27
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	35

BHUTAN Ease of doing business (rank)	104
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	11
Time (days)	62
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.7
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	26
Time (days)	249
Cost (% of income per capita)	62.5
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	78
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	60
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	0
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	46
Hiring cost (% of salary)	0
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	94
BOLIVIA	
Ease of doing business (rank)	111
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	15
Time (days)	50
Cost (% of income per capita)	154.8
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	4.1
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	13
Time (days)	187
Cost (% of income per capita)	268.2
Hiring and firing workers	

Hinnig and Infing workers
Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)
Hiring cost (% of salary)
Firing cost (weeks of salary)

Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	
Cost (% of property value)	1
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	2
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	5
	2
South Asia Low income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number)	
Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	
Depth of credit information index (0–70)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	(
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	(
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	-
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	3
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	23
Latin America & Caribbean	
Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	
Cost (% of property value)	5
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	10
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	24
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	1.01
Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	101 64
assa tax payaore i 70 or gross prono	04

GNI per capita (US\$)	530
Population (m)	6.7
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number)	8 10 36 11
Signatures for import (number)	14
Time for import (days)	49
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days)	49 570
Cost (% of debt) Closing a business	29.6
Time (years)	3
Cost (% of estate)	15
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	9.4
GNI per capita (US\$)	760
Population (m)	0.9
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	10
Signatures for export (number)	12
Time for export (days)	39
Documents for import (number)	14
Signatures for import (number)	12
Time for import (days)	42
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	20
Time (days)	275
Cost (% of debt)	113.8
Closing a business Time (years)	no practice
Cost (% of estate)	no practice
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
GNI per capita (USS)	960
Population (m)	8.8
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	9
Signatures for export (number)	15
Time for export (days)	43
Documents for import (number)	9
Signatures for import (number)	16
Time for import (days)	49
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	47
ព៣e (days)	591
Cost (% of debt)	10.6
Closing a business	2
lime (years)	

COUNTRY TABLES 115

BOSNIA AND) HERZEG	DVINA
Ease of doing busine	ess (rank)	87

40

11

108

10.9 0.0

42

160

11

40

40

30 0 19

119

17

152

10.1 0.0

19

460

67

80

20

56

27 165

184.4

298.8

Ease of doing business (rank)	87
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	12
Time (days)	54
Cost (% of income per capita)	40.9
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	57.4
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	17
Time (days)	476
Cost (% of income per capita)	8735.4
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	56
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	40
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	30
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	42
Hiring cost (% of salary)	42
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	33
BOTSWANA	
DUIJWANAA	

Ease of doing business (rank)
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)
BRAZIL Ease of doing business (rank)
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)

Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)

(indice (indice i)
Time (days)
Cost (% of income per capita)

Hiring and firing workers

Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)
Hiring cost (% of salary)
Firing cost (weeks of salary)

Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower middle income Registering property 7 Procedures (number) 331 Time (days) Cost (% of property value) 6.0 Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) 8 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 5 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 19.3 Protecting investors 3 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) 6 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 5 Strength of investor protection index (0–10) 4.7 Paying taxes Payments (number) 73 Time (hours per year) 100 Total tax payable (% of gross profit) 19.7 Sub-Saharan Africa Upper middle income **Registering property** Procedures (number) 6 69 Time (days) Cost (% of property value) 51 Getting credit 9 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) 5 0.0 Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 30.8 Protecting investors 8 Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) 2 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 3 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 4.3 Paying taxes 24 Payments (number) 140 Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit) 52.9 Latin America & Caribbean Lower middle income Registering property 15 Procedures (number) 47 Time (days) Cost (% of property value) 4.0 Getting credit 2 Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) 5 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 9.6 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 53.6 Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 5 Extent of director liability index (0–10) 7 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 4 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 5.3

Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)

23

2600

147.9

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,040 4.1
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	9 15 32 15 18 43
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	36 330 19.6
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	3 9 32.0
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	4,340 1.7
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	6 7 37 9 10 42
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	26 154 24.8
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 15 54.4
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	3,0 9 0 177.0
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	7 8 39 14 16 43

Enforcing contracts 24 Procedures (number) Time (days) 546 Cost (% of debt) 15.5 Closing a business 10 Time (years) 9

Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 0.4

116 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006

BULGARIA Ease of doing business (rank)	62
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days)	11 32
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	9.6 104.2
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days)	24 212
Cost (% of income per capita) Hiring and firing workers	325.1
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	61 60 10 44
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	32 30
BURKINA FASO Ease of doing business (rank)	154
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days)	12 45
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	45 149.9 483.8
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	46
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	241 5002.3
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	83 100
Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	70 84 23 57
BURUNDI Ease of doing business (rank)	143
Starting a business Procedures (number)	11
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	43 200.7 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	18
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	302 10740.5
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Brudity of hours index (0–100)	67
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	80 60 69
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	7 25

Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	9 19 2.3
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	6 3 13.6 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	8 1 7 5.3
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	27 616 38.6
Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	8 107 16.2
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 1 1.9 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	6 5 3 4.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	40 270 48.3
Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	5 94 18.9
Getting credit Strength of legal nghts index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	2 3 0.2 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	
Paying taxes Payments (number) Tıme (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	41 140 173.5

Population (m)	2,74 7
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	
Time for export (days)	2
Documents for import (number)	
Signatures for import (number)	
Time for import (days)	ź
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	-
Time (days)	3 44
Cost (% of debt)	14
Closing a business	
Time (years)	
Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	33
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	55.
GNI per capita (US\$)	36
Population (m)	12
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	4
Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days)	1 7
Documents for import (number)	1
Signatures for import (number)	3
Time for import (days)	6
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	4
Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	44 95.
	95.
Closing a business Time (years)	
Cost (% of estate)	
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	6.
GNI per capita (US\$)	9 7.
Population (m)	7.
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	1
Signatures for export (number)	2
Time for export (days)	6
Documents for import (number)	1
Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	5 12-
	12.
	4
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	43
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days)	
Procedures (number)	32.
Procedures (number) Time (days)	
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	

11

39

14

20

53

58

585

36.4

3

15

23.5

28,390

31.6

6

2

12

7

1

12

17

346

12.0

1

4

90.1

CAMBODIA Ease of doing business (rank)	133
Starting a business	10
Procedures (number) Time (days)	10 86
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	276.1 80.7
	00.7
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	28
Time (days)	247
Cost (% of income per capita)	606.7
Hiring and firing workers	67
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	67 80
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	30
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	59 0
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	39
CAMEROON Ease of doing business (rank)	130
Starting a business	12
Procedures (number) Time (days)	37
Cost (% of income per capita)	172.8
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	216.5
Dealing with licenses	1 5
Procedures (number) Time (days)	15 444
Cost (% of income per capita)	1094.2
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	28
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	60 80
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	56
Hiring cost (% of salary)	15 40
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	40
CANADA Ease of doing business (rank)	4
Starting a business Procedures (number)	2
Time (days)	3
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.9 0.0
	0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	15
Time (days)	87
Cost (% of income per capita)	123.0
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	11 0
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	30
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	14 12
Hiring cost (% of salary)	τZ

Firing cost (weeks of salary)

Ì

-

28

East Asia & Pacific Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	7 56 4.7
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0 0 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5 9 2 5.3
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	27 97 31.1
Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Tıme (days) Cost (% of property value)	5 93 19.0
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 2 0.8 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	8 3 6 5.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Tıme (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	51 1300 47.6
OECD: High Income High income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	6 10 1.7
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	7 6 0.0 100.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	8 9 9 8.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	10 119 32.5

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	320 13.4
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	8
Signatures for export (number)	10
Time for export (days)	43
Documents for import (number)	12
Signatures for import (number)	18
Time for import (days)	55
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	31
Time (days)	401
Cost (% of debt)	121.3
Closing a business	
Time (years)	no practice
Cost (% of estate)	no practice
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
GNI per capita (US\$)	800
Population (m)	16.1

Trading across borders

Time for export (days)

Enforcing contracts

Procedures (number) Time (days)

Closing a business

Cost (% of estate)

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)

Trading across borders Documents for export (number)

Time for export (days)

Time for import (days)

Enforcing contracts

Procedures (number) Time (days)

Closing a business

Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)

Cost (% of estate)

Cost (% of debt)

Time (years)

Signatures for export (number)

Documents for import (number)

Signatures for import (number)

Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)

Cost (% of debt)

Time (years)

Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)

Documents for import (number)

Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)

CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC Fase of doing business (rank) 153

Ease of doing business (rank)	153
Starting a business	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	10 14
Cost (% of income per capita)	211.6
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	568.1
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	21 237
Cost (% of income per capita)	308.3
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	89
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	80 60
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	76
Hiring cost (% of salary)	18
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	37
CHAD	
CHAD Ease of doing business (rank)	152
Starting a business	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	19 75
Cost (% of income per capita)	360.8
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	619.1
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	16
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	199 1703.1
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	67
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	80
Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	70 72
Hiring cost (% of salary)	21
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	21
CHILE Ease of doing business (rank)	25
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	9
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	27 10.3
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	12
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	191 125.2
	123.2
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	33
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	20
Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	20 24
Hiring cost (% of salary)	24
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	51

Sub-Saharan Africa	
Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	3
Time (days)	69
Cost (% of property value)	17.3
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	3 2
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	1.2
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	
Extent of director liability index (0-10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	• •
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	• •
Paying taxes	~~
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	66 504
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	504 60.9
Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number)	6
Time (days)	44
Cost (% of property value)	21.3
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	3
Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	2 0.2
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.2
Protecting investors	
Frotecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4.7
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	65
Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	122 51.3
rotar tax payable (to or gross profit)	21.2
Latin America & Caribbean Upper middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number)	6
Time (days)	31
Cost (% of property value)	1.3
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index $(0-10)$	4
Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	6 45.7
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	22.1
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4
Ease of shareholder suits index (010)	5
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5.7
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	8 432
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	432 46.7
1 / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	31 3.
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	31 31 111 1(7) 12
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	49 660 72.2
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	76 0.0
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	26(8.6
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	7 32 87 14 42 111
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	52 526 54.9
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	10 63 0.0
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	4,910 15.8
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	6 7 23 8 8 8 24
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	28 305 10.4
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	6 15 23.1

L

CHINA Ease of doing business (rank)	91
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13 48 13.6 946.7
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	30 363 126.0
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	11 40 40 30 30 90
COLOMBIA Ease of doing business (rank)	66
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	12 43 25.3 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	12 150 697.3
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	72 60 40 57 28 44
CONGO, DEM. REP. Ease of doing business (rank)	155
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13 155 503.3 215.9
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	16 306 6516.3
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of huring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (weeks of salary) Eiring cost (weeks of salary)	100 100 70 90 9

i

Firing cost (weeks of salary)

31

East Asia & Pacific Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (davs)	3 32
Cost (% of property value)	3.1
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	2 3 0.4 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure ındex (0–10) Extent of director liability ındex (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits ındex (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	10 1 2 4.3
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payabłe (% of gross profit)	34 584 46.9
Latin America & Caribbean Lower middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	7 23 3.5
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 4 0.0 31.7
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	7 1 9 5.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	54 432 75.1
Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	8 106 10.2
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	3 0 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	3 4 5 4.0
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	34 312 134.7

1,290 GNI per capita (US\$) 1,290.0 Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) 6 Signatures for export (number) 7 Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) 20 11 Signatures for import (number) 8 Time for import (days) 24 Enforcing contracts 25 Procedures (number) Time (days) 241 Cost (% of debt) 25.5 Closing a business 2 Time (years) Cost (% of estate) 22 31.5 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,000 44.6
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	6 7 34 11 12 48
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	37 363 18.6
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	3 1 55.1
GNI per capits (US\$) Population (m)	120 53.2
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	8 45 50 15 80 67
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	51 909 256.8
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	5 22 1.6

120 doing business in 2006	
CONGO, REP. Ease of doing business (rank)	148
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Mınimum capıtal (% of income per capita)	8 67 288.8 220.1
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	15 174 1422.2
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	89 80 70 80 16 42
COSTA RICA Ease of doing business (rank)	89
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	11 77 23.8 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	19 120 150.3
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	56 60 39 24 34
COTE D'IVOIRE Ease of doing business (rank)	145
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	11 45 134.0 225.2
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	22 569 194.9
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	44 80 10 45 15 68

Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	6 103 22.1
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	2 2 2.3 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director hability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4 5 6 5.0
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	94 576 66.9
Latin America & Caribbean Upper middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	6 21 3.6
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 6 34.8 4.5
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director lability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	2 5 2 3.0
Paying taxes Payments (number) Tıme (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	41 402 54.3
Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	7 369 14.3
Getting credit Strength of iegal rights Index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	2 1 3.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	6 5 3 4.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	71 270 46.9

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number)	
Time for export (days) Documents for import (number)	
Signatures for import (number)	
Time for import (days)	
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	
Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	4
	·
Closing a business Time (years)	
Cost (% of estate)	
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	
GNI per capita ⊔531	4,
Population (m)	
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days)	
Documents for import (number)	
Signatures for import (number)	
Time for import (days)	
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	ļ
Cost (% of debt)	4
Closing a business	
Time (years)	
Cost (% of estate)	
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	1
GNI per capita (US\$)	
Population (m)	1
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number)	
Time for export (days)	
Documents for import (number) Suppatives for import (number)	
Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	4
Time (udys)	4
Cost (% of debt)	
Cost (% of debt) Closing a business Time (years)	
Cost (% of debt) Closing a business	1

.

I.

CROATIA Ease of doing business (rank)	118
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	12
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	49 13.4
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	22.7
Dealing with licenses	20
Procedures (number) Time (days)	28 278
Cost (% of income per capita)	1236.7
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	61 60
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	50
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	57
Hiring cost (% of salary)	17
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	38
CZECH REPUBLIC Ease of doing business (rank)	41
Starting a business	10
Procedures (number) Time (days)	10 40
Cost (% of income per capita)	9.5
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	39.0
Dealing with licenses	- 4
Procedures (number) Time (days)	31 245
Cost (% of income per capita)	16,1
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	33
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	20 20
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	20
Hiring cost (% of salary)	37
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	22
DENMARK Ease of doing business (rank)	8
Starting a business	-
Procedures (number)	3
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	0.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	47.0
Dealing with licenses	-
Procedures (number) Time (days)	7 70
Cost (% of income per capita)	71.3
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	11
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	40 10
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	20
Hiring cost (% of salary)	1
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	39

Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	5
Time (days)	956
Cost (% of property value)	5.0
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 0 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	2 5 2 3.0
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	39 232 47.1
Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper middle income	
Registering property	4
Procedures (number) Time (days)	123
Cost (% of property value)	3.0
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	6 5 2.8 37.9
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	2 5 8 5.0
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	14 930
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	40.1
OECD: High Income High income	
Registering property	c
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	6 42 0.6
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	7 4
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0 7.7
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7
Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5 7 6.3
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	18 135
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	63.4

-

-

- -

-

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	6,590 4.4
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	9
Signatures for export (number)	10
Time for export (days)	35
Documents for import (number)	15
Signatures for import (number)	10
Time for import (days)	37
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	22
Time (days)	415
Cost (% of debt)	10.0
Closing a business	
Time (years)	3
Cost (% of estate)	15
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	28.4

. .

.

GNI per capita (USS) Population (m)	9,150 10.2
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	5
Signatures for export (number)	3
Time for export (days)	20
Documents for import (number)	8
Signatures for import (number)	4
Time for import (days)	22
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	21
Time (days)	290
Cost (% of debt)	9.1
Closing a business	
Time (years)	9
Cost (% of estate)	15
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	17.8

GNI per capita (الألال) Population (m)	40,650 5.4
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	3
Signatures for export (number)	2
Time for export (days)	5
Documents for import (number)	3
Signatures for import (number)	1
Time for import (days)	5
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	15
Time (days)	83
Cost (% of debt)	5.3
Closing a business	
Time (years)	3
Cost (% of estate)	9
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	63.0

122 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006	
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC Ease of doing business (rank)	103
Starting a business	10
Procedures (number) Time (days)	10 75
Cost (% of income per capita)	30.9
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	1.2
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	12
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	150 255.1
cost (vi or meome per capita)	200.1
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hırıng index (0–100) Rigidity of hours ındex (0–100)	22 80
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	30
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	44
Hiring cost (% of salary)	14
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	77
ECUADOR Ease of doing business (rank)	107
-	107
Starting a business Procedures (number)	14
Time (days)	69
Cost (% of income per capita)	38.1
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	9.2
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	19
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	149 100.0
	100.0
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	44 60
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	70
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	58
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	13 131
Tining Cost (weeks of salary)	121
EGYPT Ease of doing business (rank)	141
Starting a business	111
Procedures (number)	10
Time (days)	34
Cost (% of income per capita)	104.9
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	739.8
Dealing with licenses	26
Procedures (number) Time (days)	30 263
Cost (% of income per capita)	1067.1
Hiring and firing workers	
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	0
Rigidity of hours index (0-100)	80
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	80 50
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	53 26
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	162

Latin America & Caribbean Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	10
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	19 34
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	8 12 57
Latin America & Caribbean Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	1 2 6
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	13. 0.
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4.
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	3 60 33.
Middle East & North Africa Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	19 6.
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	1. 0.
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4.
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	3 50 32.

GNI per capita (USS)	2,080
Population (m)	8,7
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number)	6 3 17 11
Signatures for import (number)	6
Time for import (days)	17
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	29 580 35.0
Closing a business Time (years)	4
Cost (% of estate)	38
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	5.4
GNI per capita (US\$)	2, 180
Population (m)	13.0
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	12
Signatures for export (number)	4
Time for export (days)	20
Documents for import (number)	11
Signatures for import (number)	7
Time for import (days)	42
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	41
Time (days)	388
Cost (% of debt)	15.3
Closing a business Fime (years)	8
Cost (% of estate)	63
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	20.7
GNI per capita (US\$)	1,310
Population (m)	67.6
Frading across borders Documents for export (number)	8
Signatures for export (number)	11
Time for export (days)	27
Documents for import (number)	9
Signatures for import (number)	8
Time for Import (days)	29
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	55
Time (days)	410
Cost (% of debt)	18.4
Closing a business Time (years)	4

.

EL SALVADOR Ease of doing business (rank)	76
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	12 40 118.0 124.4
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	22 144 204.2
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	44 60 20 41 15 86
ERITREA Ease of doing business (rank)	137
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13 91 128.6 535.2
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	19 187 1254.2
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	0 60 20 27 2 69
ESTONIA Ease of doing business (rank)	16
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	6 35 6.2 41.4
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	12 116 41.4
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	33 80 40 51 33 33

- -

-

.

.

.

.

Latin America & Caribbean Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	5 52 3.6
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	5 5 17.3 78.7
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	6 2 6 4.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	65 224 32.2
Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	6 91 9.1
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	3 0 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4 5 5 4.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	18 216 66.3
Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	4 65 0.5
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 5 0.0 12.5
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	8 4 6 6.0
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	11 104 39.5

		123
	GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,350 6.5
[2 1 [2	Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	7 10 43 15 11 54
F	E nforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	41 275 12.5
1	Closing a business Fime (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	4 9 30.5
	GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	180 4.4
	Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	11 20 69 17 33 69
	Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	27 385 19.9
	Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 15 0.0
	GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	7,010 1.4
	Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	5 2 12 5 5 14
	Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	25 150 10.6

Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)

124 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006	
ETHIOPIA Ease of doing business (rank)	101
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	7
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	32 65.1
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	1532.0
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	12
Time (days)	133
Cost (% of income per capita)	1746.5
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	33
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	60
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	30
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	41 0
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	40
	10
FIJI Ease of doing business (rank)	34
Starting a business Procedures (number)	0
Time (days)	8 46
Cost (% of income per capita)	28.4
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0
Dealing with licenses	
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	29
Time (days)	117
Cost (% of income per capita)	35.3
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	22
Rigidity of hours index (0-100)	40
Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	0
Rigidity of employment index (0~100)	21
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	8 28
Thing cost (weeks of salary)	20
FINLAND Ease of doing business (rank)	13
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	3
Time (days)	14
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.2
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	28.0
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	17
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	56
Cost (% of income per capita)	76.2
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	44
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	60 40
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	40 48
Hiring cost (% of salary)	22
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	24

Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	1
Time (days)	5
Cost (% of property value)	10.
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	2.
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	2
Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	5 43.
rotar tax payable (70 OF Gross profit)	43.
East Asia & Pacific	
Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	4
Cost (% of property value)	12.
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	28.
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	
Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5.
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	2.
Time (hours per year)	14
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	44.4
OECD: High Income	
High income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	-
Time (days)	14
Cost (% of property value)	4.0
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	,
Depth of credit information index (0–6)	1
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	14.
,	
Protecting investors	
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0~10)	
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0~10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0~10)	6 2 5.7
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure ındex (0~10) Extent of director lıability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	-
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes Payments (number)	5.
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes	4

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	110 68.6
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	8 33 46 13 45 57
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	30 420 14.8
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 14.5 36.9
GNI per capita (USS) Population (m)	2,690 0.8
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	6 5 22 13 2 22
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	26 420 53.8
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 38 20.6
GNI per נאני נייקנא Population (m)	32,790 5.2
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	4 3 7 3 1 7
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	27 228 6.5
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	1 4 89.0

.

FRANCE Ease of doing business (rank)	44
Starting a business Procedures (number)	7
Time (days)	7 8
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.2
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	10
Time (days)	185
Cost (% of income per capita)	78.0
Hiring and firing workers	70
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	78 80
Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	40
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	66 47
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	32
GEORGIA Ease of doing business (rank)	100
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	8
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	21 13.7
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	46.8
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	29 282
Cost (% of income per capita)	144.6
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	0 60
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	70
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	43 31
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	4
GERMANY Ease of doing business (rank)	19
Starting a business Procedures (number)	9
Time (days)	24
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	4.7 47.6
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	11 165
Cost (% of income per capita)	82.8
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	44 80
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	80 40
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	55 21
Firing cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	21 67

OECD: High Income High income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	9 183 6.5
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	3 2 1.8 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	10 1 5 5.3
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	29 72 42.8
Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	6 9 0.6
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	7 0 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4 4 4.0
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	49 448 49.7
OECD: High Income High income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	4 41 4.1
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	8 6 0.6 88.2
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5 5 5.3
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	32 105 50.3

-

-

.

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	30,090 59.8
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	7
Signatures for export (number)	3
Time for export (days)	22
Documents for import (number)	13
Signatures for import (number)	3
Time for import (days)	23
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	21 75 11.7
Closing a business	
Time (years)	2
Cost (% of estate)	9
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	47.6

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,040 5.1
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	9
Signatures for export (number)	35
Time for export (days)	54
Documents for import (number)	15
Signatures for import (number)	42
Time for import (days)	52
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	18
Time (days)	375
Cost (% of debt)	31,7
Closing a business	
Time (years)	3
Cost (% of estate)	4
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	20.8

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	30,120 82.5
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	4
Signatures for export (number)	1
Time for export (days)	6
Documents for import (number)	4
Signatures for import (number)	1
Time for import (days)	б
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	26
Time (days)	175
Cost (% of debt)	10.5
Closing a business	
Time (years)	1
Cost (% of estate)	8
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	53.0

GHANA Ease of doing business (rank)	82
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	12 81 78.6 27.9
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	16 127 1549.7
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	11 40 50 34 13 25
GREECE Ease of doing business (rank)	80
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	15 38 24.6 121.4
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	17 176 71.9
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	78 80 40 66 30 69
GUATEMALA Ease of doing business (rank)	109
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	15 39 58.4 29.3
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	22 294 667.8
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	61 40 20 40 13 101

Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	7 382 3.7
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disciosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	7 7 4 6.0
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	39 304 45.3
OECD: High Income High income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	12 23 13.7
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	2 4 0.0 17.7
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	1 4 5 3.3
Paying taxes Payments (number) Tıme (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	32 204 47.9
Latin America & Caribbean Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	5 69 4.7
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 5 0.0 9.9
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	1 3 7 3.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	50 260

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	380 20.7
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number)	6 11 47 13 13
Time for import (days)	55
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	23 200 14.4
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 22 23.7
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	16,610 11.0
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	7 6 29 11 9 34
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	14 151 12.7
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 9 45.9
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,130 12.3
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	8 6 20 7 5 36
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	37 1459 14.5
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	4 15 21.2

.

GUINEA	
Ease of doing business (rank)	144
Starting a business Procedures (number)	13
Time (days)	49
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	178.8
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	405.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	20
Time (days)	29 278
Cost (% of income per capita)	512.2
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	33
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	80 30
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	48
Hiring cost (% of salary)	27
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	26
GUYANA	
Ease of doing business (rank)	105
Starting a business Procedures (number)	8
Time (days)	46
Cost (% of income per capita)	101.4
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	17
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	202 96.7
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	 7
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	
HAITI Ease of doing business (rank)	134
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	12
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	203 153.1
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	155.0
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	12
Time (days)	186
Cost (% of income per capita)	1129.6
Hiring and firing workers	11
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	11 40
Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	20
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	24 9
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	26

- -

-

Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	6
Time (days)	104
Cost (% of property value)	15.6
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	2 1 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5 6 3 4.7
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	55 416
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	51.2
Latin America & Caribbean Lower middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	4 24
Cost (% of property value)	2.5
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	3 0 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5 4 4 4.3
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	45 288
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	20.7
Latin America & Caribbean Low income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	5 683
Cost (% of property value)	8.1
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	2
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	2 0.3
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4 3
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	3.7
Paying taxes Payments (number)	53
Time (hours per year)	
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	31.7

Population (m)	460 7.9
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	7
Time for export (days)	43
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number)	12 23
Time for import (days)	56
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	44 306
Cost (% of debt)	27.6
Closing a business	
Fime (years) Cost (% of estate)	4
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	23.3
GNI per capita (US\$)	990
Population (m)	0.8
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	8 10
Time for export (days)	42
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number)	11 15
Time for import (days)	54
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number) Fime (days)	 525
Cost (% of debt)	24.4
Closing a business	
Fime (years) Cost (% of estate)	2 42
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	16.7
GNI per capita (US\$)	390 8,4
	0.4
Population (m) Trading across borders	
Frading across borders Documents for export (number)	
Frading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	20
Frading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for Import (number)	20 58 9
Frading across borders Documents for export (number) signatures for export (number) lime for export (days)	8 20 58 9 35 60
Frading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	20 58 9 35
Frading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	20 58 9 35 60 35
Frading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	20 58 9 35 60 35 368
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Tost (% of debt) Closing a business	20 58 9 35 60 35 368
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	20 58 9 35 60

128 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006	
HONDURAS Ease of doing business (rank)	112
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13 62 64.1 34.1
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	14 199 759.6
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	22 40 40 34 10 46
HONG KONG, CHINA Ease of doing business (rank)	7
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	5 11 3.4 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	22 230 38.5
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	0 0 0 5 13
HUNGARY Ease of doing business (rank)	52
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	6 38 22.4 79.6
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	25 213 279.1
Hiring and firing workers	

Hiring and firing workers
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)
Hiring cost (% of salary)
Firing cost (weeks of salary)

	Latin America & Caribbean	
112	Lower middle income	
13 62 64.1	Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	7 36 5.8
34.1	Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	5
199 59.6	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	11.2 18.7
22 40 40 34 10	Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	1 5 4 3.3
46	Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	48 424 43.2
7	East Asia & Pacific High income	
5	Registering property Procedures (number)	5
11 3.4 0.0	Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	83 5.0
22 230	Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	10 5 0.0
38.5	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	64.5
0 0 0 5	Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	10 8 8.7
13	Paying taxes Payments (number)	1
	Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	80 14.3
52	Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper middle income	
6	Registering property Procedures (number)	4
38 22.4 79.6	Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	78 11.0
25 213	Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0~6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	6 5 0.0
79.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4.0
11 80	Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1 5
20 37 34	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	8 4.7
34	Paying taxes Payments (number)	24
	Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	304 56.8

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,03 7.
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number)	1
Time for export (days) Documents for import (number)	3
Signatures for import (number)	2
Time for import (days)	4
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	3
Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	54 33
Closing a business Time (years)	
Cost (% of estate)	
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	21
GNI per capita (US\$)	26,81
Population (m)	6
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	
Time for export (days)	1
Documents for import (number)	
Signatures for import (number)	
Time for import (days)	1
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	1 21
Cost (% of debt)	12.
Closing a business	
Time (years)	
Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	81.
	01.
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	8,27 10
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number)	2
time for export (days)	1
Time for export (days) Documents for import (number)	
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number)	-
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number)	2
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts	
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	2 2 36
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	ž
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days)	2 30
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt) Closing a business Time (years)	2 36 8
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt) Closing a business	2 30

.

.

ICELAND Ease of doing business (rank)
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)
INDIA Ease of doing business (rank)
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days)
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Eiring cost (weeks of salary)

Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	62 12 79
INDONESIA Ease of doing business (rank)	115
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	12
Time (days)	151
Cost (% of income per capita)	101.7
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	97.8
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	19
Time (days)	224
Cost (% of income per capita)	364.9
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	61
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	40
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	70
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	57
Hiring cost (% of salary)	10
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	145

•

OECD: High Income High income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number)	3 4
Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	4 2.4
	2.1
Getting credit	7
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	5
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	6 5.0
strength of investor protection index (o=10)	5.0
Paying taxes	10
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	19 175
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	52.2
South Asia	
Low income	
Registering property	_
Procedures (number) Time (days)	6 67
Fime (days) Cost (% of property value)	67 8.9
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	5
Strength of legal rights index (U=10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	2
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	1.7
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4
Ease of shareholder suits index $(0-10)$	7
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	6.0
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	59
Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	264 43.2
East Asia & Pacific	
Lower middle income	
Registering property	-
Procedures (number) Time (days)	7 42
Cost (% of property value)	11.0
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	5
Depth of credit information index (0–10)	3
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.1
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	3 5.3
energin of interior protection index (or 10)	U ,U
Paying taxes	52
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	52 560
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	38.8

- -

12

5 5 2.9 17.1

20 124 16.8

116

11 71 62.0

0.0

20 270 678.5

- -

. •

.

.

GNI per capita (US \$) Population (m)	38,620 0.3
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	7 3 15 6 2 15
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	14 158 9.3
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	1 4 81.7
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	620 1,060.0
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	10 22 36 15 27 43
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	40

Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt) Classing a huminers	10 22 36 15 27 43 40 425 43.1
Closing a business Time (years)	10
Cost (% of estate)	9
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	12.8
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,140 215.0
Population (m) Trading across borders	215.0
Population (m)	
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	215.0 7
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number)	215.0 7 3 25 10
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number)	215.0 7 3 25 10 6
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number)	215.0 7 3 25 10
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number)	215.0 7 3 25 10 6
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	215.0 7 3 25 10 6 30 34
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days)	215.0 7 3 25 10 6 30 30 34 570

IRAN	400
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business Procedures (number)	108 8
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	47 6.3 1.7
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	21
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	668 818.0
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0~100) Rigidity of hours index (0-100)	78 60
Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	10 49 23 90
	50
IRAQ Ease of doing business (rank)	11.4
Starting a business	114
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	11 77 37.4
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	31,6
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days)	14 210
Cost (% of income per capita)	311.5
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	78 80
Difficulty of firing index (0~100) Rigidity of employment index (0~100)	50 50
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	12 4
IRELAND Ease of doing business (rank)	11
Starting a business Procedures (number)	4
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	24 5.3 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	10
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	181 23.6
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	28
Rigidity of hours index (0~100) Difficulty of firing index (0~100)	40 30
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	33 11 52
Thing Cost (weeks of salary)	77

Middle East & North Africa Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days)	
Cost (% of property value)	
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	1
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director Irability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	
Paying taxes Pavments (number)	
Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	14
Middle East & North Africa Lower middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	-
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	(
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	2
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	1
OECD: High Income High Income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	10
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	(10(
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	7

Population (m)	2,300 66.4
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	11
Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days)	30 45
Documents for import (number)	1
Signatures for import (number)	45
Time for import (days)	51
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	23 545
Cost (% of debt)	12.0
Closing a business	
Time (years)	-
Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	9 19.3
	15.3
GNI per capita (US\$)	2 170
Population (m)	24.7
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	10 70
Time for export (days)	105
Documents for import (number)	19
Signatures for import (number)	75
Time for import (days)	135
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	65 320
Cost (% of debt)	10.5
Closing a business	
Time (years)	
Cost (% of estate)	
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	
GNI per capita (USS) Population (m)	
Population (m)	
Population (m) Trading across borders	4.0
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days)	4.0 5 5 14
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number)	4.0 5 5 14 4
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number)	4.0 5 5 14
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	4.0 5 5 14 4 5
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts	4.0 5 14 4 5 15
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	4.0 5 14 4 5 15 16
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days)	4.0 5 14 4 5 15
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	4.0 5 14 4 5 15 16 217
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt) Closing a business Time (years)	4.0 5 14 4 5 15 16 217 21.1 0
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days)	5 14 4 5 15 16 217 21.1

COUNTRY TABLES 131

7

144

7.5

8 5

0.0

0.7

8

8

9 8.3

33 210 57.5

8

27

0.9

3

6

61

59.9

7

2 5

4.7

20 360 59.8

5

54

6

0

0.0

0.0

3

8

5

5.3

72 414

49.4

13.5

ISRAEL Ease of doing business (rank)	29	Middle East & North Africa High income
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	5 34 5.3	Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)
Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days)	0.0 21 219	Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)
Cost (% of income per capita)	93.5	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	0 80 20 33 6	Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	90	Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)
ITALY Ease of doing business (rank)	70	OECD: High Income High income
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	9 13 15.7 10.8	Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	17 284 147.3	Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	61 80 30 57 33	Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	47	Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)
JAMAICA Ease of doing business (rank)	43	Latin America & Caribbean Lower middle income
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	6 9 8.3 0.0	Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days)	13 242	Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)
Cost (% of income per capita)	526.1	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of huring index (0-100) Rigidity of hours index (0-100) Difficulty of firing index (0-100) Rigidity of employment index (0-100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	11 0 20 10 12	Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	60	Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	17,38 6.
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	
Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	1
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	2 58 22.
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 42.
GNI per capita (US \$) Population (m)	26,12 57.
Trading across borders	.16
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	
Time for export (days) Documents for import (number)	2 1
Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	1
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	1
Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	139 17.
Closing a business Time (years)	
Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 40
GNI per capita (US\$)	2,90
Population (m)	2
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days)	:
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number)	
Time for import (days)	:
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	20
Cost (% of debt)	27
Closing a business Time (years)	
Cost (% of estate)	63
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	

I.

JAPAN Ease of doing business (rank)	10
Starting a business Procedures (number)	11
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	31 10.7
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	75.3
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	11
Time (days)	87
Cost (% of income per capita)	19.7
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	17
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	40 0
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	19
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	13 21
JORDAN Ease of doing business (rank)	74
Starting a business Procedures (number)	11
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	36 45.9
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	1011.6
Dealing with licenses	17
Procedures (number) Time (days)	17 122
Cost (% of income per capita)	506.3
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	11
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	40
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	50 34
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	11 90
KAZAKHSTAN Ease of doing business (rank)	86
Starting a business Procedures (number)	7
Time (days)	7 24
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	8.6 26.6
Dealing with licenses	22
Procedures (number) Time (days)	32 258
Cost (% of income per capita)	68.3
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	0
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	60 10
Rigidity of employment index (0-100)	23
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	22 8

OECD: High Income High income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days)	6 14
Cost (% of property value)	4.
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	6 6 0.0 61.2
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	6 7 7 6.7
Paying taxes	20
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	26 315
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	34.6
Middle East & North Africa Lower middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	8 22
Cost (% of property value)	10.0
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (010) Depth of credit information index (06)	6 2
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.6 0.0
	0,0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4 3.7
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	10 101
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	39.8
Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	8 52
Cost (% of property value)	1.6
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	5
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	6 5.0
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	34
Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	156 41.6
,	0

GNI per capita (USS) Population (m)	37,1 128
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days)	
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	(
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	92
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,14 5
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days)	2
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	1
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	4
Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	34 8.
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.
GNI per capita (US \$) Population (m)	2,26 14
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	1
Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days)	1
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	1 1 8
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	4
Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	38 8.
Closing a business Fime (years)	
Cost (% of estate)	1

KENYA	
Ease of doing business (rank)	68
Starting a business Procedures (number)	13
Time (days)	54 48 2
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	48.2 0.0
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	11 170
Cost (% of income per capita)	40.0
Hiring and firing workers	22
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	33 20
Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	30 28
Hiring cost (% of salary)	5
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	47
KIRIBATI	
Ease of doing business (rank)	45
Starting a business Procedures (number)	6
Time (days)	21
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	71.0 38.4
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	· · · ·
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	0
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	0 50
Rigidity of employment index (0-100)	17
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	8 46
KOREA	
Ease of doing business (rank)	27
Starting a business Procedures (number)	12
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	22 15.2
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	308.8
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	14 60
Cost (% of income per capita)	232.6
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	44 60
Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	30 45
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	45
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	90

ł

Registering property Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	7
Cost (% of property value)	4
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index $(0-10)$	
Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	3
Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	≤ 58
East Asia & Pacific	
Lower middle income Registering property	
Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	
Cost (% of property value)	(
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	(
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	(
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	(
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	
Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	15
East Asia & Pacific High income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number)	
Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	
Depth of credit information index $(0-6)$	
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	8
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	
Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	
Paying taxes Payments (number)	
Time (hours per year)	2
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	2

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	460 31.9
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	8 15 45 13 20 62
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	25 360 41.3
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	5 22 15.0
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	970 0.1
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	6 5 31 11 6 32
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	18 440 71.0
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	3 38 14.1
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	13,980 47.9
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	5 3 12 8 5 12
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	29 75 5.4
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 4 81.7

KUWAIT Ease of doing business (rank)	47
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13 35 2.2 133.8
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	26 149 278.9
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	0 60 0 20 11 42
KYRGYZ REPUBLIC Ease of doing business (rank)	84
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	8 21 10.4 0.6
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	16 152 325.2
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	33 40 40 38 27 21
LAO PDR Ease of doing business (rank)	147
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Mınımum capital (% of income per capita)	9 198 15.1 23.4
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	24 208 224.5
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	11 60 80 50 5 36

Middle East & North Africa High income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	7
Cost (% of property value)	0
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	16
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5.
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	1
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	8.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5.
Eastern Europe & Central Asia Low income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	1
Cost (% of property value)	5.
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	
Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0. 0.
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	
Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	:
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5.
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	9
Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	204 59.4
East Asia & Pacific Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	ç
Time (days)	135
Cost (% of property value)	4.2
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	:
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	3.3
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	31
ime (hours per year) otal tax payable (% of gross profit)	180 24.7
and the hardenic (to be gloss promo	24.7

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m) Trading across borders	17,970 2.4
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number)	5 10 30 11
Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	12 39
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	52 390 13.3
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	4 1 38.3
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	400 5.1
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	 18 27 127
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	46 492 47.9
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	4 4 19.7
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	390 5.7
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	12 17 66 16 28 78
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	53 443 30.3
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	5 76 0.0

COUNTRY TABLES 135

9

54

2.1

8

3

1.1 0.0

> 5 4

8

5.7

39 320 38.7

8

25

5,9

4

4

3.5

0.0

8

1

4

4.3

33 208 30,4

6

101

8.5

5

0

0.0

0.0

2

2

8

4.0

19 564 37.7

LATVIA Ease of doing business (rank)	26	Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper middle income
Starting a business		Registering property
Procedures (number)	7	Procedures (number)
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	18 4.2	Time (days) Cost (% of property value)
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	31,8	cost (to or property value)
		Getting credit
Dealing with licenses		Strength of legal rights index (0–10)
Procedures (number) Time (days)	21 160	Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)
Cost (% of income per capita)	43.9	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)
Hiring and firing workers	67	Protecting investors
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	67 40	Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	70	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	59	Strength of investor protection index (0–10)
Hiring cost (% of salary)	22	
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	17	Paying taxes Payments (number)
		Time (hours per year)
		Total tax payable (% of gross profit)
LEBANON	0.5	Middle East & North Africa
Ease of doing business (rank)	95	Upper middle income
Starting a business		Registering property
Procedures (number)	6 46	Procedures (number) Time (days)
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	110.6	Cost (% of property value)
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	68.5	
		Getting credit
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	16	Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)
Time (days)	275	Public registry coverage (% of adults)
Cost (% of income per capita)	214.6	Private bureau coverage (% of adults)
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	33	Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	40	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	24	Strength of investor protection index (0–10)
Hiring cost (% of salary)	22 17	Deving toyog
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	17	Paying taxes Payments (number)
		Time (hours per year)
		Total tax payable (% of gross profit)
LESOTHO		Sub-Saharan Africa
Ease of doing business (rank)	97	Low income
Starting a business		Registering property
Procedures (number)	9	Procedures (number)
Time (days)	92	Time (days)
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	56.1 16.4	Cost (% of property value)
minimum capital (70 of meenia per capita)		Getting credit
Dealing with licenses		Strength of legal rights index (0–10)
Procedures (number)	12	Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	254 134.2	Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)
		-
Hiring and firing workers		Protecting investors
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	56 60	Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	10	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)
Rigidity of employment index (0-100)	42	Strength of investor protection index (0–10)
Hiring cost (% of salary)	0	
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	47	Paying taxes Payments (number)
		Time (hours per year)
		Total tax payable (% of gross profit)

Population (m)	5,46 2.
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	
Time for export (days)	1
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number)	1
Time for import (days)	2
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	2
Time (days)	18
Cost (% of debt)	10.
Closing a business	
Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	83.
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	4,98 4
Trading across borders	-
Documents for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days)	1
Documents for import (number)	1
Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	3
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	72
Cost (% of debt)	26
Closing a business	
Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	2
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	18
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	72
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days)	
Signatures for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts	!
Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	!
Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	
Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt) Closing a business	2
Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	2

26 3.3 57.3

LITHUANIA Ease of doing business (rank)	15
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	8 26 3.3 57.3
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	14 151 17.5
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	33 60 40 44 28 34
MACEDONIA, FYR Esse of doing business (rank)	81
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13 48 11.3 145.2
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	18 214 67.5
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of huring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	61 60 40 54 33 41
MADAGASCAR Ease of doing business (rank)	131
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	11 38 54.3 2158.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	19 356 447.8
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	67 60 50 59 18 41

Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	3 3 0.8
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 6 2.5 12.1
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5 4 7 5.3
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	13 162 41.6
Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	6 74 3.6
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	6 3 1.9 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5 7 6 6.0
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	54 96 40.1
Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	8 134 11.0
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 2 0.3 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0~10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5 6 5.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	29 400 58.9

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	5,740 3.5
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	5 5 12 4 17
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	17 154 9.1
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	1 7 53.6
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,350 2.1
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	10 8 32 10 11 35
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	27 509 32.8
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	4 28 15.4
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	300 16.9
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	7 15 50 9 18 59
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	29 280 22.8
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	no practice no practice 0.0

COUNTRY TABLES 137

.

.

MALAWI Ease of doing business (rank)	96
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	10 35 139.6 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	23 205 244.7
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	22 20 20 21 1 90
MALAYSIA Ease of doing business (rank)	21
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	9 30 20.9 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	25 226 82.7
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	0 20 10 13 65
MALDIVES Ease of doing business (rank)	31
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	6 12 12.4 6.6
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	9 131 40.3
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	0 20 0 7 0 20

Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	6 118 3.4
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	7 0 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protectron index (0–10)	4 7 5 5.3
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	33 782 56.5
East Asia & Pacific Upper middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	4 143 2.3
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	8 6 33.7
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	10 9 8.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	28 11.6
South Asia Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0. 0.
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director hability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5.
Paying taxes Payments (number)	

-

.

.

GNI per capita (US\$)	170
Population (m)	11.0
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	9
Signatures for export (number)	12
Time for export (days)	41
Documents for import (number)	6
Signatures for import (number)	20
Time for import (days)	61
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	16
Time (days)	277
Cost (% of debt)	136.5
Closing a business	
Time (years)	3
Cost (% of estate)	30
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	12.3

GNI per capita (US \$) Population (m)	4,650 24.8
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	6 3 20 12 5 22
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	31 300 20.2
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 15 38.8
GNi per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,510 0.3
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	7 4 24 12 4 29
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	28 434 8.7
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	7 4 18.0

138 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006

MALI	
Ease of doing business (rank)	146
Starting a business Procedures (number)	13
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	42 190.7
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	490.8
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	17
Time (days)	260
Cost (% of income per capita)	4903.0
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	78
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	60 60
Rigidity of employment index (0-100)	66
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	24 81
MARSHALL ISLANDS Ease of doing business (rank)	48
Starting a business	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	7 22
Cost (% of income per capita)	27.4
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	6
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	76 36.9
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	33
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Dıfficulty of firing index (0–100)	0 0
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	11 11
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	0
MAURITANIA Ease of doing business (rank)	127
Starting a business Procedures (number)	11
Time (days)	82
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	143.6 877.5
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	19 150
Cost (% of income per capita)	152 987.1
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	100 60
Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	60 73
Hiring cost (% of salary)	17
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	31

Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days)	5 44
Cost (% of property value)	20.0
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	3 1 2.3 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	6 5 3 4.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	60 270 44.0
East Asia & Pacific Lower middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	4 12 1.7
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	6 0 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	2 0 8 3.3
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Totai tax payable (% of gross profit)	20 160 42.6
Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	4 49 6.8
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	7 1 0.2 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director hability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	61 696 75.8

GNI per capita (USS) Population (m)	360 11.7
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	10 33 67 16 60 61
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	28 340 34.6
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	4 18 6.3
GNI per capita (الاذلان) Population (m)	2,370 0.1
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	 6 6 14
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	34 440 95.9
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	5 38 4.0
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	420 2.9
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	9 13 42 7 25 40
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	28 410 29.3
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	8 9 8.1

MAURITIUS	22
Ease of doing business (rank)	23
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	6 46 8.8 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	21 132 16.7
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	0 60 50 37 7 15
MEXICO Ease of doing business (rank)	73
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	9 58 15.6 13.9
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	12 222 159.0
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	33 60 60 51 24 75
MICRONESIA Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business	56
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	7 36 27.7 50.3
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	6 53 41.4
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of huring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	33 0 0 11 6 0

Sub-Saharan Africa Upper middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (davs)	5 210
Cost (% of property value)	16.5
Getting credit	7
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	0
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8
Ease of shareholder suits index $(0-10)$	9
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	7.7
Paying taxes Payments (number)	7
Time (hours per year)	158
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	38.2
Latin America & Caribbean Upper middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number)	5
Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	74 5.3
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	2
Depth of credit information index (0-6)	6
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0 49.4
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	3.7
Paying taxes Payments (number)	49
Time (hours per year)	536
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	31.3
East Asia & Pacific Lower middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number)	3
Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	{ 1.
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	6
Depth of credit information index (06) Public registry coverage (% of adults)) 0.(
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	(
Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	1
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	2.
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	1 128
Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	32.
1	

-

-

.

.

Chill nor conito (US\$)	
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	4,640 1.2
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	5 4 16 7 4 16
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	17 367 8.6
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 14.5 31.1
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	6,770 102.0
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	6 4 18 8 11 26
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	37 421 20.0
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 18 64.1
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,990 0.1
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	 14 5 33
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	28 410 124.4
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	5 38

MOLDOVA Ease of doing business (rank)	83
Starting a business Procedures (number)	10
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	30 17.1
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	22.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	20
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	122 215.0
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	33
Rigidity of hours index (0-100)	100
Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	70 68
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	30 21
MONGOLIA	
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business	61
Procedures (number) Time (days)	8 20
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.2
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	140.2
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	18
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	96 58.8
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	11 80
Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	10 34
Hiring cost (% of salary)	19
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	17
MOROCCO Ease of doing business (rank)	102
Starting a business Procedures (number)	5
Time (days)	11
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	12.0 700.3
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	21
Time (days)	217
Cost (% of income per capita)	1302.8
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	100
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	40 40
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	60 18
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	83

Eastern Europe & Central Asia Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	6 48 1.5
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	6 0 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	7 1 6 4.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	44 250 44.7
East Asia & Pacific Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	5 11 2.3
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	5 3 4.7 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director hability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	43 45.3
Middle East & North Africa Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	3 82 6.1
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0~10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	2 1 2.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	6 5 1 4.0
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	28 690 54.8

GNI per sapita (USS) Permining (m)	710
Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	4.2 7 12 33 7 13 35
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	37 340 16.2
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	3 9 27.3
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	590 2.5
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	11 21 66 10 27 74
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	26 314 22.6
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	4 8 17.0
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m) Tradius across bandom	1,520 30.1
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	7 13 31 11 17 33
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	17 240 17.7
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 18 35.1

. .

.

MOZAMBIQUE Ease of doing business (rank)	110
Starting a business	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	14 153
Cost (% of income per capita)	95.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	12.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	14
Time (days)	212
Cost (% of income per capita)	148.6
Hiring and firing workers	
Drfficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	83 80
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	20
Rigidity of employment index (0-100)	61
Hiring cost (% of salary)	4
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	141
NAMIBIA Ease of doing business (rank)	33
Starting a business Procedures (number)	10
Time (days)	95
Cost (% of income per capita)	18.8
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	11
Time (days)	169
Cost (% of income per capita)	892.0
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	0
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	60 20
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	20
Hiring cost (% of salary)	0
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	24
NEPAL Ease of doing business (rank)	55
Starting a business Procedures (number)	7
Time (days)	21
Cost (% of income per capita)	69.9
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0
Dealing with licenses	4.5
Procedures (number) Time (days)	12 147
Cost (% of income per capita)	314.7
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	22
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	20
Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	90 44
Hiring cost (% of salary)	
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	90

İ

Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	8 42 5.2
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 4 0.8 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	•••
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	35 230 50.9
Sub-Saharan Africa Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	9 28 9.3
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	5 5 0.0 35.2
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	8 5 7 6.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	23 50 43.9
South Asia Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	2 2 6.2
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 3 0.0 0.1
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4 1 9 4.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	23 408 31.8

-

-

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	250 18.8
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	6 12 41 16 12 41
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	38 580 16.0
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	5 9 13.3
GNI per capita (US\$)	2,370
Population (m)	2.0
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	9 7 32 14 7 25
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	31 270 28.3
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	1 15 45.3
GNI per capita (US \$) Population (m)	260 24.7
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	7 12 44 10 24 38
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	28 350 25.8
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	5 9 23.9

NETHERLANDS Ease of doing business (rank)	24
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	7 11 13.0 64.6
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	18 184 142.7
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	28 60 60 49 16 16
NEW ZEALAND Ease of doing business (rank)	1
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	2 12 0.2 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	7 65 29.3
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	11 0 10 7 0 0
NICARAGUA Ease of doing business (rank)	59
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	8 42 139.1 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	12 192 1243.8
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	11 80 50 47 17 24

Registering property	
Procedures (number)	2
Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	2 6.2
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	3
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	68.9
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	6 4.3
-	4
Paying taxes Payments (number)	22
Time (hours per year)	700
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	53.3
OECD: High Income High income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number)	2
Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	2 0.1
	0.1
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	ç
Depth of credit information index (0–6)	5
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0 95.8
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10
Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9 10
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	9.7
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	8 70
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	44.2
Latin America & Caribbean	
Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	7
Time (days)	65
Cost (% of property value)	6.5
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	4
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	4
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	8.1
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	6 5.0
	5.0
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	64

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	31,70 16
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	
Time for export (days)	
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number)	
Time for import (days)	
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	2
Cost (% of debt)	17
Closing a business	
Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	86
GNI per capita (US \$)	20,3
Population (m)	4
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number)	
Time for export (days) Documents for import (number)	
Signatures for import (number)	
Time for import (days)	
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	ſ
Cost (% of debt)	4
Closing a business	
Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	71
GNI per capita (US\$)	79
Population (m)	5
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	
Documents for export (number)	3
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number)	
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts	:
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days)	: : 15
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	: : 15
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt) Closing a business	: : 15
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	= - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

. .

NIGER	
Ease of doing business (rank)	150
Starting a business	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	13 35
Cost (% of income per capita)	465.4
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	760.8
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	27 165
Cost (% of income per capita)	2920.3
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0~100)	100
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	100 70
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	90
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	16 76
Fining cost (weeks of salary)	70
NIGERIA	
Ease of doing business (rank)	94
Starting a business	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	9 43
Cost (% of income per capita)	73.8
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	43.3
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	16 465
Cost (% of income per capita)	355.8
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	33
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	60 20
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	38
Hiring cost (% of salary)	8
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	4
NORWAY	
Ease of doing business (rank)	5
Starting a business	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	4 13
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.7
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	27.0
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number) Tíme (days)	13 97
Cost (% of income per capita)	53.9
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	44
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	40 30
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	38
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	14 12
Thing cost (weeks of Salary)	١Z

ĺ

Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	5 49
Cost (% of property value)	49 14.0
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	4
Depth of credit information index (0–6)	1
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.9 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	c
Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6 5
Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	3
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4.7
Paying taxes Payments (number)	44
Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	270 49.4
Sub-Saharan Africa	
Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	21
Time (days)	274
Cost (% of property value)	27.1
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	7
Depth of credit information index (0-6)	3
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0 0.3
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6
Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7 4
Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.7
Paying taxes	36
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	30 1120
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	27.1
OECD: High Income	
High income Registering property	
Procedures (number)	1
Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	1 2.5
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	6 4
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	4 0.0
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	, 6
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7 6.7
Strength of investor protection index $(0-10)$	0.7
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes Payments (number)	3

-

-

-

.

.

GNI per capita (US\$)	230
Population (m)	11.8
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number)	
Time for export (days)	
Documents for import (number)	19
Signatures for import (number)	52
Time for import (days)	89
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	33
Time (days)	330
Cost (% of debt)	42.0
Closing a business	-
Time (years)	5
Cost (% of estate)	18
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2.6

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	390 136.0
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	11
Signatures for export (number)	39
Time for export (days)	41
Documents for import (number)	13
Signatures for import (number)	71
Time for import (days)	53
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	23
Time (days)	730
Cost (% of debt)	37.2
Closing a business	
Time (vears)	2
Cost (% of estate)	22
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	31.1

Population (m)	52,030 4.6
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	4
Signatures for export (number)	3
Time for export (days)	7
Documents for import (number)	4
Signatures for import (number)	3
Time for import (days)	7
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	14
Time (days)	87
Cost (% of debt)	4.2
Closing a business	
Time (years)	1
Cost (% of estate)	1
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	91.1

144 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006

OMAN Ease of doing business (rank)	51
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	9
Time (days)	34
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.8
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	97.3
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	16
Time (days)	271
Cost (% of income per capita)	1014.0
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0100)	44
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	60
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	0
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	35
Hiring cost (% of salary)	9
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	13
PAKISTAN Ease of doing business (rank)	60
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	11
Time (days)	24
Cost (% of income per capita)	18.6

0.0

12

1170.7

Time (days)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	

Dealing with licenses

Hiring and firing workers	
Cost (% of income per capita)	
Time (days)	
Procedures (number)	

in ng ana ming trentere
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)
Difficulty of firing index (0-100)
Rigidity of employment index (0-100)
Hiring cost (% of salary)
Firing cost (weeks of salary)

PALAU Ease of doing business (rank)	50
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	8
Time (days)	33
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.2
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	7.3
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	6
Time (days)	67
Cost (% of income per capita)	18.8
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	0
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	0
Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	0
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	0
Hiring cost (% of salary)	6
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	0

Registering property Procedures (number)	
Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	3
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	
Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	(
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	(
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	
Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0~10)	
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	
Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (%, of gross profit)	c
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	
South Asia	
Low income Registering property	
Registering property Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	
Cost (% of property value)	
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	(
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	(
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	
Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	(
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	-
Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	5 57
rotaritax payable (30 or gross profit)	21
East Asia & Pacific	
Upper middle income Registering property	
Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	
Cost (% of property value)	(
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	(
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	(
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	(
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes	(
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	(

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	7,890 2.6
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	9 7 23 13 9 27
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	41 455 10.0
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	7 4 24.9
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	600 148.0
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	8 10 33 12 15 39
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	46 395 35.2
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	3 4 44.3
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	6,870 0.0
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	7 3 20 9 4 26
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	34 465 34.7
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	7 38 3.5

.

PANAMA Ease of doing business (rank)	57
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	7 19 24.8 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	22 128 114.3
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	78 40 70 63 14 47
PAPUA NEW GUINEA Ease of doing business (rank)	64
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	8 56 30.2 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	20 218 124.7
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	22 20 20 21 8 38
PARAGUAY Ease of doing business (rank)	88
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	17 74 147.8 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	15 273 544.5

Hiring and firing workers

Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)
Hiring cost (% of salary)
Firing cost (weeks of salary)

Latin America & Caribbean Upper middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	7
Time (days)	, 44
Cost (% of property value)	2.4
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	6
Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	6 0.0
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	40.2
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3
Extent of director liability index (0~10)	4
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4.7
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	45
Time (hours per year) Tatal tay payable (% of gross profit)	424 32.9
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	52.9
East Asia & Pacific	
Low income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	4 72
Cost (% of property value)	5.2
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	e
Depth of credit information index (0–6)	(
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	-
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	6.0
Strength of Investor protection index (o - o)	0.0
Paying taxes Payments (number)	4
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	4. 198
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	36.
Latin America & Caribbean Lower middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	4
Cost (% of property value)	2.
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	8.
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	52.
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0-10)	
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	Ę
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5.
Paying taxes	-
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	3 32
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	37.
and the second second second second	- / ·

-

-

-

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	4,450 3.0
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	8
Signatures for export (number)	3
Time for export (days)	30
Documents for import (number)	12
Signatures for import (number)	3
Time for import (days)	32
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	45
Time (days)	355
Cost (% of debt)	37.0
Closing a business	
Time (years)	2
Cost (% of estate)	30
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	25.8

.

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	580 5.5
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	5 5 30 10 6 32
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	22 440 110.3
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	3 38 13.0
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,170 5.6
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	9 7 34 13 11 31
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	46 285 30.4

146 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006

PERU	
Ease of doing business (rank)	71
Starting a business Procedures (number)	10
Time (days)	102
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	38.0 0.0
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	19
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	201 366.3
	500.5
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	44
Rigidity of hours index (0-100)	60
Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	40 48
Hiring cost (% of salary)	10
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	56
PHILIPPINES Ease of doing business (rank)	113
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	11
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	48 20.3
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	2.0
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	23 197
Cost (% of income per capita)	121.0
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	56
Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	40 40
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	45
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	9 90
Thing cost (weeks of salary)	50
POLAND	
Ease of doing business (rank)	54
Starting a business Procedures (number)	10
Time (days)	31
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	22.2 220.1
	220.1
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	25
Time (days)	322
Cost (% of income per capita)	83.1
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	11
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	60
Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	40 37
Hiring cost (% of salary)	26
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	25

Registering property	
Procedures (number)	
Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0~10) Depth of credit information index (0~6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	3
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	5
East Asia & Pacific	
Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	
Cost (% of property value)	
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	4
Eastern Europe & Central Asia	
Upper middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	
Cost (% of property value)	
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	
Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	3
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	1
Time (hours per year)	

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,30 27
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	38 38 34
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	31
GNI per capita (US \$) Population (m)	1,17 81
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	1 2
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	2 36 50.
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	3 4.
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	6,09 38.
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	1 2
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Tıme (days) Cost (% of debt)	4 98 8.
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 64.

COUNTRY TABLES 147

.

PORTUGAL Ease of doing business (rank)	42
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	11 54 13.4 39.4
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	20 327 57.7
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	33 80 60 58 24 98
PUERTO RICO Ease of doing business (rank)	22
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	7 7 1.0 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	20 137 103.3
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	56 20 30 35 16 0
ROMANIA Ease of doing business (rank)	78
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	5 11 5.3 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	15 291 187.7
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	67 60 50 59 34 98

OECD: High Income High income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	5 83 7.4
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	5 4 64.3 9.8
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director hability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	7 5 6 6.0
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	7 328 45.4
Latin America & Caribbean High income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	8 15 1.6
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	6 5 0.0 63.6
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	· · · · ·
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	41 140 17.8
Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	8 170 2.0
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 4 1.4 1.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	8 5 4 5.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	62 188 51.1

-

.

.

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	14,350 10.4
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	6 4 18 7 5 18
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	24 320 17.5
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 9 74.7

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	10,950 3.9
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	9 3 15 10 3 19
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	43 270 21.0
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	4 8 61.4
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,920 21.7
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	7 6 27 15 10 28
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	43 335 12.4
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	5 9 17.5

RUSSIA	
Ease of doing business (rank)	79
Starting a business Procedures (number)	8
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	33 5.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	4.4
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	22
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	528 353,7
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	0 60
Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	30
Hiring cost (% of salary)	30 36
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	17
RWANDA Ease of doing business (rank)	139
Starting a business	561
Procedures (number) Time (days)	9 21
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	280.2 0.0
Dealing with licenses	0.0
Procedures (number) Time (days)	17
Cost (% of income per capita)	252 510.9
Hiring and firing workers	50
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	56 60
Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	60 59
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	8 54
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2.
SAMOA Ease of doing business (rank)	39
Starting a business Procedures (number)	7
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	68 18.8
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	19
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	88 107.3
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	11 20
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	0
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	10 6
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	42

Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	
Cost (% of property value)	
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	
Paying taxes Payments (number)	
Time (hours per year)	
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	4
Sub-Saharan Africa	
Low income Registering property	
Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	
Cost (% of property value)	
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	
Depth of credit information index (0–10)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	
Paying taxes Payments (number)	
Time (hours per year)	1
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	5
East Asia & Pacific	
Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	1
Cost (% of property value)	
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	
Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	(
<u> </u>	(
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	
Extent of director liability index (0-10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0~10)	(
Paying taxes Payments (number)	
Time (hours per year)	2
Fotal tax payable (% of gross profit)	35

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	3,41 143.
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	
Time for export (days)	2
Documents for import (number)	;
Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	1) 3
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	2! 33(
Cost (% of debt)	20.3
Closing a business	
Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	4
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.6
GNI per capita (US\$)	220
Population (m)	8.4
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	14
Time for export (days)	27 63
Documents for import (number)	19
Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	46 92
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	27 310
Cost (% of debt)	43.2
Closing a business	
Time (years)	no practice
Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	no practice 0.0
	0.0
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,860 0.2
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	6 4
Time for export (days)	12
Documents for import (number)	8
	13
Signatures for import (number)	
Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts	
Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	21
Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days)	
Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt) Closing a business	21 505 25.0
Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts	21 505

COUNTRY TABLES 149

123

132

18

185

61

60

70

64 23

38

175.9

SAO TOME AND PRINCI Ease of doing business (rank)	PE 123
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capitał (% of income per capita)	9 192 97.0 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	13 259 1737.1
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	61 60 60 6 108
SAUDI ARABIA Ease of doing business (rank)	38
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13 64 68.5 1236.9
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	18 131 82.1
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	0 40 0 13 11 79
SENEGAL Ease of doing business (rank)	132
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	9 57 108.7 260.4
Dealing with licenses	10

Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	

Hiring and firing workers

Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property 6 Procedures (number) 51 Time (days) Cost (% of property value) 12.6 Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) 0 Depth of credit information index (0-6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 6 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 1 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 6 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 4.3 Paying taxes 29 Payments (number) 1008 Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit) 27.4 Middle East & North Africa High income **Registering property** 4 Procedures (number) 4 Time (days) Cost (% of property value) 0.0 Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) 4 Depth of credit information index (0-6) 5 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0.2 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 10.2 Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0-10) 8 Extent of director liability index (0-10) 4 Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) 3 Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 5.0 Paying taxes Payments (number) 13 70 Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit) 1.4 Sub-Saharan Africa Low income **Registering property** Procedures (number) 114 Time (days) 18.0 Cost (% of property value) Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0-10) Depth of credit information index (0-6) 1 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 4.3 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 0.0 Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0-10) Extent of director liability index (0-10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10) Strength of investor protection index (0-10) 3.7 Paying taxes 59 Payments (number) Time (hours per year) 696 Total tax payable (% of gross profit) 45.0

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	370 0.2
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	7
Signatures for export (number)	8
Time for export (days)	31
Documents for import (number)	9
Signatures for import (number)	12
Time for import (days)	40
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	67
Time (days)	240
Cost (% of debt)	69.5
Closing a business	
Time (years)	0
Cost (% of estate)	30
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m) Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	10,430 22.5 5 12 36 9 18 44
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	44 360 20.0
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	3 22 28.4
GNI per capita (US \$) Population (m)	670 10.2
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	6 8 6 10 12 26
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	33 485 23.8
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	3 7 19.0

6

3

7

1

SERBIA AND MONTENEGRO Ease of doing business (rank) 92

Ease of doing business (rank)	92
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	10
Time (days)	15
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	6.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	9.5
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	21
Time (days)	212
Cost (% of income per capita)	2195.0
Hising and firing workers	
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	44
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	0
Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	40
Rigidity of employment index (0-100)	28
Hiring cost (% of salary)	25
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	21
SIERRA LEONE Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita) Status (humber) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Cost (% of income per capita) Mificulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	136 9 26 835.4 0.0 48 236 268.9 80 70 80 70 80 10 188
SINGAPORE Ease of doing business (rank)	2
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	6
Time (days)	6
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.1
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	11
Time (days)	129
Cost (% of income per capita)	24.0
Hiring and firing workers	
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	0
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	0
Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	0
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	0
Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	13 4
anny cost (weeks of saidly)	4

Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	·
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	!
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	1 4(
Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	15
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	(
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	2
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	3 163
East Asia & Pacific High income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	2
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	C 38
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	9
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	19

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,63 8
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days)	
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days)	63
Cost (% of debt)	18
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 20
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	20 5
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days)	3
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	2 3
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	5
Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	30 31.
Closing a business Time (years)	
Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	4 9.
GNI per capita (US\$)	24,22
Population (m) Trading across borders	4.
Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days)	
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	
Enforcing contracts Trocedures (number)	2
Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	6 9.
Closing a business Time (years)	

.

SLOVAKIA Ease of doing business (rank)	37
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	9 25 5.1 41.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	13 272 18.0
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	17 60 40 39 35 13
SLOVENIA Ease of doing business (rank)	63
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	9 60 10.1 17.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	14 207 128.7
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	61 80 50 64 17 43
SOLOMON ISLANDS Ease of doing business (rank)	53
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Mumum capital (% of income per capita)	5 35 48.4

Starting a business
Procedures (number)
Time (days)
Cost (% of income per capita)
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)
Dealing with licenses
Procedures (number)

Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)

Hiring and firing workers

Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)
Hiring cost (% of salary)
Firing cost (weeks of salary)

Procedures (number) Fime (days)	
Cost (% of property value)	C
Setting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	C
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	18
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	2
Paying taxes Payments (number)	
Time (hours per year)	3-
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	39
Eastern Europe & Central Asia High income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number)	
Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	3
	4
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	
Depth of credit information index (0~6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	
2	
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	
Time (hours per year)	2
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	4
East Asia & Pacific	
Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	1
Cost (% of property value)	'
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	
Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	
Paying taxes	
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	

~

0.0

20

8

-

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	6,480 5.4
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	9
Signatures for export (number)	8
Time for export (days)	20
Documents for import (number)	8
Signatures for import (number)	10
Time for import (days)	21
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	27
Time (days)	565
Cost (% of debt)	15.0
Closing a business	
Time (years)	5
Cost (% of estate)	18
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38.6

۰.

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	14,810 2.0
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	9
Signatures for export (number)	7
Time for export (days)	20
Documents for import (number)	11
Signatures for import (number)	ç
Time for import (days)	24
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	25
Time (days)	913
Cost (% of debt)	15.2
Closing a business	
Time (years)	2
Cost (% of estate)	15
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.9

550
0.5
7
5
24
30
455
140.8
4
38
7.1

152 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006
SOUTH AFRICA Ease of doing business (rank)
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)
SPAIN Ease of doing business (rank)
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of huring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)
SRI LANKA Ease of doing business (rank)
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of Income per capita) Minimum capital (% of Income per capita)
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)

Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	
Rigidity of hours index (0-100)	
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	
Rigidity of employment index (0-100)	
Hiring cost (% of salary)	
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	
-	

28	Sub-Saharan Africa Upper middle income	
9 38	Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days)	6 23
8.6 0.0	Cost (% of property value) Getting credit	11.0
18 176 38.0	Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	5 5 0.0 63.4
56 40 60 52 3	Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	8 8 8.0
38	Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	32 350 43.8
30	OECD: High Income High income	
	Registering property	
10 47	Procedures (number) Time (days)	3 25
16.5 15.7	Cost (% of property value) Getting credit	7.2
	Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	5
12 277 77.1	Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	6 42.1 6.5
67 80	Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4 6
50 66	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4 4.7
32 56	Paying taxes	
	Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	7 56 48.4
75	South Asia Lower middle income	
	Registering property	
8 50	Procedures (number) Time (days)	8 63
10.4 0.0	Cost (% of property value) Getting credit	5.1
10	Strength of legal rights index (0–10)	3
18 167 144.0	Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	3 0.0 2.2
0	Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4
40 80 40	Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	5 7 5.3
16 176	Paying taxes	
	Payments (number)	42
	Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	49.4

167 144.0

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	3,63 45.
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number)	3
Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	3
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	2 27 11.
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	11. 33.
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	21,21
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	41.
Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	1
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	2 16 14.
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	1' 77,1
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,01 19.
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	8 10 29 11 19 20
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	17 44(21.5
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	18

I 1

. .

.

SUDAN	
Ease of doing business (rank)	151
Starting a business Procedures (number)	10
Fine (davs)	38
Cost (% of income per capita)	68.1
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	0 60
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	70
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	43
Hiring cost (% of salary)	19
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	37
SWEDEN	14
Ease of doing business (rank) Starting a business	14
Procedures (number)	3
Time (days)	16
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.7
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	35.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	8
Time (days)	116
Cost (% of income per capita)	119.6
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	28
Rigidity of hours index (0-100)	60 40
Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	40
Hiring cost (% of salary)	33
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	24
SWITZERLAND	
Ease of doing business (rank)	17
Starting a business Procedures (number)	6
Time (days)	20
Cost (% of income per capita)	8.7
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	31.3
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	15
Time (days)	152
Cost (% of income per capita)	59.2
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	4(
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	4(1(
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	0 40 10 11

Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	5 0 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	
OECD: High Income High income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	1 2 3.0
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	6 5 0.0 100.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	2 5 7 4.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Totai tax payable (% of gross profit)	5 122 52.6
OECD: High Income High income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	4 16 0.4
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information ındex (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	6 5 0.0 23.3
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	1 5 6 4.0
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	25 63 22.0

-

-

- -

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	530 33.5
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	9 35 82 15 50 111
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	67 915 30.0
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	no practice no practice 0.0
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	35,770 9.0
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	4 1 6 3 1 6
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	23 208 5.9
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 9 74.9
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	48,230 7.4
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	8 5 21 13 5 22
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	22 170 5.2
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	3 4 46.9

154 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006

SYRIA Ease of doing business (rank)	121
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days)	12 47
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	34.5 5111.9
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	20 134 359.8
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	11 60 50 40 17 79
TAIWAN, CHINA Ease of doing business (rank)	35
Starting a business Procedures (number)	8
Time (days)	48
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	6.0 216.3
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	32
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	235 250.9
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	78 60
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	30
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	56 10
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	90
TANZANIA Ease of doing business (rank)	140
Starting a business Procedures (number)	13
Time (days)	35
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	161.3 6.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	26
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	313 4110.2
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hıring index (0–100) Rigıdıty of hours ındex (0–100)	67 80
Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	60
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	69 16
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	38

Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) East Asia & Pacific High income Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of ding cosit informati	Middle East & North Africa Lower middle income	
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) East Asia & Pacific High income Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of ding cosit informati	Procedures (number)	34
Strength of legal rights index (0-10)Depth of credit information index (0-6)Public registry coverage (% of adults)Private bureau coverage (% of adults)Protecting investorsExtent of disclosure index (0-10)Extent of director liability index (0-10)Extent of director liability index (0-10)Strength of investor protection index (0-10)Paying taxesPayments (number)Time (hours per year)East Asia & PacificHigh incomeRegistering propertyProcedures (number)Time (days)Cost (% of property value)Getting creditStrength of legal rights index (0-10)Depth of credit information index (0-6)Public registry coverage (% of adults)Private bureau coverage (% of adults)Private bureau coverage (% of adults)Private bureau coverage (% of adults)Strength of legal rights index (0-10)Extent of disclosure index (0-10)Extent of disclosure index (0-10)Extent of disclosure index (0-10)Extent of disclosure index (0-10)Extent of disclosure index (0-10)Extent of disclosure index (0-10)Strength of investor protection index (0-10)Strength of legal rights index (0-10)Extent of disclosure index (0-10)Extent of disclosure index (0-10)Extent of disclosure index (0-10)Depth of credit information index (0-6)Public registry coverage (% of adults)Cost (% of property value)Sub-Saharan AfricaLow incomeReg	Eost (% of property value)	30.4
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)Extent of director liability index (0–10)Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)Strength of investor protection index (0–10)Paying taxesPayments (number)Time (hours per year)East Asia & PacificHigh incomeRegistering propertyProcedures (number)Time (days)Cost (% of property value)Getting creditStrength of legal rights index (0–10)Depth of credit information index (0–6)Public registry coverage (% of adults)Protecting investorsExtent of disclosure index (0–10)Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)East of shareholder suits index (0–10)Extent of disclosure index (0–10)Extent of disclosure index (0–10)Extent of disclosure index (0–10)Extent of investor protection index (0–10)Sub-Saharan AfricaLow incomeRegistering propertyProcedures (number)Time (days)Cost (% of property value)12Sub-Saharan AfricaLow incomeRegistering propertyProcedures (number)Time (days)Cost (% of property value)12Getting creditStrength of legal rights index (0–10)Depth of credit information index (0–10)Depth of credit information index (0–10)Depth of credit information index (0–10)Depth of credit information index (0–10)Depth of credit information index (0–10)Depth of credit information i	itrength of legal rights index (0~10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	(0.0 0.0
Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit) 2 East Asia & Pacific High income Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) 12 Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–10) <	ixtent of disclosure index (0–10) ixtent of director liability index (0–10) iase of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4.3
High income Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Strength of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Prublic registry coverage (% of adults) Cost (% of property value) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–10) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Cost (%	'ayments (number) 'ime (hours per year)	22 336 20.8
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Strength of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) 12 Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Prubic registry coverage (% of adults) Co Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Co </td <td>ast Asia & Pacific</td> <td></td>	ast Asia & Pacific	
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Cost (% of property value) Sterength of legal rights index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Strength of gross profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of inves	-	
Cost (% of property value) Image: Cost (% of property value) Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Image: Cost (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Image: Cost (% of adults) Image: Cost (% of adults) Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Extent of of investor protection index (0–10) Image: Cost (% of agross profit) Paying taxes Payments (number) Image: Cost (% of gross profit) Image: Cost (% of property Procedures (number) Image: Cost (% of property value) Image: Cost (% of property value) Image: Cost (% of property value) Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–10) Image: Cost (% of adults) Image: Cost (% of	rocedures (number)	3
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Strent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Z Total tax payable (% of gross profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–10) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Corting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)		5 6.2
Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 5 Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) 9 Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) 2 Total tax payable (% of gross profit) 2 Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) 12 Cost (% of property value) 12 Getting credit 5 Strength of legal rights index (0–10) 12 Protecting investors 12 Extent of disclosure index (0–10) 0 Extent of disclosure index (0–10) 2 Protecting investors 12 Extent of disclosure index (0–10) 2 Protecting investor protection index (0–10) 2 Paying taxes 12 Paying taxes 12 Paying taxes 12 Paying taxes 12 Payments (number) 12 Extent of investor protection index (0–10) 2<	trength of legal rights index (0–10)	4
Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Zotal tax payable (% of gross profit) Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Depth of credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Crotecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10)<		0.0 57.1
Payments (number) Time (hours per year) 2 Total tax payable (% of gross profit) 23 Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) 12 Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0 Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protec	xtent of disclosure index (0–10) xtent of director liability index (0–10) ase of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8 4 4 5.3
Payments (number) Time (hours per year) 2 Total tax payable (% of gross profit) 23 Sub-Saharan Africa Low income Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) 12 Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) 0 Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protec	aving taxes	
Total tax payable (% of gross profit) 23 Sub-Saharan Africa Low income 1 Registering property Procedures (number) 1 Time (days) 12 Cost (% of property value) 12 Getting credit 12 Strength of legal rights index (0–10) 14 Depth of credit information index (0–6) 14 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 16 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 16 Protecting investors 17 Extent of disclosure index (0–10) 10 Extent of director liability index (0–10) 10 Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) 2 Paying taxes 12 Payments (number) 12 Time (hours per year) 2	ayments (number)	15 296
Low income Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) OProtecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10) Extent of investor protection index (0–10)		23.6
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) CProtecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Strength to function protection index (0–10) Cates Payments (number) Time (hours per year)		
Time (days) 12 Cost (% of property value) 12 Getting credit 12 Strength of legal rights index (0–10) 12 Depth of credit information index (0–6) 12 Public registry coverage (% of adults) 02 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) 02 Protecting investors 12 Extent of disclosure index (0–10) 10 Extent of director liability index (0–10) 10 Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) 10 Strength of investor protection index (0–10) 10 Paying taxes 12 Payments (number) 12 Time (hours per year) 22		
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) (0 Private bureau coverage (% of adults) (0 Protecting investors (0–10) Extent of disclosure index (0–10) (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) (0–10) Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) (2)	me (days)	12 61 12.2
Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes Payments (number) Lime (hours per year)	-	5
Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) 2 Paying taxes Payments (number) 2	epth of credit information index (0–6) ublic registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0 0.0
Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) 2 Paying taxes Payments (number) 2 Fime (hours per year) 2		
Strength of investor protection index (0–10) 2 Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) 2		3
Payments (number) Time (hours per year) 2-		0 2.0
Fime (hours per year) 2-		
	me (hours per year)	48 248
otal tax payable (% of gross profit) 51	otal tax payable (% of gross profit)	51.3

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,190 17.4
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	12 19 49 18 47 63
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	47 672 34.3
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	4 9 28.5
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	14,630 22.6
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	8 9 14 8 11 14
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	28 210 7.7
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	1 4 89.4
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	330 35.9
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	7 10 30 13 16 51
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	21 242 35.3
Closìng a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate)	3 22

.

.

THAILAND	
Ease of doing business (rank)	20
Starting a business Procedures (number)	8
Time (days)	33 6.1
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	9 147
Cost (% of income per capita)	17.3
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	33 20
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	0
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	18 5
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	47
TIMOR-LESTE	
Ease of doing business (rank)	142
Starting a business Procedures (number)	10
Time (days)	92
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	125.4 909 . 1
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	24
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	192 51.0
	51.0
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	67
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	20
Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	50 46
Hiring cost (% of salary)	0
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	21
TOGO Ease of doing business (rank)	149
Starting a business	,
Procedures (number)	13
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	53 218.3
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	459.9
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	1.4
Procedures (number) Time (days)	14 273
Cost (% of income per capita)	1223.4
Hiring and firing workers	75
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	78 80
Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	80
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	79 25
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	66

Registering property	
Procedures (number)	2
Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	2 6.3
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	5 4 0.0 18.4
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	10 2 6.0
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	44 52 29.2
East Asia & Pacific Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	7 71 10.0
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	3 0 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	7 1 3 3.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	15 640 34.9
Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	6 212 7.5
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	2 1 3.5 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4 3 5 4.0
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	51 270 50.9

-

.

.

.

	COUNTRY	TABLES	155
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)			2,540 62.0
Trading across borders Documents for export (numb Signatures for export (numb Time for export (days) Documents for import (numb Signatures for import (numb Time for import (days)	er) ber)		9 10 23 14 10 25
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)			26 390 13.4
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the o	dollar)		3 36 43.9
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)			550 0.9
Trading across borders Documents for export (numb Signatures for export (numb Time for export (days) Documents for import (numl Signatures for import (numb Time for import (days)	er) per)		6 9 32 11 12 37
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)			69 990 183.1
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the	dollar)		practice practice 0.0
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)			380 4.9
Trading across borders Documents for export (numb Signatures for export (numb Time for export (days) Documents for import (numb Signatures for import (numb Time for import (days)	er) ber)		8 8 34 11 14 43
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)			37 535 24.3
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the	dollar)		3 15 15.9

156 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006	
TONGA Ease of doing business (rank)	36
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	4 32 11.7 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	15 81 198.0
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	0 40 0 13 0 0
TUNISIA Ease of doing business (rank)	58
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	9 14 10.0 29.8
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	21 154 340.0
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	61 0 100 54 19 29
TURKEY Ease of doing business (rank)	93
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	8 9 27.7 20.9
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	32 232 368.7
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	44 80 40 55 22 112

East Asia & Pacific Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	4 108 10.3
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	5 0 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director hability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	3 6 8 5.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	11 156 32.0
Middle East & North Africa Lower middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	5 57 6.1
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 2 8.2 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	0 4 6 3.3
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	31 112 52.7
Eastern Europe & Central Asia Upper middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	8 9 3.2
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	1 5 4.9 27.6
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	8 3 4 5.0
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	18 254

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,83 0
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number)	
Time for export (days) Documents for import (number)	1
Signatures for import (number)	
Time for import (days)	1
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	3 51
Cost (% of debt)	47.
Closing a business	
Time (years)	
Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 25.
recovery rate (cents on the donary	23.
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	2,63 9.
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number)	
Time for export (days)	2
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number)	1
Time for import (days)	3
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	1.
Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	2 12.4
Closing a business	. 2.
Time (years)	
Cost (% of estate)	
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	51.5
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	3,75 70.
	70.
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	ç
Signatures for export (number)	10
Time for export (days)	20
Documents for import (number)	13
Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	20 25
time for import (days)	
Enforcing contracts	
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	22
Enforcing contracts	22 330 12.5
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt) Closing a business	33(
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt) Closing a business Time (years)	330 12.5
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	330 12.5

UG	٨ľ	١D	Α	
-	<i>c</i> 1		1	

Ease of doing business (rank)	72
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	17
Time (days)	36
Cost (% of income per capita)	117.8
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	19
Time (days)	155
Cost (% of income per capita)	861.8
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0-100)	0
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	20
Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	20

20

13

10

12

36

17

UKRAINE

Hiring cost (% of salary)

Firing cost (weeks of salary)

UKRAINE Ease of doing business (rank)	124
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	15
Time (days)	34
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.6
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	183.0
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	18
Time (days)	265
Cost (% of income per capita)	229.4
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	44
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	60
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	80
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	61

UNITED ARAB EMIRATE Ease of doing business (rank)	ES 69
Starting a business Procedures (number)	12
Time (days)	54
Cost (% of income per capita)	44.3
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	416.9
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	21
Time (days)	125
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.1
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	0
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	80
Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	20
Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	33
Hiring cost (% of salary)	13
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	96

Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	8 48 5.1
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	5 0 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	7 5 4 5.3
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	31 237 42.9
Eastern Europe & Central Asia Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	10 93 3.8
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	8 0 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	1 3 4 2.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	84 2185 51.0
Middle East & North Africa High income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	3 9 2.0
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	4 2 1.5 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4 8 2 4.7
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	15 12 8.9

GNI per capita (US\$) 270 Population (m) 25.3 Trading across borders Documents for export (number) 13 Signatures for export (number) 18 Time for export (days) 58 Documents for import (number) 17 Signatures for import (number) 27 Time for import (days) 73 Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) 15 Time (days) 209 Cost (% of debt) 22.3 Closing a business Time (years) 2 Cost (% of estate) 30 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 39.8

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	1,260 48.4
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	6
Signatures for export (number)	9
Time for export (days)	34
Documents for import (number)	10
Signatures for import (number)	10
Time for import (days)	46
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	28
Time (days)	269
Cost (% of debt)	11.0
Closing a business	
Time (years)	3
Cost (% of estate)	42
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	8.4

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	18,060 4.0
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	6
Signatures for export (number)	3
Time for export (days)	18
Documents for import (number)	6
Signatures for import (number)	3
Time for import (days)	18
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	53
Time (days)	614
Cost (% of debt)	16.0
Closing a business	
Time (years)	5
Cost (% of estate)	30
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	5.5

0.7 0.0

70.2

0.5 0.0

16.9

43.9 151.7

> 95.0

128 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006
UNITED KINGDOM Ease of doing business (rank)
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)
UNITED STATES
Ease of doing business (rank)
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capıta)
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)
URUGUAY Ease of doing business (rank)
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)

OECD: High Income High income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	2 21 4.1
	4.1
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults)	10 6 0.0
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	76.2
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	10 7 7 8.0
Paying taxes	
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	22
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	52.9
OECD: High Income High income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	4 12
Cost (% of property value)	0.5
Getting credit	-
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	7 6
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0 100.0
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7 9
Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	8.3
Paying taxes Payments (number)	9
Time (hours per year)	325
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	21.5
Latin America & Caribbean Upper middle income	
Registering property	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	8 66
Cost (% of property value)	7.1
Getting credit	4
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	4 5
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	5.5 80.0
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3 4
Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4
Strength of investor protection index (0-10)	5.0
Paying taxes Payments (number)	54
Time (hours per year)	300
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	80.2

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	33,940 59.3
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	5 5 16 5 16
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	14 288 17.2
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	1 6 85.3
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	41,400 291.0
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	6 5 9 5 4 9
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	17 250 7.5
Closing a business Time (years) Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2 7 76.2
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	3,950 3.4
Trading across borders Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	9 10 22 9 12 25
Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	39 620 25.8
Closing a business Time (years)	2

1

UZBEKISTAN	
Ease of doing business (rank)	138
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	9 35 15.5 20.2
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	33 40 30 34 36 31
VANUATU Ease of doing business (rank)	49
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	8 39 65.6 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	7 82 427.1
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hıring index (0–100) Rıgıdity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing ındex (0–100) Rıgıdıty of employment index (0–100) Hırıng cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	39 40 10 30 6 55
VENEZUELA Ease of doing business (rank)	120
Starting a business Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13 116 15.7 0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	13 276 547.2
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary) Firing cost (weeks of salary)	33 80 0 38 15 46

. . .

-

Registering property Procedures (number)	1
Time (days)	9
Cost (% of property value)	10.
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	0.
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.
Protecting investors	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	
Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	4.
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	11
Time (hours per year)	15
Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	75.
East Asia & Pacific	
Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number)	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	18
Cost (% of property value)	7.
Getting credit	
Strength of legal rights index (010)	
Depth of credit information index (0–6)	0
Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0. 0.
U	
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	
Strength of investor protection index (0~10)	6.
Paying taxes	
Payments (number)	3
Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	12 28.
Latin America & Caribbean	
Upper middle income Registering property	
Procedures (number)	
Time (days)	3
Cost (% of property value)	2.
Getting credit Strangth of logal rights undex (0, 10)	
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6)	
Public registry coverage (% of adults)	16.
Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.
innare bareau corerage (70 br adarcs)	
Protecting investors	
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director hability index (0–10)	
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director hability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	2
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director hability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	2.
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes	
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director hability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	2. 6 86

.

.

.

.

	155
GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	460 25.6
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	
Signatures for export (number)	
Time for export (days) Documents for import (number)	 18
Signatures for import (number)	32
Time for import (days)	139
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	35
Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	368 18.1
Closing a business Time (years)	4
Cost (% of estate)	4
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	12.5
GNI per capita (US\$)	1,340
Population (m)	0.2
Trading across borders Documents for export (number)	9
Signatures for export (number)	5
Time for export (days)	7
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number)	14 9
Time for import (days)	9
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	24
Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	430 64.0
Closing a business Time (years)	3
Cost (% of estate)	38
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	15.8
GNI per capita (US\$)	4,020
Population (m)	25.7
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	8
e	6
	<i>1</i> ۲
Time for export (days)	34 13
Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number)	13 9
Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	13
Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts	13 9
Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	13 9 42 41
Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days)	13 9 42
Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt)	13 9 42 41 445
Time for export (days) Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of debt) Closing a business	13 9 42 41 445
Documents for import (number) Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) Enforcing contracts Procedures (number) Time (days)	13 9 42 41 445 28.7

160 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006	
VIETNAM Ease of doing business (rank)	99
Starting a business Procedures (number)	11
Time (days)	50
Cost (% of income per capita) Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	50.6 0.0
	0.0
Dealing with licenses Procedures (number)	14
Time (days)	143
Cost (% of income per capita)	64.1
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	44 40
Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	70
Rigidity of employment index (0–100) Hiring cost (% of salary)	51 17
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	98
WEST BANK AND GAZ Ease of doing business (rank)	A 125
Starting a business	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	11 106
Cost (% of income per capita)	275.4
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	1409.8
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	18
Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	144 779.2
Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hıring index (0–100)	33
Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	60
Difficulty of firing index (0~100) Rigidity of employment index (0–100)	20 38
Hiring cost (% of salary)	13
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	90
YEMEN Ease of doing business (rank)	90
Starting a business	
Procedures (number) Time (days)	12 63
Cost (% of income per capita)	240.2
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	2703.2
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	13
5	13 131 274.4
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita)	131
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	131
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100)	131 274.4 0 80
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	131 274.4 0
Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of income per capita) Hiring and firing workers Difficulty of hiring index (0–100) Rigidity of hours index (0–100) Difficulty of firing index (0–100)	131 274.4 0 80 30

Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value) Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Extent of director protection index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	5 67 1.2 3 3 1.1 0.0 4 1 2 2.3 44 1050 31.5
Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults) Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	3 1.1 0.0 4 1 2 2.3 44 1050
Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10) Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	1 2 2.3 44 1050
Payments (number) Time (hours per year)	1050
Middle East & North Africa Lower middle income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	7 58 4.7
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	5 0 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	49 42
Middle East & North Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	6 21 3.9
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	2 2 0.1 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	6 4 3 4.3
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	32 248 128.8

	GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	550 81.3
	Trading across borders	
5 67	Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	6 12
.2	Time for export (days)	35
	Documents for import (number)	9
3	Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	15 36
3	time tor import (days)	50
.1	Enforcing contracts	
0.0	Procedures (number) Time (days)	37 343
	Cost (% of debt)	30.1
4 1	Closing a business	
2	Time (years)	5
.3	Cost (% of estate)	15
	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	19.2
44		
50 .5		
	GNI per capita (US\$)	1,110
	Population (m)	3.4
	Trading across borders	
7 58	Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number)	6 10
.7	Time for export (days)	27
	Documents for import (number)	9
5	Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	18 42
0		
1,0 1,0	Enforcing contracts Procedures (number)	26
	Time (days)	465
	Cost (% of debt)	21.4
	Closing a business	
	Time (years)	
	Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	
10		
49 		
42		
	GNI per capita (US\$)	570
	Population (m)	19.2
	Trading across borders	
6	Documents for export (number)	6
21 1.9	Signatures for export (number) Time for export (days)	8 33
	Documents for import (number)	9
2	Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days)	20 31
2	Time for import (days)	
).1	Enforcing contracts	77
0.0	Procedures (number) Time (days)	37 360
~	Cost (% of debt)	10.5
6 4	Closing a business	
3	Time (years)	3
.3	Cost (% of estate) Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	8 28.2
		÷012
32 48		

ZAMBIA Ease of doing business (rank)	67
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	6
Time (days)	35
Cost (% of income per capita)	18.1
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	2.1
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	16
Time (days)	165
Cost (% of income per capita)	1671.2
Hiring and firing workers	
Difficulty of hiring index (0–100)	0
Rigidity of hours index (0-100)	20
Difficulty of firing index (0-100)	10
Rigidity of employment index (0-100)	10
Hiring cost (% of salary)	9
Firing cost (weeks of salary)	176

ZIMBABWE Ease of doing business (rank)

Ease of doing business (rank)	126
Starting a business	
Procedures (number)	10
Time (days)	96
Cost (% of income per capita)	1442.5
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	53.0
Dealing with licenses	
Procedures (number)	21
Time (days)	481
Cost (% of income per capita)	1509.6
Hiring and firing workers	

Hiring and firing workers

Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	6 70 9,6
Getting credit Strength of legal rights index (0–10) Depth of credit information index (0–6) Public registry coverage (% of adults) Private bureau coverage (% of adults)	6 0 0.0 0.0
Protecting investors Extent of disclosure index (0–10) Extent of director liability index (0–10) Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10) Strength of investor protection index (0–10)	10 4 8 7.3
Paying taxes Payments (number) Time (hours per year) Total tax payable (% of gross profit)	36 132 38.6
Sub-Saharan Africa Low income	
Registering property Procedures (number) Time (days) Cost (% of property value)	4 30 22.6
Getting credit	2

Strength of legal rights index (0–10)

Depth of credit information index (0-6)

Public registry coverage (% of adults)

Private bureau coverage (% of adults)

Extent of director liability index (0-10)

Ease of shareholder suits index (0-10)

Total tax payable (% of gross profit)

Strength of investor protection index (0-10)

Extent of disclosure index (0-10)

Protecting investors

Paying taxes Payments (number)

Time (hours per year)

7

0

0

8

1

4

4.3

59

216

48.6

0.0

Sub-Saharan Africa

11

40

20

24

6 29

450 GNI per capita (US\$) 10.4 Population (m) Trading across borders 16 Documents for export (number) Signatures for export (number) 25 Time for export (days) 60 19 Documents for import (number) 28 Signatures for import (number) Time for import (days) 62 Enforcing contracts 16 Procedures (number) 274 Time (days) Cost (% of debt) 28.7 Closing a business 3 Time (years) Cost (% of estate) 9 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar) 20.0

GNI per capita (US\$) Population (m)	480 13.1
Trading across borders	
Documents for export (number)	9
Signatures for export (number)	18
Time for export (days)	52
Documents for import (number)	15
Signatures for import (number)	19
Time for import (days)	66
Enforcing contracts	
Procedures (number)	33
Time (days)	350
Cost (% of debt)	19.1
Closing a business	
Time (years)	2
Cost (% of estate)	22
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	2.1

Acknowledgments

Contact details for local partners are available on the Doing Business website at http://www.doingbusiness.org

Doing Business in 2006 was prepared by a team led by Simeon Djankov and Caralee McLiesh under the general direction of Michael Klein. The team also comprised Ziad Azar, Ghanem-Redouane Benamadi, Vivian Callaghan, Marie Delion, Penelope Fidas, Melissa Johns, Joanna Kata-Blackman, Marcelo Lu, Darshini Manraj, Facundo Martin, Nikolay Naumovich, Rita Ramalho, Sylvia Solf, Donaji Valencia and Lihong Wang. Additional assistance in the months prior to publication was provided by Olufunmilola Akintan, Tim Ganser, Michael Gilbert, Franck Kpomalegni and Amine Taha.

Mihir Desai, Oliver Hart, Rafael La Porta and Andrei Shleifer provided academic advice on the project. The paying taxes project was conducted in partnership with PricewaterhouseCoopers, led by Robert Morris with Kelly Murray and Penny Vaughn. The protecting investors and enforcing contracts projects were conducted in partnership with the Lex Mundi association, led by Carl Anduri and Sam Nolen. Data collection for the trading across borders project was assisted by AP Moller-Maersk, led by Soren Hansen; Bolloré Group, led by Jean Marcel Gariador and Denis Cordel; Freightnet, led by Phil Morrison; Panalpina Inc., led by Mike Krieg; and the World Customs Organization, led by Franck Lecoindre. Jean-François Gerard and Bianca Kingdon from Ius Laboris contributed to data collection on hiring and firing workers. Tony Burns wrote a background paper for the registering property chapter. Uma Subramanian designed the trading across borders survey. Several other organizations and individual experts provided input to survey design, as detailed in the Data notes. Alison Strong and Paul Holtz edited the manuscript. Timothy Harford and Suzanne Smith provided additional editorial advice. Gerry Quinn designed the report and the figures.

Individual chapters were refereed by a panel of experts comprising Hamid Alavi, Magdi Amin, Nagavalli Annamalai, Pauline Aranda, Jean Francois Arvis, Irina Astrakhan, Paul Barbour, Lubomira Beardsley, Alexander Berg, Christina Biebesheimer, Paul Brenton, John Bruce, Tony Burns, Mierta Capaul, Stijn Claessens, Jacqueline Coolidge, Asli Demirguc-Kunt, Amanda Ellis, Aurora Ferrari, Carsten Fink, Luke Haggarty, Su Lin Han, Leora Klapper, Arvo Kuddo, Oscar Madeddu, William Mako, Varsha Marathe, Gerard McLinden, Richard Messick, Margaret Miller, Claudio Montenegro, Tatiana Nenova, Richard Newfarmer, Carmen Pages, Jose Manuel Palli, Vincent Palmade, John Panzer, Sue Rutledge, Jolyne Sanjak, Phil Schuler, Sevi Simavi, Warrick Smith, Peer Stein, Richard Stern, Stefano Stoppani, Uma Subramanian, Richard Symonds, Vijay Tata, Agata Waclawik, John Wilson and Luc De Wulf.

The full draft report was reviewed by Hormoz Aghdaey, Simon Bell, Harry Broadman, Philip Keefer, Steven Knack, Cornelis Kruk, Khalid Mirza, Anand Rajaram, Stefano Scarpetta, Sandor Sipos, Andrew Stone, Nigel Twose and Marilou Uy. We are grateful for comments and review provided by the World Bank Group's country teams.

The online service of the Doing Business database is managed by the Rapid Response Unit of the World Bank Group. The team was led by Suzanne Smith and comprised Vadim Gorbach, Graeme Littler, Vandana Mathur, Victor Robinson and Leila Search-Zalmai.

The report was made possible by the generous contribution of more than 3,500 lawyers, accountants, judges, business-people and public officials in 155 economies. Quotations in this report are from local partners unless otherwise indicated. The names of those wishing to be acknowledged individually are listed on the following pages and contact details are posted on the Doing Business website at http://www.doingbusiness.org.

GLOBAL CONTRIBUTORS

BAKER & MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL BAR

ASSOCIATION LEX MUNDI, ASSOCIATION OF INDEPENDENT LAW FIRMS (MEMBER FIRMS IDEN FIFIED

BY *) PANALPINA PRICEWAI ERHOUSECOOPERS

SDV INTERNATIONAL LOGISTICS TRANSUNION INTERNATIONAL

AFGHANISTAN

Gauray Kukreia ACTCO-AFGHAN COUNTAINER TRANSPORT CO.

Parwana Hasan AWLPA Shafic Gawhari MINISTRY OF COMMERCE Rashid Ibrahim A.F. Ferguson & Co. Solı Parakh

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Hamid Qaderi

AFGHANISTAN INTERNALIONAL CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Azam Kargar ASSOCIATION OF AFGHANISTAN FREIGHT FORWARDING CO S

Najibullah-Rahimi EXPORTS & INDUSTRIES

Amin Karim Zada KABUL CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRIES

Mohammad Naim Maimanagi NAIL LID. CO. Charles Clinton ALTAI CONSULTING Zaid Mohseni

ZAMOH

ALBANIA

Genc Boga BOGA & ASSOCIATES Victor Chimienti BOGA & ASSOCIATES Sokol Elmazi BOGA & ASSOCIATES Kreshnik Spahiu CITIZEN ADVOCACY OFFICE Andi Memi Нохна. Мемі е́~ Нохна Shpati Hoxha

Нохна, Мемі & Нохна Spyridon Tsakalis IKRP ROKAS & PARTNERS Shkelaim Kerluku IKRP ROKAS & PARTNERS Marsela Kokoshi IKRP ROKAS & PARTNERS Elisa Stamo IKRP ROKAS & PARTNERS Merilda Nina IKRP ROKAN & PARTNERS

Ina Aleksi KALO & ASSOCIATES

Jola Gjuzi KALO & ASSOCIATES Anisa Rrumbullaku KALO & ASSOCIATES Genci Krasniqi KALO & ASSOCIATES Alban Caushi KALO & ASSOCIATES Vilma Gjyshi KALO & ASSOCIATES

Loreta Peci PRICEWAI'ERHOU'SECOOPERS Laura Qorlaze

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Artur Asllani Studio Legale Tonucci

Robert Brugger PANALPINA WELI IRANSPORT GMBH

ALGERIA

Mourad Dubert CABINET D'ARCHITECT MOURAD DUBERT Adnane Bouchaib BOUCHAIB LAW FIRM Nahiha Zerigui CABINET SAMIR HAMOUDA Samir Hamouda CABINET SAMIR HAMOUDA Mohamed El Amine Haddad GHELLAL & MEKERBA Amine Ghellal, Esq. GHELLAL & MIKERBA Mustapha Hamza HAMZALAW OFFICE Yamina Kebir LAW OFFICE OF YAMINA KERIR Sid Ahmed Hasbellaou MAERSK LOGISTICS ALGERIE SPA Fares Ouzegdouh MAERSK LOGISTICS ALGERIE SPA lérôme Le Hec LANDWELL & ASSOCIES Gerard Morin LANDWELL & ASSOCIES Dominique Rolland

LANDWELL & ASSOCIES Michel Lecerf LANDWELL & ASSOCIES

ANGOLA

Alexandre Do Règo Pinto Pegado ALEXANDRE PEGADO LAW FIRM Teresinha Lopes FARIA DE BASTOS, SEBASTIÃO & LOPES Fatima Freitas FATIMA FREITAS ADVOGADOS Katila Machado FÁTIMA FRLITAS ADVOGADOS Nahary Cardoso FATIMA FREITAS ADVOGADOS lorge Leao Peres NATIONAL BANK OF ANGOLA Judith De Fatima Dos Santos Lima NATIONAL BANK OF ANGOLA Douglas Pillinger PANALPINA

Pedro Calixto PRICEWALERHOUSECOOPERS Julian Ince PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Fernando Barros PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Aymeric Frisch SDV AMI ANGOLA - BOLLORE

ARGENTINA

GROUP

Diego Turcato ALFARO ABOGADOS Mariana Morelli Alfaro Abogados Federico Augusto Brandt ALFARO ABOGADOS Juan Arocena Allende & Brea Octavio Miguel Zenarruza Alvarez Prado & Asociados Lisandro A. Allende BRONS & SALAS Oscar Alberto del Rio CENTRAL BANK OF ARGENTINA Mariano Carricart FORNIELES ABOGADOS Ignacio Funes de Rioja FUNES DE RIOJA & ASOCIADOS, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS Angelica Sola MARVAL, O'FARRELL & MAIRAL* Ignacio L. Triolo MARVAL, O'FARRELL & MAIRAL Santiago Laclau MARVAL, O'FARRELL & MAIRAL Aleiandro D. Fiuza MARVAL, O'FARRELL & MAIRAL Patricia Ruhman Seggiaro MARVAL, O'FARRELL & MAIRAL Alfredo Miguel O'Farrell MARVAL, O'FARRELL & MAIRAL Miguel P. Murray MURRAY, D'ANDRE, ISASMENDI & SIRITO DE ZAVALIA Sean McCormick MURRAY, D ANDRE, ISASMENDI € SIRITO DE ZAVALIA María Fraguas NICHOLSON Y CANO Santiago Nicholson NICHOLSON Y CANO Roberto Laterza ORGANIZACION VERAZ Enrique Pugliano ORGANIZACIÓN VERAZ Ricardo Andia PANALPINA TRANSPORTES MUNDIALES S.A.

Axel Kreutzmann PANALPINA TRANSPORTES MUNDIALES S.A. Carlos Zima

PRICEWALERHOU'SI COOPERS Andres M. Edelstein

PRICLWATERHOUSECOOPERS Ignacio Rodriguez

PRICEWAI ERHOUSL COOPERS Lılıana Segade

QUALTRINI, LAPRIDA & ASOCIADOS

Javier Valle Zavas URÍA & MENÉNDEZ Vanesa Balda VITALE, MANOFF & FEILBOGEN

ARMENIA

Mher Grigoryan Arsen Matikyan ALFATRANS LTD. Ashot Poghosyan ARAX CONSULTING GROUP Thomas J. Samuelian ARLEX INTERNATIONAL LTD. Artak Arzoyan CENTRAL BANK OF ARMENIA Hakob Tadevosyan GRANT THORNTON AMYOT Aram Poghosyan GRANT THORNTON AMYOF Artashes F. Kakoyan INVESTMENT LAW GROUP LLC Hayk Hovhannisyan INVESTMENT LAW GROUP LLC Edward Mesropyan JINJ Co. Suren Melikyan KPMG ARMENIA Alan Kuchukyan KPMG ARMENIA Arno Mosikyan KPMG ARMENIA Tigran Serobyan KPMG ARMENIA Ara Markosyan KPMG ARMENIA Ashot Petrosyan MINISTRY OF TRADE AND ECONOMICAL DEVELOPMENT Havk Sahakvan STATE COMMITTEE OF THE REAL PROPERTY CADASTRE Armen Ter-Tachatyan TER-TACHATYAN LEGAL AND BUSINESS CONSULTING Mikayel Tovmassian TER-TACHAI YAN LEGAL AND BUSINESS CONSULTING Artur Tunyan TUNYAN & ASSOCIATES Maria Livinska PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Jorge Intriago PRICHWATERHOUSECOOPERS Svetlana Bilyk PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AUSTRALIA David Cross ALLENS ARTHUR ROBINSON Michael Ouinlan ALLENS ARTHUR ROBINSON

Steven Fleming ALLENS ARTHUR ROBINSON Sarah Bergin ALLENS ARTHUR ROBINSON Mark Pistilli ATANASKOVIC HARINELL Amelia Horvath ATANASKOVIC HARINELL

Basil Sawczuk AUSTRALIAN CUSTOMS SERVICE lane Wilson BAYLCIRP ADVANTACE John Lobhan BLAKE DAWSON WALDRON Paul James CLAYTON UTZ* Penny Grau CLAYTON UTZ Luke Nicholls CLAYTON UTZ Ron Schaffer CLAYTON UTZ Christopher Davie CLAYTON UTZ Doug Jones AM CLAYTON UTZ Lucinda Girdlestone COWLEY HEARNE LAWYERS David Zwi COWLEY HEARNE LAWYERS David Buda COWLEY HEARNE LAWYERS Petrea Draper COWLFY HEARNE LAWYERS Greg Channell DEPARTMENT OF LANDS Des Mooney DEPARTMENT OF LANDS Lyn Thomson DEPARTMENT OF LANDS Peter Gemell EVANS & PECK Robert Riddell GADENS LAWYERS Boris Hristovski GADENS LAWYERS Andrew Smith MALLESONS STEPHEN JAQUES

Eric Herding PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT

Michael Croker PRICEWAI ERHOUSF COOPERS

Ann Previtera

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Lynda Brumm

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Phil Rosser

SYDNEY PORTS CORPORATION

AUSTRIA

Irene Mandl AUNTRIAN INSTITUTE FOR SME RESEARCH

Christian Lettmavr AUSTRIAN INSTITUTE FOR SME RESEARCH Walter Bornett

AUNTRIAN INSTITUTE FOR SME Research

Andreas Hable BINDLR GROSSWANG RECHTSANWALLE

Doris Buxbaum BINDER GROSSWANG RECHTSANWAITE

Johannes Barbist BINDER GROSSWANG RECHTSANWALTE

Tibor Fabian BINDER GROSSWANG RECHTSANWALLE

Georg Brandstetter BRANLISTETTER PRITZ C PARTNER

Alexander Klauser BRAUNEIS, KLAUSER & PRANDL

Gregor Maderbacher BRAUNFIS, KLAUSER & PRANDL

Thomas Trettnak Cerha Hempfi & Sph Gelfflid*

Julian Feichtinger CERHA HEMPEL & SPIEGELFELD Angela Zaffalon

CERHA HEMPEL & SPIEGELTELD Benedikt Spiegelfeld

CERHA HEMPEL & SPILGELFELD Martin Eckel EISEISBERG NATLACEN

WALDERDORFF CANCOLA Georg Bahn FRESHHELDS BRUCKHAUS

DERINGER Edgar Langeder

FRIEDERS TASSUL & PARINER Georg Tuppa

GRAF, MAXL & PITKOWITZ Ferdmand Graf GRAF, MAXI & PHENOWITZ

Harald Heschl

KREDHISCHUIZVERBAND VON 1870

Georg Schima KUNZ SCHIMA WALLENTIN, MEMBER OF ICS LABORIS

Wolfgang Messeritsch NATIONAL BANK OF AUSTRIA

Günther Horvàth **OPPENHEIM ES TARSAL** FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERING

Robert Brugger PANALPINA WELTTRANSPORT GMBH

Ernst Biebl PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Friedrich Roedler PRICEWATERHOUSI COOPERS

Peter Madl SCHOENHERR RECHTSANWAELLE Ulrike Langwallner

SCHOENHERR RICHTSANWAELTE Lothar Wachter

WOLF THEISS

AZERBAIJAN

Abbas Atakishi "AZLEKS" INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM

Tahira Shokorova "Azieks" International Law FIRM

Nadir Huseynbayov AGENCY FOR SUPPORT TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF AGRICULTURAL PRIVATE SUCTOR

Sergio Purin AHLERS

Gunduz Karimov BAKER & MCKENZIE

Daniel Matthews BAKER & MCKENZH

Abdullayev Sabit BAKER & MCKENZIE Natik Mamedov BAKER & MCKENZIL Farrukh Gassimov BAKER BOLIS

Mark Rowley BAKER BOTTS

Bakhtiyar Mammadov BAKER BOTTS

Valery Sidnev B NER BOTTS

Namık Novruzov BM INTERNATIONAL LLC

Vadim Shneyer BM INTERNATIONAL LLC

Farhad Mirzayev BM INTERNATIONAL LLC

Kanan Safarov LEDINGHAM CHALMERS Michael Walsh

LI DINGHAM CHALMERS Aida Badalova

LEDINGHAM CHALMERS

Ismayil Askerov LIDINGHAM CHALMERS

Elchin Hagverdiyev

Arif Gulivev

LEDINGHAM CHALMERS

Rufat Aslanli NATIONAL BANK OF AZERBAIJAN

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Rızvan Gubiyev

PRICEWATI RHOUSECOOPERS Mushfig Aliyev

PRICEWALERHOUSECOOPERS Bob Jurik

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Vagif Ahmadov

SALANS HERTZFELD & HEILBRONN LAW FIRM Alum Bati

SALANS HERTZFELD & HEII BRONN LAW FIRM

Farhad Hajizade SALANS HERTZFELD & Heilbronn Law Firm

BANGLADESH

Sohel Kasem A. QASEM & CO. / PRICEWALERHOUSECOOPERS

Badrul Ahsan A. QASEM & CO. / PRICEWMERHOUSECOOPERS

Iasim U. Ahmed BANGLADISH CONTAINER LINES Lip.

Jasim Uddin Ahmad BANK OF BANGLADESH

Nasirud Doulah POULAH & DOULAH ADVOCATES

Shamsud Doulah DOULAH & DOULAH ADVOCATES

DOULAH & DOULAH ADVOCATES

DR. KAMAL HOSSAIN & ASSOCIATES

A B M. Badrud Doulah

Karishma Jahan

Kamal Hossain DR KAMAI HOSSAIN C Associates Ashfaq Amin

INTEGRATED TRANSPORTATION SERVICES LTD. AS AGEN'I OF PANALPINA

Halim Bepari SUPREME COURT OF BANGLADESH

M.D. Asadujjaman SYFD ISHTIAQ AHMED AND ASSOCIATES

Amir-Ul Islam THE LAW ASSOCIATES*

Shamsul Hasan

THE LAW ASSOCIATES Shirin Chaudhury

THE LAW ASSOCIATES

Mohammed Razack THE LAW ASSOCIATES

Nahid Afreen

THE LAW ASSOCIATES Abdur Razzao

THE LAW COUNSEL

BELARUS

Alexander Korneiko AHLERS Ilya Latyshev

APICES JURIS Anna T. Rusetskaya

BELIURBUREAU Vladimir G. Biruk

BELORUSSIAN COMMUNITY OF PROFESSIONALS IN CRISIS MANAGEMENT AND BANKRUPTCY

Vassili I. Salei BOROVINOV & SALEI

Alexander Botian BORONTSON & SALEI

Vasily Volozinets BUSINESSCONSULT LAW OFFICE

Galina Syromiadmikova DICSA INTERNATIONAL GROUP OF LAWYERS

Gennadiy Glinskiy DICSA INTERNATIONAL GROUP OF LAWYERS

Vitaliv Sevrukevich DICSA INTERNATIONAL GROUP OF LAWYERS

Olga Podverbnaya DICSA INTERNATIONAL GROUP OF LAWYERS

Igor Maziarchuk INSTAR LOGISTICS Anastasia Bondar

INSTAR LOGISTICS Eugene Lazarenkov

LAW COMPANY TRUST Ivan Zhiznevsky

MIKHEL AND PARTNERS Kanstantsın Mikhel

MIKHEI AND PARTNERS

Ivan Alievich MIKHEL AND PARTNERS Andrei Baiko NATIONAL BANK OF THE

REPUBLIC OF BELARUS Alexander Vasilevsky VALEX CONSULT

Ekaterina Zabello VIASOVA AND PARINERS Maria Livinska PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Svetlana Bilyk PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Iorge Intriago PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS BELGIUM

Sophie Rutten

ALLEN & OVERY

ALLEN & OVERY

Dirk de Backer

Allen & Overy

Jan Van Celst

Fannia Polet

ALTIUS

ALTIUS

ASHURST

ASHURST

ALLEN & OVERY

Tom Vantroyen

Carl Meyntjens

David Du Pont

Olivier Debray

Stephan Legein

Hugo Callens

Tim Roelans

Ludo Cornelis

Alain Francois

Dheedene Lode

MAERSK BENELUX

Didier Muraille

PALAIS DE JUSTICE

Ivan Verougstraete

PALAIS DE JUSTICE

Koen Cooreman

Luc Legon

Bart Vanham

Pierrette Fraisse

FINANCES

Frank Dierckx

EUBELIUS AT FORNEYS

EUBFLIUS ALTORNEYS

Pamela R. Gonzales de

Hubert Andre-Dumont

NATIONAL BANK OF BELGIUM

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Aurore Mons delle Roche

PRICEWALERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Service Public Federal

McGuireWoods LLP

Jean Philippe Lebeau

IUS LABORIS

BELGIUM

BELGIUM

FLEGIS

FLEGIS

Cordova

LOYENS

CLAEYS & ENGELS, MIMBER OF

CUSTOMS ADMINISTRATION OF

CUSTOMS ADMINISTRATION OF

Isabelle De Stobberleir

Louis-H. Verbeke

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

SIMMONS & SIMMONS

Sandrine Hirsch

Steven de Schrijver

VAN BAEL & BELLIS

WORLD CUSTOMS

ORGANIZATION

BENIN

Duguenon

DUGUENON

Victoire

Elisha Victoire

Saidou Agbantou

Agnes A. Campbell

Edgar-Yves Monnou

CABINET MAITRE ALABI

CABINET SPA BABA BODY

JACQUES MIGAN LAW FIRM

Architectis el Urbanistes

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Jean Sourou Agossou

ORDRE NATIONAL DES

Zacharí Baba Body

Luc-M. C. Gnacadia

Rafikou Alabi

QUENUM

IMOTEPH

Jacques Migan

Hans De Lille

SAGA BENIN S.A.

Jacques Chareyre

FIDAFRICA /

Dominique Taty

Edouard Messou

BOLLORF DTL - SDV

Iean Marcel Gariador

CENTRALE DIS RISOUES DE

L'UNION MONETAIRE OUEST

Boliore DTI - SDV

FIDAFRICA /

FIDAFRICA /

Denis Cordel

Francois Nare

AFRICAINF

BHUTAN

Tshering Dorji

UNITED GROUP

Prakash Rasaily

CITY LEGAL UNIT

Sonam P. Wangdi

MINISTRY OF TRADE &

DHL/GLOBAL PACKERS

Forwarders and Clearing

N. B. Gurung

AGENT

INDUSTRY

Serge Pognon

Associes

Marie-Rose Roussety

Veronique Akankossi

CABINET D'AVOCAIS AKANKOSSI-

CABINET AGBANRIN-ELISHA

Alice Codjia-Sohouenou

CABINET AGBANTOU SAIDOU

CABINET AGBANTOU SAIDOU

CABINET D'AVOCATS A. POGNON

CABINET D'AVOCATS CAMPELL &

CABINET EDGAR-YVES MONNOU

Simont Braun

Leo Peeters

Dawa Sherpa Sherpa Consultancy

BOLIVIA

Pablo Ybarnegaray Ponce Bolivian Customs

Milenka Saavedra Muñoz BUFETE AGUIRRE

Carolina Aguiree Urioste BUFETE AGUIRRE

Fernando Aguirre Bufete Aguirre

Carlos Ferreira C.R. & F. Rojas*

Diego Rojas C.R. & F. Rojas

Alejandra Bernal Mercado C.R. ぐ F. Rojas

Paula Bauer Velasco C.R. & F. ROJAS

Fernando Rojas C.R. & F. ROJAS

Sandra Salinas C.R. & F. ROJAS

Manfredo Kempff C.R. & F. ROJAS

María Eugenia Antezana V. Criales, Urcullo & Antezana

Jose A. Criales Criales, Urcullo & Antezana

Jaime Urcullo Reyes Criales, Urcullo & Antfzana

Adrián Barrenechea Bazoberry Criales, Urcullo & Antezana

Iorge Subirana Castellos Entidad De Servicios De Información Enserbic S.A.

Francisco Bollini Roca GUEVARA & GUTIERREZ S.C. SERVICIOS LEGALES

Primitivo Gutiérrez GUEVARA & GUTIÉRREZ S.C. SERVICIOS LEGALES

Renato Goitia Machicado HERMES GROUP

Mariana Pereira Nava Indacochea & Asociados

Ricardo Indacochea San Martin INDACOCHEA & ASOCIADOS

Ana Maria Luna Yañez INDEPENDENT

Jorge Paz INFOCENTER

Miguel Angel Jemio Moreno-Balinvieso

Rodrigo Garrón Moreno-Baldivieso

Maria Cecilia Agreda Gómez Moreno-Baldivieso

Miguel Vertiz PricewaterHOUSECOOPERS

Fabian Rabinovich PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Liliana Ching PricewaterHouseCoopers

Cintya Burgoa PricewaterhouseCoopers A. Mauricio Torrico Galindo QUINTANILLA & SORIA Sergio Salazar-Machicado SALAZAR & ASOCIADOS, SOC.

Enrique Hurtado SUPERINTENDENCY OF BANKS AND FINANCIAL ENTITIES

Civ

BOSNIA AND Herzegovina

Robert Brugger Panalpina Weittransport GmbH

Kerim Karabdic Advokati Salih & Kerim Karabdic

Branko Maric Branko & Viadimir Maric

Nedzida Salihovic-Whalen

Emir Kovačevič EMIR Kovačevič Law Firm

Kemal Jogic Federal Ministry of Labour

AND SOCIAL POLICY Tom Kyriakopoulos

IKRP ROKAS & PARTNERS Emina Adembegovic

IKRP ROKAS & PARTNERS

Mira Todorovic-Symeonidis IKRP Rokas & Partners

Selver Zaimovic Lansky & Partner Attorneys

Nikola Jankovic Lansky, Ganzger & Partner Bojana Tkalcic-Dulic

Lawyers' Office Traicic-Dulic, & Prebanic

Adnan Hrenovica LRC CreDit Bureau

Senada Havic LRC CREDIT BUREAU Ruzica Topic

RUZIKA TOPIC Katerina Carceva PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPFRS

Rudi Lazarevski PricewaterhouseCoopers

BOTSWANA

Angelica Waibale-Muganga ARMSTRONGS ATTORNEYS*

Neill Armstrong ARMSTRONGS ATTORNEYS S. A. Ziga

ARMSTRONGS ATTORNEYS Kwadwo Osei-Ofei

Armstrongs Attorneys Sharon Quansah Chibanda, Makgalemele &

COMPANY Mercia Makgalemele

Chibanda, Makgalemele & Company

Elizabeth Macharia CHIBANDA, MAKGALEMELE & COMPANY

Outule Bale KNIGHT FRANK

D G

Colin McVey Landflow Solutions (P1Y) Ltd.

Edward W. Fashole-Luke II LUKE & ASSOCIATES

Akheel Jinabhai Magang & Company

Nigel Haynes Manica Botswana (Pty) LtD.

Claude Mojafi Ministry of Labour and Home Affairs

T.M Bakwena Ministry of Labour and Home Affairs

Max Gunasekera PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Uttum Corea PricewaterHoUSFCoopers

Mark Badenhorst PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Thata Tshukudu Roscoe Bonna Valuers

Alfred Ngowi University of Botswana

Victor Mesquita Manica Africa

BRAZIL

Esther Jerussalmy Arauio F Policastro Advogados

Alvir Alberto Hoffman Banco Central Do Brasil Rodrigo Matos

Cargo Logistics do Brasil Julia Dinamarco

Demarest e Almeida -Advogados*

Maria Lucia Silva Mauricio Costa Demarest e Almeida -

ADVOGADOS

Nadine Baleeiro Teixeira DEMAREST E ALMEIDA -ADVOGADOS

Karina Romano Demarest e Almeida -

Advogados Monica Arruda de Toledo Piza Demarest e Almeida -Advogados

Isabel Franco Demarest e Almeida -Advogados

Altimiro Boscoli DEMAREST E ALMEIDA -ADVOGADOS

Eliane Ribeiro Gago Duarte Garcia, Caselli Guimarães e Terra Advogados

Silvia Poggi de Carvalho DUARTE GARCIA, CASELLI GUIMARÀFS E TERRA ADVOGADOS

Duarte Garcia Duarie Garcia, Caselli GuimarAes e Terra Advogados

Heloisa Bonciani Nader di Cunto

Duarte Garcia, Caselli Guimarães l Terra Advogados Pedro Vitor Araujo da Costa Escritorio de Advocacia Gouvea Vieira Jordan Manahilov

George Dimitrov

Kalina Tchakarova

Djingov, Gouginski,

Marius A. Velichkov

Djingov, Gouginski,

Stefaniya Nikolova

DIINGOV, GOUGINSKI.

Stephan Kyutchukov

DJINGOV, GOUGINSKI,

DIINGOV, GOUGINSKI,

Alexander Georgiev

Darina Oresharova

Dimitar Danailov

Marina Marinova

Bogdan Drenski

Stefan Tzakov

Polina Ganeva

Ivan Markov

Svetlin Adrianov

Vladimir Penkov

Svilen Todorov

Totiu Mladenov

Social Policy

Irina Tsvetkova

Krasimir Merdzhov

Mina Kapsazova

Ginka Iskrova

Jasmina Uzova

& PARTNERS

. Buigarian National Bank

DIMITROV, PETROV & CO.

KYUICHUKOV & VELICHKOV

KYUTCHUKOV & VELICHKOV

KYUTCHUKOV & VELICHKOV

KYUTCHUKOV & VELICHKOV

KYUTCHUKOV & VELICHKOV

DOBREV, KINKIN, LYUISKANOV

EXPERIAN-SCOREX BULGARIA

Georgiev, Todorov & Co.

Georgiev, Todorov & Co.

GEORGIEV, TODOROV & CO.

GEORGIEV, TODOROV & CO.

KAMBOUROV & PARTNERS

KAMBOUROV & PARTNERS

LEGA INTERCONSULT - PENKON,

LEGA INTERCONSULT - PENKOL

LIGA INTERCONSULT - PENKOV,

LEGACOM ANTOV & PARTNERS

MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND

PRICEWATTRHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPFRS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PricewaterhouseCoopers

BURKINA FASO

Hamidou Savadogo

Marie Ouedraogo

SAVADOGO

UNIMANTERS LOGISTICS GROUP

CABINET D'AVOCATS HAMIDOU

BARREAU DU BURKINA FASO

Radostina Krasteva

Nikolaj Bozhilov

Dessislava Fessenko

LANDWELL BULGARIA

MARKOV AND PARTNERS*

MARKOV AND PARTNERS

MARKOV AND PARINERS

Alexander Pachamanov

Thomas Benes Felsberg FelsBerg & Associados

Andrea Acerbi Felsberg & Associados

Beatriz Ryoko Yamashita Fischer & Forster Advogados

Rui Ramos de Oliveira INTERCARRIER - TRANSPORTE INTERNACIONAL LTDA.

Caio Julius Bolina Lazzareschi Advogados

Cassio Mesquita Barros Mesquita Barros Advogados, Member of IUS Laboris

Joao Montandon Borges Montandon Borges -Alwocacia e Consultoria

Cacilda Pedrosa Vieira

NASCIMENTO IMOVEIS

Laercio Nascimento

NASCIMENTO IMOVEIS

Marcus Harwardt

PANALPINA L'IDA.

PANALPINA LTDA.

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Leonardo Soares de Oliveira

Secretaria de Inspecao do

SECRETARIA DE INSPECAO DO

Tania Mara Coelho de

Paulo Sergio Vaz Pedro

TRANSCARGO INTERNACIONAL

Josef Zech

Carlos Iacia

TRABALHO

TRABALHO

Almeida Costa

Ricardo Loureiro

Marcos Tiraboschi

VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Flavia Bailone Marcilio

Maria Fernanda Pecora

Andrea Oricchio Kirsh

VISEU, CASTRO, CUNHA E ORICCHIO ADVOGADOS

Viseu, Castro, Cunha e

VINEU, CASTRO, CUNHA E

VISEU, CASTRO, CUNHA E

ORICCHIO ADVOGADOS

ORICCHIO ADVOGADOS

Dessislava Loukarova

Vladimir Natchev

Yordan Naydenov

Georgi Kalinov

Arsiv, Natchev, Ganeva

ARSIV, NAICHLV, GANEVA

BORISLAV BOYANOV & CO.

BORISLAV BOYANOV & CO.

ORICCHIO ADVOGADOS

Andrea Francolin

Andrea Pulici

BULGARIA

VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

SERASA S.A.

Associados

Associados

Associados

Adriano Borges

Barthélémy Kere CABINET D'AVOCATS BARTHELEMY KERE

Titinga Frédéric Pacere Cabinet D'Avocats Titinga Frederic Pacere

Jean-Pierre Bassole Cabinet d'Avocats Titinga Frederic Pacere

Mamadou Savadogo Cabinet d'Avocats Mamadou Savadogo

Farima Diarra Cabinet Farima Diarra

Anna T. Ouattara-Sory Cabinet Maitre Paulin Salamberi

Dieudonne Bonkoungou Cabinet Ouedraogo & Bonkoungou

Oumarou Ouedraogo CABINET OUEDRAOGO & BONKOUNGOU

Evelyne Mandessi Bell CABINET OUEDRAOGO ど BONKOUNGOU

Gilles Yameogo Cabinet Yameogo

Ignace Sawadogo CICAD

Bernardın Dabire Dabire Sorgнo & Toe

Frank Didier Toe Dabirt Sorgho & Toe

Barterle Mathieu Some Lawyer

Thierry Compaore Ordre National des Architectes du Burkina Faso

Messan Lawson SNTB SAGA - BOLLORE GROUP

Bouba Yaguibou Yaguibou & Yanogo

Jacques Chareyre FIDAFRICA / PRICEWALERHOUSECOOPERS

Dominique Taty FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Edouard Messou

FIDAFRICA / Pricewaferhousi Coopers

Denis Cordel BOLLORF DTI - SDV

Jean Marcel Gariador BOLLORE DTI - SDV

BURUNDI

François Nyamoya Cabinet d'Avocats François Nyamoya

Gabriel Sinarinzı Cabinet d'Avocats Gabriet Sinarinzi

Yves Ntivumbura Banque de la République du Burundi

Severin Kagabo Banque de la Republique du Burundi

Rubeya Willy BARREAU DU BURUNDI Anatole Miburo Cabinet Anatole Miburo Tharcisse Ntakiyica Cabinet Tharcisse Ntakiyica Fabien Segatwa Etude Maitre Segatwa

Sylvestre Banzubaze S&P Banzubaze - Cabinet d'Avocats

Salvator Sindayihebura SDV Transami - GROUPE BOLIORE

Antoine Ntisigana SODETRA LTD, Denis Cordel BOLLORE DTI - SDV

Jean Marcel Gariador BOLLORE DTI - SDV

CAMBODIA

Seakirin Neak B.N.G. - ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS

Naryth H. Hem B.N.G. - ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS

Ouk Ry Bou Nou Ouk & Pariners

Rot Mony Rath Cambodian Construction Workers Trade Union Federation

Tyseng Ly DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP Esther Lau DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP Edward Nicholas

DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP Avy Kong Putheavy Dirksen Flipse Doran & Le

Rany Chung FIDES SERVICES CAMBODIA

David King KPMG CAMBODIA

Ham Phea Ministry of Labor and Vocational Training

Jean Loi PricewaterhouseCoopers

Ngov Chong PricewaterHouseCoopers Richard Irwin

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Sarin & Associates Matthew Rendall

SCIARONI & ASSOCIATES Christine Soutif

SDV CAMBODGE LTD. Janvibol Tip

TIP & PARTNERS Ang Udom Upom Law Chambers

CAMEROON

Roland Abeng ABENG LAW FIRM Jean Aimet Kounga ABENG LAW FIRM Idrissou BEAC - HEADOUARTERN Pierre Talom BEAC - HEADQUARTERS Emmanuel Ekobo CABINET EKOBO Isabelle Fomukong CABINET FOMUKONG Pierre Henri Makon CABINET PIERRE HENRI MAKON

(MAPIH - CONSEIL) Ernestine Mbong Samba Eta Besong Law Chambers

D. Etah Akoh Etah-Nan & C. Sociéte D'Avocais, Barristers &

SOLICITORS Jean-Jacques Kotto

GAA - GROUPEMENT D'ARCHITECTES AFRICAINS Jacques Kuete

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION OF CUSTOMS

Feh H. Baaboh Henry Samuelson & Co.

Paul Jing Jing & Partners David Boyo

JING & PARTNERS Kumfa Jude Kwenyui

JURIS CONSUL LAW FIRM Uffe Doessing Andreasen

MAERSK CAMEROUN SA Christian O'Jeanson

MAERSK CAMEROUN SA Tognia Djanko Ordre National des Architectes du Cameroun

Buergi Marcel PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT LID.

Eric Melet SDV CAMEROON

Jacques Chareyre FIDAFRICA / ERS

CANADA

Leonid Gorelik BAKER & MCKENZIE Pamela S. Hughes

BLAKF, CASSELS & GRAYDON* Paul Schabas

BLAKI, CASSELS & GRAYDON Courtney Harris

BLAKE, CASSHIS & GRAYDON

Erica Young BI 4kE, CASSELS & GRAYDON

Jason Koskela BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAVDON

Dera Nevin

BLAKF, CASSELS & GRAYDON David Epstein

GARDINER ROBERTS Yoine Goldstein

GOLDSTEIN FLANZ & FISHMAN David Bish

GOODMANS LLP Jay A. Carfagnini GOODMANS LLP Mathias Link

Heenan Blaikie LLP, member of Tus Laboris John Craig Heenan Blaikie LLP, member of IUS Laboris Gian Fortuna ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 167

Ingenierie & Architecturi

Alfonso Reymond Larrain

ALDUNATE Y CIA ABOGADOS

Alessandri & Compañia

Alessandri & Compañia

Alvarez, HINZPETER, JANA &

Andrés Jana Linetzkv

BAKER & MCKENZIE

BAKER & MCKENZIE

BARROS COURT & CORREA

Daniela Peña Fergadiott

BARROS COURT & CORREA

Carmen Paz Cruz Lozano

CAMARA CHILENA DE LA

Fernando Echeverria

Aleiandra Meiia G.

Ricardo Escobar

CAREY Y CIA LAW FIRM

CAREY Y CLA LAW FIRM

CARIOLA DIEZ PEREZ-COPATOS

CARIOLA DIFZ PEREZ-COPATOS

CARIOLA DIFZ PEREZ-COPATOS

CARIOLA DIEZ PERFZ-COPATOS

Jeronimo Carcelen

Sebastian Obach

Juan Pablo Matus

Juan Esteban Montero

é CIA

é~ CIA

& CIA

é-CIA

Felipe Ossa

CLARO & CIA.*

CLARO & CIA.

Ricardo Riesco

CLARO & CIA.

DL SANTIAGO

DATABUSINESS

Cristian Eyzaguirre

Edmundo Rojas García

Silvio Figari Napoli

PREVISION SOCIAL

Jimena Bronfman

Errazuriz

CONSERVADOR DE BIENES RAICES

María Ester Feres Nazarala

MINISTERIO DEL TRABAJO Y DE

GUFRRERO, OLIVOS NOVOA Y

Dirección del Trabajo,

CAMARA CHILENA DE LA

Miguel Capo Valdez

Claudio Ortiz Tello

BOLFTIN COMERCIAL

BESALCO S.A.

CONSTRUCCION

CONSTRUCCION

Cristián Boetsch

Eduardo Torreti

Fernando Jamarne

Camilo Cortes

Leon Larrain

VALLE

MOSCOW INTERBANK CURRENCY

Gerard Leclaire

Charles Nguevara

EXCHANGE

Bechir Madet

Sobdibe Zoua

SCI PADARE

CHILE

OFFICE NULARIAL

KENAIDAN CONTRACTING LTD. Paul Avis

MCMILLAN BINCH LLP

Shelley Munro Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt

Susan Clifford Oslfr, Hoskin & Harcourt 11 P

Michael Davies Onler, HOSKIN & HARCOURT LLP

Uwe Wicke Panalpina Ind.

Richard Marcovitz

PRICEWATERHOUSECOUPERS

Michael S. Bondy PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Grace Lee

PRICEWATERHOUSFCOOPERS

Larry Chapman PricewaterHouseCoopers

Melanie N. Laskey PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Heather Paterson

Shibley Righton LLP

Harris M. Rosen Shibiry Righton LLP Karen Grant

TRANSUNION CENTRAL AFRICAN

REPUBLIC

Pierre Talom *BEAC - HEADQUARTERS* Jean Noel Bangue

Svlvia Pauline Yawet-

BARREAU DE LA REPUBLIQUE

Maurice Dibert- Dollet

Ministère de la Justice, Maître Ndengou

NICOLAN TLANGAYE LAW FIRM

SDV CENTRAFRIOUF - GROUPE

SDV CENTRAFRIQUE - GROUPE

SDV CENTRAFRIQUT - GROUPE

BAKER & MCKENZIE, WONG &

CABINET THOMAS DINGAMGOTO

FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

lean Marcel Gariador

BEAC - HEADQUARTERS

Thomas Dingamgoto

Jacques Chareyre

Matthias Hubert

FIDAFRICA /

Kengueleoua

Emile Bizon

BOILORE

BOLLORE

BOLIORE

CHAD

LEOW

Pierre Talom

Nathé Amady

Noel Kelembho

Denis Cordel

Centrafricaine

Sebastián Yunge Guerrero, Olivos Novoa y Errazuriz

Diego Ramirez Panalpina Chilf

Sebastian Diaz

PricewaterhouseCoopers María Eugenia Sandoval

Gouet PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Roberto Carlos Rivas

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Hector Carrasco Reyes Superintendencia de Bancos e Instituciones Financieras

Enrique Benitez Urrutia Urrutia & Cia

Jorge Benitez Urrutia Urrutia & Cia Juan Eduardo Palma Jr. Vial y Palma Abogados

Martín del Río Vial y Palma Abogados

CHINA

Rico Chan BAKER & MCKENZIE Brian Barron BAKER & MCKENZIE Alexander Gong BAKER & MCKENZIL Wei Lei CHEN & CO. Ye Hong COUDERI BROTHERS - BEIJING Jie Tang COUDERT BROTHERS - BEIJING Han Shen DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL Li Wang DEHENG LAW OFFICE Kejun Guo DEHENG LAW OFFICE He Jun DEHENG LAW OFFICE Hongli Ma JUN HE LAW OFFICE* Lı Leon JUN HE LAW OFFICE Linfei Liu JUN HE LAW OFFICE Yingdong Wang JUN HE LAW OFFICE Jin Zhong JUN HE LAW OFFICE Yanjua Rebecca Chao JUN HE LAW OFFICE Tianpeng Wang KING AND WOOD PRC LAWYERS

Harry Duprey KING AND WOOD PRC LAWYERS Hanzhou Huang KONHLL SHIPPING CO. LTD.

Rocky Qian Lehman, Lee & Xu

Edward E. Lehman Lehman, Lee & XU

Jack Zhu Maersk (China) Shipping Co. Ltd., Xiamen Branch Ive Van Nuffelen PANALPINA CHINA LTD. Zhang Hongsheng PEOPLE'S BANK OF CHINA Xiaochuan Yang PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Rex Chan PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Maggie Jiang PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Cassie Wong PricewaterHouseCoopers

Sharon Wang Throughway Logistics(shanghai) Co. Lid.

COLOMBIA

Juan Pablo Moreno-Piñeros BRIGARD & URRUITA* Carlos Urrutia Jr. BRIGARD & URRUITA Bernardo Salazar

BRIGARD & URRUHA Pablo Barraquer-Unri

Pablo Barraquer-Uprimny BRIGARI) & URRUTIA Carlos Fradique-Méndez BRIGARD & URRUTIA Carlos Umaña BRIGARD & URRUTIA Margarita Llorente BRIGARD & URRUTIA Dario Cardenas Navas CARDENAS & CARDENAS Gabriela Mancero CAVELIER ABOUADOS Natalia Tobón CAVELIER ABOGADOS Leonardo Calderón COLEGIO DE REGISTRADORES DE INSTRUMENTOS PÚBLICOS DE

COLOMBIA Juan Pablo Ortiz Bravo

COLOMBIAN CUSTOMS Juan Manuel Villaveces

Hollmann COMPUTEC - DATACREDITO

Ignacio Duran

COMPUTEC - DATACREDITO Paula Samper Salazar GOMEZ-PINZON ABOGADOS Patricia Arrázola Bustillo GOMEZ-PINZON LINARES SAMPER SUAREZ VII LAMIL

Felipe Sandoval Villamil Gomez-Pinzon Linares Samper Suarez Villamil

Jinni Pastrana José Lloreda Camacho & Co. Enrique Alvarez

JOSE LLOREDA CAMACHO & CO. Gustavo Tamavo

Jose Lloreda Camacho & Co Santiago Gutiérrez

Jose Lloreda Camacho & Co. Mónica Rolong

Jose Lloreda Čamacho & Co. Camilo Cortés Guarm

Lewin & Wills, Abogados

Luis E. Nieto Nieto ぐ Chalfia ABOGADOS

PANALPINA S.A. Rodrigo Prieto Martinez PINILLA, GONZALEZ & PRIETO Felipe Arbouin PINILLA, GONZÁLEZ & PRIETO Carlos Felipe Pinilla Acevedo PINILLA, GONZALEZ & PRIETO Carlos Lafaurie PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Jean Pierre Lenaerts PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Javier Gonzalez **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Lucero Rodriguez PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Antonio Jose Lafaurie PRICEWALERHOUSECOOPERS Juan Carlos Rocha PRIFTO & CARRIZOSA S.A. Felipe Cuberos PRIETO & CARRIZOSA S.A. Cristina Rueda RAISBECK, LARA, RODRIGUTZ & RUEDA AFFILIATE OF BAKER & McKenzie Jorge Lara RAISBECK, LARA, RODRIGUEZ & RULDA AFFILIATE OF BAKER & **M**CKENZIE Gustavo Flores Sociedad Portuaria Regional DF CARTAGENA Jaime Robledo-Vasquez ZULEIA SUAREZ ARAQUE & IARAMILIO ABOGADOS Gustavo Suarez Camacho ZULETA SUAREZ ARAOUF & JARAMILLO ABOGADOS CONGO, DEM. REP. G. Le Dourain AGETRAF SDV RD CONGU GROUPF BOLLORE R. Rigo GTS EXPRESS Babala Man Gala GTS EXPRESS Paul Kabongo Tshibangu CABINET PAUL KABONGO David Guarnieri FIDAFRICA / PRICEWAI ERHOUSECOOPERS Jacques Chareyre FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Leon Nzimbi FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Fabienne De Greef CABINET D'AVOCATS FABIENNE DE GREEF Bernard Claude

Olga Lucia Ruiz

Bernard Claude CABINET DE MAITRE MBU NE LETANG

Jean Claude Mbaki Siluzaku CABINLI MBAKI FI ASSOCIES Marie-Antoinette Mbombo Ngoyi

Cabinet Mbombo Louman Mpoy Cabinet ML & A Jacques Munday CABINET NTOTO Ambroise Kamukuny TO CABINET TSHIBANGU ET

Associes Lambert S. Diunga

Diunga & Risasi

Philippe Jarry Saga Congo - Bollore Group Denis Cordel

SDV - BOLLORE DTI Jean Marcel Gariador SDV - BOLLORE DTI

Jean Petro Cabini't d'Avocats Jean Petro Jean-Philippe Esseau Cabinet Esseau

Gerard Devillers CABINET GERARD DEVILLERS

Françoise Mpongo CABINET MPONGO

COSTA RICA

Silvia Chacon Bolanos ALFREDO FOURNIER & ASOCIADOS

Carlos Ayon Lacayo Alfredo Fournier & Asociados

Octavio Fournier Alfredo Fournier & Asociados

Alfredo Fournier Beeche ALFREDO FOURNIER & ASOCIADOS

Roger Petersen Alliance Law GROUP, SRL

Luis Manuel Castro BLP Abogados

Mariano Jimenez BLP Abogados

Eduardo Calderón BUHTE EACIO & CAÑAS

Manuel Gonzalez Sanz BUFFTE FACIO & CAÑAS

Rodrigo Oreamuno Buteste Facio & Cañas

Kathya Araya

BUFETE FACIO & CANAS Tomás F. Guardia BUFETE FACIO & CANAS

Alejandro Bettoni Traube Doninelli & Doninelli -

Asesores Juridicos Asociados Mario Quintana

DONINELLI & DONINELLI -Asesores Juridicos Asociados Frederico Peralta

EACIO & CANAS* Carlos Urrego Silva

INDUSTRIAS SAFRAN SA

Daniel de LaGarza J. DE CANO ESTUDIO LEGAL Walter Anderson Salomons JAPDEVA - PORT LIMON

Abraham Stern Lexincorp

Ivannia Mendez Rodríguez Oller Abogados Adrian Obando Aguero OLLER AROGADOS Pedro Oller OLLER ABOGADOS Freddy Fachler PACHECO COTO Alejandro Antillon PACHECO COTO

Ramon Ortega PricewaterhouseCoopers

Alejandro Fernandez PricewaterhouseCoopers

Victor Andrés Gómez PricewarerhouseCoopers

Ludovino Colón Sanchez PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Dagoberto Sibaja Morales Registro Nacional de Costa Rica

Eduardo Montoya Solano Superintendencia General de Entidades Financieras

Luis Monge Sancho TELETEC

Mainor Quesada

Teletec Alex Grossmann

PANALPINA

COTE D'IVOIRE

Patricia N`guessan Cabinet Jean-François Chauveau

Jean-François Chauveau Cabinet Jean-François Chauveau

Nadia Vanie Cabinet N'Goan, Asman & Associes

Georges N'Goan Cabinet N'Goan, Asman & Associes

Jean-Pierre Elisha ELISH4 & ASSOCIES

Nathalie Assou Elisha & Associes

Colette Kacoutie

FADIKA-DELAFOSSE-KACOUTIE ANTHONY Simon Silue Dognima

Simon Silue Dognima Fadika-DFLaFOSSE-KaCoutie Anthony

Jacques Chareyre FIDAFRICA / PricewaferHouseCoopers

Dominique Taty FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Edouard Messou FIDAFRICA / PricewaterHouseCoopers

Alice Anthony-Diomande M. FADIKA-DELAFOSSE, K.

EADIKA ET C. KACOUTIE Fadika Karim

M. Fadika-Delafosse, K. Fadika et C. Kacouth

Leon Désiré Zalo Ministere d'Etai, Ministere de l'Agriculture

Jerome Beseme SAGA CI Ghislane Moise - Bazie SCPA KONATE, MOISE-BAZH & Koyo

Gerard Kone Dogbenin SCPA NAMBEYA-DOGBEMIN EI ASSOCIES

Denis Cordel BOLLORE DTI

Jean Marcel Gariador BOLIORE DTI

François Nare CENTRALL DES RISQUES DE L'UNION MONTTAIRL OUESI AFRICAINI

CROATIA

Robert Brugger PANALPINA WELTTRANSPORT GMBH

Stefan Stockinger WOLF THEISS

Zoran Bohacek CROATIAN BANKING ASSOCIATION

Dunia Hitrec FRNST & YOUNG

Fugen Zadravec EUGEN ZADRAVIC LAW FIRM

Marijan Hanzekovic HANZEROVIC & RADAKOVIC

Lidija Hanzek HROK

Stefanija Cukman JURIC LAW OFFICES

Sania Iuric JURIC LAW OFFICES

Marna Haramija KORPLE & HARAMIJA

Irina Jelcic Husein LAW FIRM HANZEKOVIC, RADAKONIC & PARTNERS

Hrvoie Vidan LAW OFFICE VIDAN, IN COOPERATION WITH WOLF THEISS

Zvonko Nogolica LAW OFFICES NOGOLICA

Fran Marovic MINISTRY OF ECONOMY, LABOUR AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP

Zlatko Grabar MINISTRY OF FINANCE

Margita Kis POROBIJA & POROBIJA LAW FIRM

Iva Torik POROBIJA & POROBIJA LAW FIRM Sanja Porobija

POROBIJA & POROBIJA LAW FIRM Iain McGuire

PRICEWALERHOUSECOOPERS

Tatjana Arapinac PRICEWAIFRHOUSECOOPERS

Gordan Rotkvič PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Ivo Bijeljč

PRICEWATERHOUSFCOOPERS

Mirna Kette PRICEWWERHOUSECOOPLRS

Ana Sihtar SHITAR ALTORNEYS AT LAW

Lidia Stopfer VUKMIR LAW OFFICE Taria Krehic-Duranovic WOLF THESS Boian Fras Žurič i Pakineri Ivan Vukas ŽURIČ I PARTNERI

Beata Glinska Kovac Žurić i Partneri **CZECH REPUBLIC**

Miroslava Kybalova AMBRUZ & DARK ADVOKATI

VOS. Libor Basl

BAKER & MCKENZIE Andrea Korpasova

BAKER & MCKENZIE Jana Muchyova BAKER & MCKENZIF Petr Kucera CCB - CZECH BANKING CREDIT

BUREAU Jarmila Musilova CZECH NATIONAL BANK Jorg Nurnberger

DLA WEISS-TESSBACH Vit Horaček

GLATZOVA & CO. LAW OFFICES PRAGUT Katerina Wlodarczykova GLATZOVA & CO. LAW OFFICES

PRAGUE Růžena Trojánková LINKLATERS & ALLIANCE

Ludek Vrána LINKLATERS & ALITANCE

Martina Pavelkova

PANALPINA CZECH S.R.O. Robert Brugger

PANALPINA WELTTRANSPORT GMBH Vladimir Ambruz

PETERKA & PARINERS V.O.S. Pavla Prikrylova

PETERKA & PARINERS V.O.S. Gabriela Hájková

PETERKA & PARTNERS V.O.S. liri Cernv

PETERKA & PARTNERS V.O.S. David Hora

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Tomas Liptak

PRICEWAIERHOUSECOOPERS Lenka Mrazova

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Stephen B. Booth

PRICEWATERHOUSLCOOPERS Martin Divis

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Natasa Randlová

PROCHAZKA RANDI KUBR, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Sarka Jandova PROCHAZKA RANDL KUBR, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Jarmila Hanzalova PROCHAZKA RANDI. KUBR, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Daniel Rosicky PROCHAZKA RANDL KUBR,

MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Iarmila Bilkova PROCHAZKA RANDL KUBR, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Dagmar Novakova PROCHAZKA RANDL KUBR, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Zdenek Rosicky Squire, Sanders & Dempsey

Michal Koranda VEJMELKA & WUNSCH Erik Steger

WOLF THEISS DENMARK

Ole Borch BECH-BRUUN DRAGSTED LAW FIRM Ulla Trolle CENTRAL CUSTOMS AND TAX

ADMINISTRATION Ionas Bøgelund GORRISSEN FEDERSPIEL

KIERKEGAARD Mogens Ebeling

IONAS BRUUN

Christian Andersen IONAS BRUUN

Christian Guldmann KROMANN REUMERT

Louise Krarup Simonsen KROMANN REUMERT

Mette Hedelund Thomasen KROMANN REUMERT

Jørgen B. lepsen

KROMANN REUMERT Henrik Stenbierre

KROMANN REUMERT Jeppe Buskov

KROMANN REUMERT

Jorgen Kjærgaard Madsen KROMANN REUMERT

Kurt Skovlund KROMANN REUMERT

Jens Steen Jensen KROMANN REUMERT

Knud Villemoes Hansen NATIONAL SURVEY AND CADANIRF - DENMARK / KORT-OG MATRIKELSTYRELSEN

Elsebeth Aaes-Jørgensen NORBOM & VINDING, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Thomas Olsen PANALPINA DENMARK

Jakob Huttel Larsen PHILIP & PARINERE

Claus Kaare Pedersen PHILIP & PARINERE

Eivind Einersen PHILIP & PARTNERF

Mikael Stenstrup

PRICEWALLRHOUSECOOPERS Carsten Melgaard

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Anette Henriksen

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Karin L. Nielsen PRICEWATERHOUSE COOPERS

Arne Gehring

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Torben Wolsted PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Bente Skovgaard Risvig

RKI KREDIT INFORMATION A/S (EXPERIAN DENMARK) Joern S. Hansen

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Wilson Gomez Ramirez

SUPREMA CORTE DE JUSTICIA

SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCON

Elisa Pimentel

Wendy Sanchez

ECUADOR

Mauricio Acosta

Ines Baldeon

ASOCIADOS

ABOGADOS

ABOGADOS

Falconi Puig

Bustamante

lose Duran

MODA

Carlos Enriquez

Heinz Moeller Freile

Santiago Terán Muñoz

Fernando Coral

Jorge Paz Durini

PAZ & HOROWITZ

Jacob R. Hidrowoh

Hernan Santacruz

lose Rumazo Arcos

Rodrigo Jijon

José M. Pérez

Sandra Reed

PANALPINA

Diego Cabezas-Klaere

Xavier Amador Pino

CABEZAS & CABEZAS-KLAERE

CONSULTORIS ESTRAFEGICOS

ESTIDIO JURIDICO AMADOR

María de los Angeles Roman

Lucía Cordero-Ledergerber

FALCONI PUIG ABOGADOS

FALCONI PUIG ABOGADOS

Juan Carlos Gallegos

GALLEGOS & VALAREZO

Paulina Montesdeoca De

Macias Hurtado & Macias

MOELLER, GOMEZ-LINCE & CIA

MOFLLER, GÓMEZ-LINCE & CÍA

MOELLER, GOMEZ-LINCE & CIA

Perez Bustamente & Ponce*

PEREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE

PEREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE

PEREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE

PEREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE

PÉREZ, BUSLAMANTE Y PONCE

PEREZ, BUSTAMAN'LE Y PONCE

PEREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE

PEREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS E

Silvia Hidalgo-Pallares

Bruno Pineda-Cordero

Juan Manuel Marchan

I uciano Almeida

Rodrigo Espinosa

Pablo Aguirre

SEGUROS

Ricardo Velasco Cuesta

FABARA & COMPAÑIA

FABARA & COMPANIA

TRANSUNION

ACOS

169

RKI KREDIT INFORMATION A/S (EXPERIAN DENMARK)

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

Cecilio Santana Silvestre Juan Suero AARON SUERO & PFDERSINI Iosé Antonio Logroño Morales Adams Guzman & Asociados Pablo Gonzalez Tapia BIAGGI & MESSINA

Maria Portes CASTILLO Y CASTILLO Praxedes J. Castillo Baez

CASTILLO Y CASTILLO Juan C. Miranda

COVADONGA

Xavier Marra

DHIMES & MARRA

Fabio Guzman

GUZMAN ARIZA

Sarah de León

FERNANDEZ

FERNANDEZ

FERNANDEZ

FERNANDE2

PANALPINA

Claudia Roca

Wilfredo Senior

HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ &

HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ &

HEADRK K RIZIK ALVAREZ &

Mary Fernandez Rodríguez

HEADRICK RIZIK AIVAREZ &

Juan Carlos De Moya Chico

LANGA & ABINADER

Carolina Almonte

Philippe Lescuras

Hipolito Herrera V.

Luis Pellerano

Eduardo Trueba

Pellerano & Herrera*

PELLERANO & HERRERA

Pellerano & Herrera

Flavia Baez de George

Pellerano & HFRRERA

Alejandro Fernandez

Ramon Ortega

Ludovino Colón Sánchez

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSLCOOPERS

Robinson Cuello Shanlate

Armando P. Henriquez

STHE HELTOR DAVIS PINA

Joanna M. Bonnelly Ginebra

Luis Andres Montes De Oca

SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS

PRIETO & GAMUNDI, LTD.

STEEL HECTOR DAVIS PENA

PRIETO & GAMUNDI, LTD.

Programa de Modernización

DE LA IURISDICCIÓN DE TIERRAS

MAFRSK DOMINICANA

Miriam Rosales SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS E SEGUROS

EGYPT

Adel Kheir Adel Kheir Law Office Addel Aal Aly

AFIFI WORLD TRANSPORT Maha Hassan AFIFI WORLD TRANSPORT

Egyptian Customs Authority Claude Huet PANALPINA

Karim Adel Kamel ADEI KAMEL & ASSOCIATES Tarek El-Marsafawy ADEL KAMEL & ASSOCIATES

Nabila Mohamed Habashy Ali Central Bank of Egypt

Yehia H El Bably El BABLY LAW FIRM

Ashraf Elibrachy Ibrachy & Dermarkar

Ingy Rasekh Mena Associates

Reinhard Klarmann Mena Associates

Sadeyaa Ibrahim Ministry of Manpower and

MIGRATION Alaa Amer

MINISTRY OF MANPOWER AND MIGRATION

Samir El Tagy Ministry of Manpower and Migration

Ashraf Nadoury Nadoury & Nahas Law

Ahmed Abdel Reheem Nadoury & Nahas Law OFFICES

Amr ElMonayer PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS / MANSOUR & CO.

Mohamed Fahim PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS / MANSOUR & CO.

Heather Carpenter PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS / MANSOUR & CO.

Sherif Mansour PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS / MANSOUR & CO.

Rania Bata SARW4T A. SHAHID LAW FIRM

Girgis Abd El-Shahid SARWAT A. SHAHID LAW FIRM

Mahmoud Shedid Shalakany Law Office*

Mohamed Serry SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE

Mona Zulficar

SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE Sara Hinton

TROWERS & HAMLINS Daniel MacSweeney TROWERS & HAMLINS

Stephan Jàger KRAUSS <u>AMERELLER</u> HENKENBORG

EL SALVADOR

Maria Eugenia Olmedo de Castaneda ACZALAW

Monica Guadalupe Pineda Machuca *ACZALAW* Francisco Eduardo Portillo

COMISIÓN EJECUTIVA PORTUARIA AUTANOMA Ricardo A. Cevallos

DELGADO & CEVALLOS Carlos Oviedo DELGADO & CEVALLOS Danilo Rodríguez Villamil

ESPINO, NIETO, UMANA & ASSOCIADOS Luis Miguel Espino

ESPINO, NIETO, UMAÑA & Associados Francisco Armando Arias

Rivera E.A. ARIAS & MUNOZ

Roberta Gallardo F.A. Arias & Muñoz

Juan Carlos Herrera

F.A. Arias & Munoz Miriam Eleana Mixco Reyna

GOLD SERVICE S.A. DE C.V. Mauricio Melhado

GOLD SERVICE S.A. DE C.V. Ana Patricia Portillo Reyes

GUANDIQUE SEGOVIA QUINTANILLA Manuel Telles Suvillaga

LEXINCORP Alex Grossmann

PANALPINA

Alejandro Fernandez PricewaterhouseCoopers Ludovino Colón Sánchez

PricewaterHouseCoopers Ramon Ortega

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

ROMERO PINEDA & ASOCIADOS* Antonio R Mendez Llort

ROMERO PINEDA & ASOCIADOS Thelma Dinora Lizama de Osorio

Superintendencia del Sistema Financiero

Astrud María Meléndez TransUnion

ERITREA

Berhane Gila-Michael BERHANE GILA-MICHAEL LAW FIRM Rahel Abera BERHANE GILA-MICHAEL LAW FIRM Tekeste Mesghenna MTD ENTERPRISES PLC Michael Ioseph

PricewalerhouseCoopers

ESTONIA

Aleksei Pali Chr. Jensen Eesti AS Risto Koovit Corvus Grupp Transport

Andres Juss ESTONIAN LAND BOARD lmanta Hött Hough, Hubner, Hutt & PARTNER Igr Kostjuk Hough, Hubner, Huit & PARTNERS Veiko Meos KREDHDHNFO AS Silia Holsmer LAW FIRM MODY & HAAL GLIMSTEDT Jaan Lindmae LAW OFFICE TARK & CO. Kristi Kullerkup LAW OFFICE TARK & CO. Marko Mehilane LEPIK & LUHAAAR Peeter Levik LEPIK & LUHAAAR Anton Sigal LEPIK & LUHAAAR Vesse Võhma LEPIK & LUHAAAR Karolina Ullman MAGNUSSON WAHLIN OVIST Sianbrook Advokatbyrå Eesti FILIAAL Heili Haabu MOQUET BORDE & Associes RAIDLA & PARTNERS Sven Papp MOQUET BORDE & ASSOCIES RAIDLA & PARTNERS Villi Tõntson PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Aare Kurist PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Cameron Greaves PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Raino Paron RAIDLA & PARTNERS Marit Toom RAIDLA & PARINERS Kristi Reinson RAIDLA & PARTNERS Toomas Vaher RAIDLA & PARTNERS Karin Madisson SORAINEN LAW OFFICES Katrin Sarap SORAINEN LAW OFFICES Lea Liigus SORAINEN LAW OFFICES Jane Eespõld SORAINEN LAW OFFICES Kaido Loor SORAINEN LAW OFFICES **ETHIOPIA** Bekure Assefa BEKURE ASSEEA LAW OFFICE Berhane Ghebray BERHANE GHEBRAY AND ASSOCIATES

Mekuria Tafassa Fitanrari Tafassa Legal firm Habtu Wolde Kiros Habiu and Associates Solomon Gizaw HST, Chartered Certified Accountants

Shimelise Eshete MIDROC CONSTRUCTION ETHIOPIA PLC

Damtew Demiss Ethiopian Customs Authority

Dawit Melaku Zereyad Group Transit and Forwarding PLC

Tadesse Kiros Tadess, GETachew & Abate Law Office

Aberra Ketsela Tameru Wondm Agegnehu Law Offices

Tameru Wondm Agegnehu TAMERU WONDM AGEGNEHU LAW OFFICES

Teshome Gabre-Mariam Bokan

Teshome Gabre-Mariam Law Firm

FIJ

Anthea S. Fong CROMPTONS Mohini Prasad

Cromptons Paul McDonnell

CROMPTONS Delores Elliott

Databureau, Baycorp Advantage

Williams Wylie Clarke Howards Law

Ana Rasovo Howards Law

Ramesh Prakash

HOWARDS LAW Shavne Sorby

HOWARDS LAW

Mitchel Keil Mitchell, Keil & Associates Richard Krishnan Naidu

MUNRO LEYS Nehla Basawaiya

MUNRO LEYS Florence Fenton

MUNRO LEYS

John Ridgway Pacific Legal Network

Chirk Yam PricewaterhouseCoopers

Jenny Seeto PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Abdul Hassan University of the South

Pacific Krishn Shah

UNIVERSITY OF THE SOUTH PACIFIC Spike Boydell

UNIVERSITY OF THE SOUTH PACIFIC

FINLAND

Sami Rautiainen Castren & Snellman Claudio Busi Castren & Snellman Pekka Jaatinen Castren & Snellman

Jenni Huplı Castren & Sneilman

Jyri Makela Confederation of Finnish Construction Industries

Markku Aaltonen Confereration of Finnish Construction Industries

Jukka-Pekka Miettinen Finnish National Board of Customs

Irmeli Timonen Hannes Snellman

HANNES SNELLMAN

HEDMAN OSBORNE CLARKE Alliance

Marja Ramm-Schmidt

NATIONAL LAND SURVEY OF

NATIONAL LAND SURVEY OF

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Roschier Holmberg Attorneys Ltd., * member of

ATTORNEYS LTD., MEMBER OF IUS

ABORIS

Markku Hakkarainen

Susanna Tiihonen

Andrei Novitsky

KROGERUS & CO.

KROGERUS & CO.

Tuomas Lukkarinen

Mikko Mali

FINLAND

FINLAND.

Pekka Halme

Ville Sulonen

Kai Koivula

Ilkka Kajas

OY - SVH

OY - SVH

IUS LABORIS

LABORIS

LABORIS

LABORIS

WASELIUS

Sini Sioni

WASELIUS

WASELIUS

Gisela Knuts

Sami Pauni

Eva Nordman

ROSCHIER HOLMBERG

Roschier Holmberg

Micaela Thorström

Roschier Holmberg

ROSCHIER HOLMBERG

Gunnar Westerlund

Tomas Lindholm

Roschier-Holmberg &

Roschier-Holmberg & Waselius

Roschier-Holmberg &

Roschier-Holmberg 🔄

Carita Wallgren

PANALPINA FINLAND

PANALPINA FINLAND

Janne Simula

HANNES SNELLMAN Patrik Lindfors Bernt Juthstrom ROSCHIER-HOLMBERG & WASELIUS

Helena Viita Roschier-Holmberg & Waselius

Juuso Jokela Suomen Asiakantieto Oy -Finska

Mikko Parjanne Suomen Asiakastieto Oy -Finska

Pauline Koskelo The SUPREME COURT OF FINLAND Mikko Eerola WASHUS & WISI

FRANCE

Bertrand Delaunay Ashurst Morris Crisp Nicolas Barberis Ashurst Morris Crisp

Laurent Barbara BAKER & MCKENZIL

Philippe Prevost BANQUE DE FRANCE

Philippe Chapuis BARTHELEMY ET ASSOCIES, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Daniel Lapres CABINET D'AVOCATS

Safouen Ben Abdallah CABINET D'AVOCATS SERRES & ASSOCIALES

Florence Grillier-Rousseau Delotte & Touche Juridiqui El Fiscal Stéphanie Chatelon

DEFORTH & TOUCHE JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL

Delphine Legras Dubarry LE Douarin Veil

Fréderique Chifflot Bourgeois ETIENNE PICHAT ALLEZ & ASSOCIES

François Fauvet Euuvet, La Giraudiere & Associes

Nadia Gourlais FOLIA

Anne Delerable GIDF LOYREITE NOUEL* David Malamed

GIDE LOYRETTE NOCH

GIDE LOVRETTE NOUL Philippe Xavier-Bender

Gibe LOYRFTTE NOCEL François Zimeray

JEANTET ASSOCIES Marc Jobert

Jobert & Associts Carol Khoury

JONES DAY Jean-Louis Martin

JONES DAY Geraldine Malinge KLEIN-GODDARD ASSOCIES

Christophe Leclere

Aurélie Besloin Lantwell & Associes Jennifer Juvenal Lantwell & Associes Albane Henry de Villeneuve Lantwell & Associes

Arnaud Chastel Landwett & Associes

Jean-Pierre Fiquet Landwell & Associes Odile Lautard Ministere des affaires

SOCIALES, DU TRAVAIL ET DE LA SOLIDARIE Patrick Le Moal MINISTERE DES AFFAIRES

SOCIALES, DU TRAVAIL ET DE LA SOLIDARIE

Andrew Booth RIBA DUAOB Christopher Baker SKADDEN, ARPS, SLAIE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

Anne Creelman Vatifr & Associes Bernard Piot

Vict President Honoraire du tribunal de Commerce de Paris

Xavier-Philippe Gruwez XP Legal International Law Firm

GEORGIA

Sergio Purin Ahlers

Giorgi Begiashvili Begiashvili & Co. Avto Namicheishvili

Beglashvill & Co. Zaza Bibilashvili

BGI LEGAL Tamara Tevdoradze

BGI LEGAL Eka Aleksidze EY Law

Irakli Adeishuvili

Georgian Legal Partnership Dimitri Kitoshvili

GEORGIAN LEGAL PARTNERSHIP

GEORGIAN LEGAL PARTNERSHIP

Aieti Kukava JSC Creditinfo Georgia Joseph Salukvadze

KFW FINANCED "CADASTRE AND LAND REGISTER PROJECT" Roin Migriauli

Law Office "Migriauli & Partners"

Victor Kipiani Mgaloblishvili, Kipiani, Dzidziguri

Vakhtang Shevardnadze Mgaloblishvili, Kipiani, Dzidziguri

Lela Shatirishvili Tbilisi Title Company

Lia Gogilashvili Transwars Ltd. 45 agent for P&O Nedlloyd

> Arif Guliyev PricewaterhouseCoopers

Bob Jurik PricewaterhouseCoopers Rizvan Gubiyev PricewaterhouseCoopers Mushfig Aliyev

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Dave Sharp

REGISTERS OF SCOTLAND

GERMANY

Oliver Waldburg Allen & Overy Peter Hoegen Allen & Overy

Andrea Hosenfeld Ashurst

Gunter Schneiders BUNDESAMT FUR FINANZEN Bernd Oberbossel

BUNDESAMT FUR FINANZEN Werner M. Mues

C-B-H RECHTSANWALIE, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Manfred Heinrich Deutsche Bundesbank

Jennifer Bierly-Seipp GASSNER STOCKMANN & KOLLEGEN

Christof Kautzsch HAARMANN HEMMELRATH ぐ PARTNER

Friedrich Tobias Schoene Hogan & Hartson Raue LLP

Sılke Wollgarten Hogan & Hartson Raue LLP

Michael Unkelbach *Kanzlei Unkelbach* Thomas Miller

KROHN RECHTSANWALTE Götz-Sebastian Hok

Law Firm Dr. Hok, Stieglmeier & Kollegen

Manon Brindöpke Linklaters Oppenhoff ぐ Rabler

Klaus Gunther LINKLATERS OPPENHOFF & RADLER

Bernard Khun Lovells

Klaus Berner NORR STIEFENHOFER LUTZ LAW FIRM*

Stefan Heyder Norr Stiefenhofer Luiz Law Firm

Thomas Schulz Norr Stiefenhofer Lutz Law Firm

Markus Stadler Norr Stieffnhofer Lutz Law

FIRM Heike Pospiech NORR STIEFENHOFER LUIZ LAW

Michael Molitoris Norr Stiefenhofer Lutz Law Firm

Heiko Vogt Panai pina Welttransport GmbH

FIRM

Raphael Söhlke Pollath & Partners Dieter Endres Pricewaterhoust-Coopers AG WPG ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 171

UNATRAC C/U TRACTOR &

EQUIPMENT GHANA LTD.

XDSĎATA GHANA LTD.

Georgios B. Bazinas

Yanos Gramatidis

Bahas, Gramatidis &

Dimitris E. Paraskevas

IKRP ROKAS & PARTNERS

IKRP ROKAS & PARTNERS

IKRP ROKAS & PARINERS

KYRIAKIDES - GEOGROPOULOS

Kyrlakides - Geogropoulos

KYRIAKIDES - GEOGROPOULOS

KYRIAKIDES - GEORGOPOULOS

M. & P. BERNITSAS LAW OFFICES

M. & P. BERNITSAS LAW OFFICES

Alkistis Christofilou

Vasiliki Tsoumelea

John C. Kvriakides

LAW FIRM

LAW FIRM

LAW FIRM

LAW FIRM

Vicky Xourafa

KARATZAS & PARINERS

Effie G. Mitsopoulou

Poulakou Chryssiis

Panayotis Bernitsas

Yannis Kourniotis

Dimitris Katsadakis

Anna Kazantzidou

Schina, Economou

Freddy Yatracou

Vassiliki Strantzia

Kleanthis Roussos

ROUSSOS LAW FIRM

ROUSSOS LAW FIRM

Ennie Dodou

TIRESLAS

Georgios Ladogiannis

SARANTITIS & PARTNERS

Konstantinos Mellios

SARANTII'IS & PARTNERS

Victoria Zachopoulou

ZEPOS & YANNOPOULOS*

ZEPOS & YANNOPOULOS

ZEPOS & YANNOPOULOS

Athina Skolarikou

Nicholas Kontizas

Vassiliki Lazarakou

LABORIS

George Samothrakis

FORWARDERS

Orphee Beinoglou Intl.

PANAGOPOLIOS, VAINANIDIS,

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Eirini Eleftheria Galinou

PROFESSOR K. KREMALIS &

PARTNERS, MEMBER OF IUS

PWC BUSINESS SOLUTIONS S.A.

ELIAS SP. PARASKEVAS

Spyridon Tsallas

Maira Galani

ANAGNOSTOPOULOS BAZINAS

Issac Ouarshie

George Ahiafor

GREECE

FIEIS

PARTNERS

Dirk Baumgardt PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AG WPG

Pia Dorfmueller PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AG WPG

Wulf Bach SCHUEA

Holger Thomas SJ Berwin Knopf Tulloch Steininger

Markus Jakoby Velten Franz Jakoby

Wolfgang Jakob Weitnauer

Henning Berger White & Case

Wilhelm Zeddies Working Committee of the Surveying Authorities

GHANA

Kojo Bentsi-Enchill BENTSI-ENCHILL & LETSA*

Rosa Kudoadzi Bentsi-Enchill & Letsa

Reginald Bannerman Bruce-Lyle Bannerman ぐ Thompson

D.A.K. Mensah Central Databank

Lawrence Otto Fugar & Company

William E. Fugar

Fugar & Company David A. Hesse

Hesse & Larsey Law Firm Stella Acwerth Land Title Registry

Wilfred Anim-Odame

LAND VALUATION BOARD

LARYEA, LARYEA & CO. P.C.

Mary Mitchelle Gounder

MINISTRY OF FINANCE &

ECONOMIC PLANNING

PANALPINA GHANA LTD.

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

SAM OKUDZETO & ASSOCIATES

SAMLON CONSTRUCTION GH.

MAERSK LOGISTICS GHANA LTD.

Kenneth D. Larvea

LAW TRUST COMPANY

Larry Adjetev

Fred Quarshie

Stefan Peter

George Kwatia

Darcy White

Charles Egan

Shaira Adamalı

Nene Amegatcher Sam Okudzeto & Associates

Samuel L'Quartey

Sam Okudzeto

LTD.

Emmanuela Truli ZEPOS & YANNOPOULOS Ilias Koimtzoglou ZEPOS & YANNOPOULOS Sonia Melengou ZEPOS & YANNOPOULOS

GUATEMALA

Ruby Asturias ACZALAW

Jorge Rolando Barrios BONILLA, MONTANO & TORIELLO

Luis Rene Pellecer Lopez CARRILLO & ASOCIADOS Alfonso Carrillo CARRIILO & ASOCIADOS

Rodrigo Callejas Aquino CARRILLO & ASOCIADOS

Juan Pablo Cardenas Villamar DHV CONSULTANTS

Mario Adolfo Bucaro F. DIAZ-DURAN & ASOCIADOS

Juan Pablo Carrasco de Groote

DIAZ-DURÁN & ASOCIADOS Juan Manuel Diaz-Durán

DIAZ-DURÁN & ASOCIADOS

Gabriela Tenenbaum LEXINCORP

Edgar Ruiz

LEXINCORP

Claudia Pereira

MAYORA & MAYORA* Vanessa Castro Miron

MAYORA & MAYORA Ana Rosa Alfaro Altuve

MAYORA & MAYORA

Eduardo Mayora Dawe MAYORA & MAYORA

Eduardo Dawe MAYORA & MAYORA

Ana Lucía Umaña MAYORA & MAYORA

Victor Orantes PRESA, POLANCO, OUEVEDO. ORANTES & SISNIEGA

Diego Polanco PRESA, POLANCO, QUEVEDO, ORANTES & SISNIEGA

Ludovino Colón Sánchez **PRICEWAI'ERHOUSECOOPERS**

Alejandro Fernandez **PRICEWAIERHOUSECOOPERS**

Ramon Ortega PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Rodolfo Fuentes PROTECTORA DE CREDITO COMERCIAL

Iulio Eduardo Camey Silva REGISTRO GENERAL DE LA

PROPRIEDAD DE GUATEMALA Alfredo Rodriguez-Mahuad RODRIGUEZ, ARCHILA,

CASTELLANOS, SOLARES & AGUILAR, S.C. Carlos González Castellanos

RODRIGUEZ, ARCHILA, CASTELLANOS, SOLARES & AGUILAR, S.C.

Svlvia Ruiz Hochstetter Ruiz Skinner-Kiee & Ruiz Juan Pedro Falla RUIZ SKINNER-KLEE & RUIZ Aida Ruiz TEXTILES AMATITIÁN

Enedland Jabouin

DESCARDES

Robert Laforest

CABINET LISSADE

CABINET LISSADE

CABINET SALES

Joseph Nadal

J. NADAL S.A.

Raoul Celestin

I. NADAL S.A.

Arguello

ACZALAW

ACZALAW

Tania Casco

BUFFTF DURON

Dino Rietti

HONDURAS

Y SEGUROS

HONDURAS

Carmen Chavez

Ianeth Castañeda

Lopez

Jorge Omar Casco

HONDURAS

D'HAIL

Louis Gary Lissade

Jean Frederic Sales

Marc Hebert Ignace

HUDICOURT-WOOLLEY

BANQUE DE LA REPUBLIQUE

Chantal Hudicourt-Ewald

LES ENTREPRISES COMMERCIALES

LES ENTREPRISES COMMERCIALES

Enrique Rodriguez Burchard

ABOGADOS Y ASESORES SRL

Armida Maria Lopez de

Gustavo Martin Arguello

BUFETF CASCO & ASOCIADOS

BUFFTE CASCO & ASOCIADOS

Francisco Guillermo Durón

Laureano Gutierrez Falla

BUFETE GUITERREZ FALLA

BUFETE THERINO Y ASOCIADOS

Colligio de Arquitecios de

COMISION NACIONAL DE BANCOS

. Cropa Panalpina Tegucigai pa

Dennis Matamoros Batson

Juan Carlos Mejia Cotto

I. R. PAZ & ASOCIADOS

I.R. PAZ & ASOCIADOS

Rene Lopez Rodezno

MEDRANO Y MEDRANO

ABOGADOS Y NOTARIOS

Enrique Ortez Sequeira

Arturo Medrano

LOPEZ RODEZNO & ASOCIADOS

ORTEZ SEQUEIRA & ASSOCIATES

José Rafael Rivera Ferrari

INSTITUTO DE LA PROPIEDAD

F.A. ARIAN & MUNOZ

Iose Ramon Paz

Allan Elvir

LEXINCORI

José Dolores Tijerino

Salim Succar

CABINET LAFOREST

CABINET JABOIN REGIS

GUINEA

Jacques Charevre FIDAFRICA / PRICEWAI'ERHOUSECOOPERS Dominique Taty

FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Kolv Kourouma

Cheick Mohamed Tidjane Sylla

BANQUE CENTRALE - B.C.R.G. Boubacar Barry

BOUBACAR BARRY LAW FIRM Alpha Bakar Barry CABINET ME ALPHA BAKAR

BARRY Alpha Abdoulaye Diallo DIALLO ET DIALIO Ibrahima Diakite

LANDNET Robert Bal MAERSK GUINEL S.A. Paphiah Selvakumar

MAERSK LOGISTICS GUINEE SA Alpha Ibrahima

MAERSK LOGISTICS GUINEE SA

GUYANA

Roger Yearwood BRITTON, HAMILTON & ADAMS Josephine Whitehead CAMERON & SHEPHERD Raphael Trotman CHAPMAN & TROTMAN Desmond Correia CORREIA & CORREIA LID. G. Thomside GUYANA NATIONAL SHIPPING CORPORATION LTD. Robin S. Stoby HUGHES, FIELD AND STORY Colin Murray COASTAL CONSTRUCTION

SFRVICES K.A. Juman-Yessi K. A. IUMAN-YASSIN IUMAN-YASSIN & ASSOCIATES

William Sampson LINCOLN CHAMBERS &

Associates (William H. SAMPSON) Christopher Ram RAM & MCRAE

Singh, Doodnauth Law Firm

HAITI

Gaylor Esper Marc Hebert Ignace BANQUE DI. LA REPUBLIQUE p'HAITI Jean Baptiste Brown BROWN LAW FIRM Steve Christian Brown

BROWN LAW FIRM

Rexford Jackson

Maria Elena Matute Cruz PALACIO DE JUSTICIA

Alejandro Fernandez **PRICEWAILRHOUSECOOPERS**

Ramon Ortega PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Ludovino Colón Sánchez PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Estela Chavez TRANSUNION

Roberto Zacarias Ir Zacarias Aguii ar & Asociados

HONG KONG. CHINA

Ranbir Singh FIRST CARGO (HK) LID. Cliff Chow MAERSK HONG KONG LIMITED Alex Liu The Government of the Hong Kong Special ADMINISTRATIVE REGION OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA Stephen Vine ANGELA WANG & CO. Brian Barron BAKER & MCKENZIE Patrick Wong JOHNSON STOKES & MASTER* Tammy Goh **JOHNSON STOKES & MASIER** F.K. Au JOHNSON STOKES & MASTER Glenda Fung JOHNSON STOKES & MASTER Thomas So IOHNSON STOKES & MASTER Raymond Wong JOHNSON STOKES & MASTER Nina Sze JOHNSON STOKES & MASTER

Rod Houng-Lee PRICEWATTRHOUSECOOPERS

Raymond Wong PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Nicholas Chan Squirf, Sanders & Dempsey

Sara Tong TEMPLE CHAMBERS

Paul Fox THE HONG KONG POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, HUNG HOM

Albert P.C. Chan THE HONG KONG POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, HUNG HOM

James Wong THE HONG KONG POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, HUNG HOM

Cindy Lam THE LAND REGISTRY

Magdalena Kwan TRANSUNION INFORMATION SERVICES LIMITED

Charles D. Booth UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG

HUNGARY

Zsuzsanna Cseri BARD CSERI AND PARTNERS Adam Petho BISZ RI. Csaba Szőke BOGSCH & PARINERS

Anna Gaspar BUILD & ECON HUNGARY Zoltan Krausz

BUILD & FCON HUNGARY Tamas Saad

BUILD & ECON HUNGARY Csaha Szabó

DESSEMBLY DÁVIDES TARSAL Ügyvedi Iroda

Andrea Jádi Németh HAARMANN HEMMELRATH & PARTNER

Krisztian Bácsi HUNGARIAN CUSTOMS AND FINANCE GUARD

Gabor Felsen KOVES CLIFFORD CHANCE

András Bekes MINISTRY OF EMPLOYMENT AND LABOUR

Péter Berethalmi NAGY ES TRÓCSANYI LAW OFFICE*

Csaba Pigler NAGY ES TROCSÁNYI LAW OFFICE

Túri Melinda NALY IS TROCSANYI LAW OFFICE

Gábor Toth OPPENHEIM ÈS TÀRSAL FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS Dering

Klara Oppenheim OPPENHEIM ES TARSAI, FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERING

Robert Brugger PANALPINA WELTTRANSPORT

GMBH Atilla Katkics

PANALPINA MAGYARORSZAG KET. Dora Mathe

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Peter Mihaly PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Gabriella Erdos PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Tibor Torok PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Peter Gerendasi PRICEWAIERHOUSECOOPERS

Agnes Szent-Ivany SÁNFIOR SZEGEDI SZENT-IVANY & Комакомі

András Szecskay SZECSKAY

ICELAND

Bragi Ragnarsson EIMSKIPAFELAG ÍSLANDS EHF Margrét Hauksdóttir EASTEIGNAMAT RIKISINS - THE LAND REGISTRY OF ICELAND Skuli Th. Fjeldsted FIELDSTED, BLONDAL & Fieldsted Karl F. Garòarsson ICFLAND CUSTOMS Kolbeinn Kolbeinsson ISTAK Loftur Árnason ISTAK Gunnar Jonsson JONSSON & HALL

Michael Meghen

David O'Donohoe

Ionathan Sheehan

Melissa Jennings

ARTHUR CON

ARTHUR COX

ARTHUR COX

ARTHUR COV

ARTHUR COX

ARTHUR COV

Ted Williams

ARTHUR COX

ARTHUR COX

Gerard Coll

Steven Hegarty

Gavin Doherty

Gavin Simons

Barry O'Neill

Sinead Power

IRISH CREDIT BUREAU

Seamus Tighearnaigh

L. K. Shields, Solicitors, Member of Ius Laboris

L. K. SHIELDS, SOLICITORS,

MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

LK Shields Solicitors, Member of Ics Laboris

LK Shields Solicitors.

MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

MASON HAYES & CURRAN

MASON HAYES & CURRAN

MASON HAYES & CURRAN

PRICEWATERHOU'SECOOPERS

PRICEWALERHOUSECOOPLRS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

IRISH CREDIT BUREAU

Patricia McGovern

Alan Browning

Fiona Thornton

Gillian Dully

Declan Black

Tanya Colbert

Maurice Phelan

Colm Kellv

Lynne Rae

Matt O'Keeffe

Michael Treacy

LAND REGISTRY

Sandro Knecht

PANALPINA

ISRAEL

Ron Berry

AMIT LTD

Avie Arenson

A. ARENSON LTD.

Amnon Altman

PERSONAL CHECK

Daniel Singerman

Gideon Koren

BEN ZVI KORFN

BDI BUSINESS DATA ISRAEL AND

BDI BUSINESS DATA ISRAEL AND PERSONAL CHECK

EUGENF F. COLLINS SOLICITORS

EUGENE F. COLLINS SOLICITORS

EUGENE E. COLLINS SOLICITORS

EUGENE F. COLLINS SOLICITORS

Gillian Woods

Daniel Boland

Kari Hrafn Kjartansson Landwell

Reynir Grétarsson Lanstraust LTD.

Birgir Már Ragnarsson LEX-NESTOR

Vifill Harouarson hdl Lex-Nestor

Tómas J. Jonsson Logfraedistofu Riykjavikur

Guorun Bjork Bjarnadóttir hdl. LOGOS LEGAI SERVICES*

Gunnar Sturluson LOGOS Legal Services

Erlendur Gislason LOGOS Legat Services

Heidar Asberg Atlason LOGOS Legal Services

Asta Kristjansdottir PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Fridgeir Sigurdsson

PRICEWAIFRHOUSLCOOPERS

INDIA

Shardul S. Shroff Amarchand Mangaleas* Raj Pal Arora Butters' Association of

INDIA Vipender Mann

CHAWLA & CO. Harminder Chawla CHAWLA & CO.

P.R. Viswanathan Creoti Information Bureau India

Harshala Chandorkar Credit Information Bureau India

Ashish Bhasin Crossport Alliances India Limited

V. C. Augustine DEPARTMENT OF BANKING SUPERVISION

Shreyas Patel Fox Mandai

Saurabh Misra Fox MANDAL

Toral Jhaveri Fox ManDal

Anshoo Nayar Fox Manpal

Radhika Sankaran Fox Mandal

Som Mandal

Fox Mandal Vishal Gandhi

Gandhi & Associates Manish Madhukar

INHNI JURIDIQUE Abhishek Saket

INFINI JURIDIQUE Vasanth Rajasekaran Kachwaha & Partners

Anuradha Sharma Kachwaha & Partners Prachí Puri Malhotra

Prachi Puri Malhotra Kachwaha & Partners Sumeet Kachwaha *Каснwана & Partners* K. V. Ramesh

KOCHHAR ぐ CO. Dara Mehta LITTLE ぐ CO.

Nirmala Gill Little ぐ Co.

Joseph Sandiav Mafrsk India Pvi. Ltd.

Akil Hırani Maimudar & Co.

Satish Murthi Murti and Murti International Law Practice

Tapas Sen National Institute of Public

Finance and Policy Vikram Shroff Nishith Desai Associates

Ravi Mathur Panalpina World Transport India Pv1. Lid.

Nityanand Gupta PricewalerhouseCoopers Ashutosh Chaturvedi

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Amit Bahl PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Rahul Garg PricewarerHouseCoopers

Dipak Rao Singhania & Partners

Ravi Singhania Singhania & Partners

D.C. Singhania Singhania & Partners

Vikas Goel Singhania & Partners

Sameer Rastogi Singhania & Partners

S.N. Variava Supreme Court of India

Vinod Khotari Vinod Kothari & Co., Company Secretaries

Samik Mukherjee Vinod Kothari & Co., Company Secretaries

INDONESIA

Ernst G. Tehuteru Ali Budlardjo, Nugroho, Reksodiputro*

Ayik Candrawulan Gunadi Ali Budiardio, Nugroho, Reksodiputro

Indra Setiawan Jamin Ali Bubiardio, Nugroho, Reksodiputro

Theodoor Bakker ALI BUDIARDIO, NUGROHO,

REKSODIPUTRO John Andre Panggabean ALI BUDIARDIO, NUGROHO,

REKSODIPUTRO Brian J. Wesol ALI BUDIARDIO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO Ferry Madian Ali Budiardio, Nugroho, Reksodiputro

Kevin O. Sidharta ALI BUDIARDIO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO

Herry N. Kurniawan ALI BUDIARDIO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO

Hamud M. Balfas Ali Budiardio, Nugroho, Reksodiputro

Bruce Johnston Allens Arihur Robinson

Riza Haryadi Bank Inponesia

Hartono Parbudi BIRO KREDII INDONESIA

Almer Apon BMCL INDONESIA

> Brigitta I. Rahayoe Brigitta I. Rahayoe & Syamsuddin

Christian Teo Christian Teo & Associates

Anton Budíman George Widjojo & Partners

Stephanus Jonathan HADROMI & PARTNERS LAW FIRM

Yulian Hadromi HADROMI & PARTNERS LAW FIRM

Fabian Buddy Pascoal Hanafiah Ponggawa Bangun

Benjamin Abrams

Winita E. Kusnandar KUSNANDAR & CO.

Eman Achmad Sulaeman LUBIS, SANTOSA & MAULANA

Pudji Wahiuni Purbo

Makarim & Taira S.

Helen Sunario Makarim & Taira S.

Andreas Hartono Makarim & Taira S.

Jenny Budiman

Makarim e- Taira S. Inge Resdiano

Makarim & Taira S. Galinar Kartakusuma

MAKARIM & TAIRA S.

Bill MacDonald

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Paul O'Brien

PricewaterhouseCoopers

Robertus Winarto PricewaterHouseCoopers

Ray Headifen PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Krísman Damanik P.T. Panalpina Nusaiaya Transport

Arfidea Dwi Saraswati SSEK Indonesian Legal Consultants

Ira A. Eddymurthy SSEK Indonesian Legal Consultants Darrell R. Johnson SSEK Indonesian Legal Consultants

Bambang Soelaksono The SMERU Research INSTITUTE

IRAN

Faraz Nikoukar Shahir Chain International Transportation

Mozaffar Mohammadian Pouya Bar International Transport Company

Mohammad Adib Adib Law Firm

Albert Bernardi Albert Bernardi & Associates

CENTRAL BANK OF IRAN

Dr. Behrooz Akhlaghi &

Dr. Behrooz Akhlaghi &

DR. JAMAL SEIFI & ASSOCIATES

DR. JAMAL SEIFI & ASSOCIATES

FOREGIN LEGAL AFFAIRS GROUP

DR, SHIRIN O, EENTEZARI &

Mir Shahbiz Shafe'e

Sirin Ozra Entezari

Hassan Badamchi

Iran Moshar Co.

Yahya Rayegani

M. Shahabi

IRAO

Hassan Badamchi 🔄

Rasoul Dorri Esfahani

RAYEGANI LAW OFFICE

Shahla Pournazeri -Attorney ai Law

Тауакоці 🔄 Ѕнанаві

Al Fadhaa Co. Ltd.

AL QARYA GROUP

Adil Simakli

Imad Makki Mohmoud

AL TAMIMI & COMPANY

F.H. AL-SALMAN & CO.

GEZAIRI TRANSPORT IRAOI

Hadeel Salih Abboud Al-

Munaf Hammed Muhammed

Farquad Al-Salman

Hisham Katurii

COMPANY LTD.

Mena Associates

PRIVATE ATTORNEY

PRIVATE LAWYER

William Johnston

IRELAND

ARTHUR COX*

Blund Najeb

Al-Abbas

Janabi

Munthir Hasan Mahmoud

Shahla Pournazeri

Behrooz Akhlaghi

ASSOCIATES

Ali Hatami

ASSOCIATES

Jamal Seifi

ASSOCIATES

Reza Askari

ASSOCIATES

Mohammad Reza Shojaedinni

174 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006

Jakob Melcer F.S. SHIMBON I. MOLHO PERSKY & CO.

Dina Brown ELCHANAN LANDAU LAW **OFFICES**

Ron Storch GLOBAL CREDIT SERVICES

Gerry Seligman KESSELMAN & KESSELMAN / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Shilomi Zehaui Kesselman & Kesselman / PRILEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Vered Kirshner Kesselman & Kesselman /

PRICEWATERHOUSE COOPERS Clifford Davis S. HOROWITZ & CO.*

Michelle Liberman S. HOROWITZ & CO.

Ofer Bar-On SAVIT BAR-ON GAI-ON TZIN NOV YAGUR LAW OFFICES

Orna Kornreich-Cohen SAVII BAR-ON GAL-ON TZIN NOV YAGUR LAW OFFICES

Zeev Weiss WEISS-PORAT & CO. LAW OFFICES

Ronen Bar-Even WEISS-PORAT & CO. LAW OFFICES

Noa Solomon YEHUDA RAVEH & CO. LAW OFFICES

Aaron Jaffe YIGAL ARNON & CO.

Paul Baris YIGAL ARNON & CO. Galit Rozovsky YUVAL LEVY & CO., LAW OFFICES

AND NOTARY

ITALY

Antonella Tanico Giovanni Izzo ABBATESCIANNI

Roberto Donnini ALLEN & OVERY

Ida Marotta ALLEN & OVERY Kathleen Lemmens

ALLEN & OVERY Vincenzo Giannantonio

ASHURST Eva Maschietto

ASHURST Carlo Bruno

ASHURST

Maria Pia Ascenzo BANK OF ITALY

Gian Bruno Bruni BRUNI GRAMELLINI E ASSOCIATI

Pier Andrea Fré Torelli Massini CARNELUT'H

Filippo Cecchetti CHIOMENTI STUDIO LEGALL* Enrico Lodi

CRIF S.P.A

Beatrice Rubini CRIES P.A. Frederica La Chioma GRIECO E ASSOCIATI Alessandro Cardia GRIECO I ASSOCIATI Antonio Grieco GRIFCO E ASSOCIATI HALIAN CUSTOMS Luisa Cucchi IONES DAY Stefano Macchi di Cellere IONES DAV Silvio Tersilla LOVELLS STUDIO LEGALE Sergio Calderara NUNZIANTE MAGRONE Massimiliano Silvetti NUNZIANTE MAGRONE Norberto Pala PANALPINA TRASPORTI MONDIALI S.P.A. Domenico Colella Portolano Colella Cavallo PROSPEREITI STUDIO LEGALE Daniela Marrani PORTOLANO COLELIA CAVALLO PROSPERETTI STUDIO LEGALE Barbara Corsetti PORTOLANO COLFILA CAVALLO PROSPERETTI STUDIO LEGALE Ignazio la Candia STUDIO PIROL Massimo Cremona STUDIO PIROLA Daniela Sgro SPASARO MISURACA & ASSOCIATES Antonio de Martinis SPASARO MISURACA 6 ASSOCIATES Piervincenzo Spasaro SPASARO MISURACA & Associates Francesco Misuraca SPANARO MINURACA & ASSOCIATES

Fabrizio Mariotti STUDIO LEGALE BELTRAMO Marco Sella

STUDIO LEGALE MACCHI DI Cellerf e Gangemi

Linda Frigo STUDIO LEGALE MACCHI DI CELLERE F GANGEMI

Luigi Walter Veroi STUDIO LEGALE VEROL Franco Toffoletto

TOFFOLETIO & SOCI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Valeria Morossini TOFFOLETTO F SOCI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Giovanni Crenna VARRENTI BASSAN LENZI

ASSOCIATI Fabrizio Colonna VARRENTI BASSAN LENZI ASSOCIATE

Giovanni Verusio VERUSIO E COSMELLI STUDIO LEGALE

Maria Grazia Medici VERUSIO E COSMELLI STUDIO LEGALE Enrico Bugielli VERUSIO E COSMELLI STUDIO LEGALE JAMAICA Janet Morgan DUNNCOA Nicole Foga FOGA DALEY & CO. Jackie Cole GRACE KENNEDY & COMPANY LTD. Rosie Plant INCORPORATED MASTERBUILDERS ASSOCIATION OF JAMAICA Strachan Fitzpatrick KIER CONSTRUCTION LTD. Jerome Spencer Myers, Fletchlr & Gordon* Suzette Moss Myers, Fletcher & Gordon Peter Goldson MYERS, FLEICHER & GORDON Dave García MYERS, FLETCHER & GORDON Tamara Green Mytrs, Fletcher & Gordon Stuart Stimpson Myers, Fletcher & Gordon Norman Minott Myers, Fletcher & Gordon Viveen Morrison PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Eric Crawford **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPLRS** Michael Hall PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Karen Wilson RALIRAY, PALIERSON, RATTRAY Humprey Taylor TAYLOR CONSTRUCTION LTD. **IAPAN** Toshio Miyatake ADACHI, HENDERSON, MIYATAKE & FUILTA Takaya Konishi ASAHI KOMA LAW OFFICES* Yuji Onuki ASAHI KOMA LAW OFFICES Kaoru Hattori ASAHI KOMA LAW OFFICES Tetsuro Sato ASAHI KOMA LAW OFFICES

Alvin Hiromasa Shiozaki ASAHI KOMA L W OFFICIS

Setsuko Yufu Afsumi & Pariners Shinichiro Abe

BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN Tomoe Sato

CRIDIT INFORMATION CENTER CORP Aki Takahashi CREDIT INFORMATION CENTER

CORP

Tamotsu Hatasawa HATASAWA & WAKAI LAW FIRM Takafumi Suzuki

IAPAN CUSTOMS Akio Yamamoto KAIIMA CORPORATION

Masahiko Shira

MAERSK K.K. Kenji Utsumi Nagashima Ohno &

TSUNEMATSU

Takafumi Nihei NISHIMURA & PARTNERS Takanobu Takehara

NISHIMURA & PARTNERS Kenji Nakajima

PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT IAPAN LTD.

Kotaku Kimu PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS / Zfirishi-Hojin ChuoAoyama

Hirovuki Suzuki PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS / ZEIRISHI-HOIIN CHUOAOYAMA Nobuaki Matsuoka YAMAGUCHI INTERNATIONAL

IORDAN

Sahar Anani Ali Sharif Zu'bi & Sharif Ali Zu'RI*

Fadi Kawar Ali Sharif Zu'bi & Sharif Ali Z U' BI

Ali Sharif Zu'bi Ali Sharif Zu'bi & Sharif Ali ZU'RI

Hani Kurdi Ali Sharif Zu'ri & Sharif Ali ZUBI

Masoud Sakfal Hait AII SHARIF ZU'BI & SHARIF AII Zu'ы

Shireen Okkeh Ali Sharif Zu'bi & Sharif Ali ZU'BL

Saleh Abd Fl-Ati Ali Sharif Zu'bi & Sharif Ali ZU'BI

Ola Al Kadi Ali Sharif Zu'bi & Sharif Ali Zu'BI

Basel Kawar Amin Kawar & Sons

Arafat Alfavoumi CENTRAL BANK OF JORDAN

Shadi Zghoul DAJANI & ASSOCIATES

Eman M. Al-Dabbas INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS LEGAL ASSOCIATES

Firas Malhas INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS LEGAL ASSOCIATES

Nissreen Haram INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS LEGAL ASSOCIATES

Naif Salem JORDANIAN CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION

Sa'ed Karajah

KARAJAH & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM

Ahmad Masa'deh Khalaf Masa`deh & Partners Khaldoun Nazer

Khalifeh & Partners

Alá Khalifeh Khalifeh & Partners

Ibrahim Abunameh

LAW AND ARBITRATION CENTRE Micheal T. Dabit

Micheal Dabit & Associates ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Francis J. Bawab PricewaterhouseCoopers

Stephan Stephan PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Ala`a Abdel-Hadi RAHHAL AND ASSOCIATES

Youssef Khalilieh Rajai Daiani & Associates Law OFFICE Rasha Laswi

ZALLOUM & LASWI LAW FIRM

KAZAKHSTAN

Tatvana Suleveva AEQUITAS LAW FIRM

Aiman Yerenova ALOUITAS LAW FIRM

Sanzhan N. Burambayev Aequitas Law Firm

Zhibek Karamanova

BRACEWELL & GIULIANI, LLP Aidar Yegeubayev

BRACEWELL & GIULIANI, LLP

Marla Valdez DENTON WILDE SAPTE

Aidar Kashkarbavev DENTON WILDE SAPTE

Thomas Johnson DENTON WILDF SAPTE

Anvar Akhmedov FIRST CREDIT BUREAU

Sabina Baraveva JSC KAZKOMMERTSBANK

Semyon Issyk LAW FIRM AEQUITAS

Elvis Roberts

LIP

LLP

LLP

LLP

M&M LOGISTICS

John W. Barnum

Berik Imashev

Richard Remias

Snezhana V. Popova

Kamilya T. Nurpeissova LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, LLP

Marat Kh. Muzdubaev LEBOEUF, LAMB, GRFFNE 🔄 MACRAE, LLP

Dinara Jarmukhanova

McGuireWoods Kazakhstan

McGuireWoods Kazakhstan

McGuireWoods Kazakhstan

McGuireWoods Kazakhstan

MCGUIREWOODS KAZAKHSTAN LLP

Evad Omar Al-Serri

Shipping Company

Paul Fardy

PARTNERS

Adel Sami

PARINERS

Abdal

AHLERS

ASIA

ASIA

Sergio Purín

Curtis Masters

Akioltov Elebesova

Gulnara Kalikova

DIGNITAN LAW FIRM

Bakytbek Saparaliev

DIGNITAS LAW FIRM

DIGNITAS LAW FIRM

Elmurat Abdraimov

Aisuluu Subanbekova

Tania Chogai

Anna Fomina

Temir Kazy

AUTHORITY

Sarsen Omrkulov

Asel Kenenbaeva

Courtney Fowler

Matthew Tallarovic

Natalya Revenko

Katherine Garkavets

PRICEWVIERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWALERHOUSECOOPLRS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

USAID LEGAL INFRASTRUCTURE

Ainura Abdyrakunova

FOR A MARKET ECONOMY

PROJECT, IMPLEMENTED BY

Julia Bulatova

LAW FIRM "PARTNER"

CREDIT INFORMATION NELWORK

KUWALI TRANSCONTINENTAL

MISHARE M. AL-GHAZALI &

Mishare M. Al-Ghazali

Mishari Ai -Ghazali &∗

THE LAW FIRM OF LABEED

KYRGYZ REPUBLIC

BAKER & MCKENZIE, CENTRAI

BAKER & MCKENZIL, CENTRAL

ASSOCIATION OF CREDIT AND

CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU

IN AFFILIATION WITH DIGNITAS

IN AFFILIATION WITH DIGNITAS

IN AFFILIATION WITH DIGNITAS

IN AFFILIATION WITH DIGNITAS

KYRGYZ REPUBLIC CUSTOMS

FINANCING ORGANIZATIONS

Labeed M. A. Abdal

Alexander Korchagin

Tyseng Ly DEDI MERONG LAW GROUP Edward Nicholas DFDL MFKONG LAW GROUP Esther Lau DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUT Isabelle Robineau DFDL MEKONG LAW GROUP Lasonexay Chanthavong DFDL MERONG LAW GROUP Louis-Martin Desautels DFDL MERONG LAW GROUP Audray Souche DFDL MERONG LAW GROUP Phivath Vorachak LAO BAR ASSOCIATION Vichit Sadettan LAO FREIGHT FORWARDER CO. Ltd. Siri Savavong LAO TRADEMARK AGENCY Maligna Saignavongs PRIVATE LAWYER John Biddle . PricewaterhouseCoopers Richard Irwin PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Intong Oudom SENEOUDOM TRADING CO. LTD.

LATVIA

Daina Zvirgzde ACE LOGISTICS SIA Ivars Pommers

Advokathirman Glimstfut

Kristine Stege BALTMANE & BITANS

Ilze Baltmane BALIMANE & BITANS

Laura Viksna BANK OF LATVIA

Andis Conka

BANK OF LAIVIA Ludmila Kornijenko

BIUEGER & PLAUDE Baiba Plaude BIUEGER & PLAUDE

Dace Ienava

JENAVA BIROIN Anıta Tamberga-Salmane KLAVINS, SLAIDINS & LOZE

Jevgueni Jesilevskis KPMG LATVIA

Ivars Grunte

LAW FIRM GRUNTE & CERS Inese Rendeniece

LAW HRM GRUNTE & CERS

Daiga Zivtina Law Officers of Klavins & Slaidins*

Mikus Buls LAW OFFICES OF KLAVINS & SLAIDINS

Filip Klavins LAW OFFICES OF KLAVINS & SLAIDINS

Dace Silava-Tomsone Lejins, Torgans & Vonsourcs Romualds Vonsovics LLIINS, TORGANS & VONSOVICS

Ivan A. Zaitsev McGuiri Woods Kazakhslan LIP

Sanzhar Shaimardanov MCGUIREWOODS KAZAKHSTAN LLP

Assel Tokusheva MCGUIRFWOODS KAZAKHSTAN LLP

Bakhytzhan Kadyrov MCGUREWOODS KAZAKHSTAN IIP

Alexander Baruskov MCGUIREWOODS KAZAKHSIAN LLP

Carter Younger MCGUIREWOODS KAZAKHSTAN LLF

Yuri Bolotov MCGUIREWOODS KAZAKHSIAN 1LP

Maxim Telemtayev MCLEOD DIAON LLP

Rosa Abirova MCLEOD DIXON LLP

Vsevolod Markov MICHAH WILSON & PARTNERS LTD.

Michael Wilson MICHAFI WILSON & PARINERS LTD.

Yekaterina Kim MICHAEL WILSON & PARTNERS LIP.

Natalya Revenko PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Elena Kaeva PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPTRS

Matthew Tallarovic PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Katherine Garkavets PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPLRS

Courtney Fowler PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPLRS

Rima Zhakupova SALANS

Dina Khakimzhanova SALANS

Zhomart Sarsenbay SALANS

Yerlanbek Zhussupov ZANGER LAW FIRM

Natalie Yelizarova ZHAKENOV & PARTNERS, IN PARINERSHIP WITH WHITE & JONES LLP

Valerie A. Zhakenov ZHAKENOV & PARTNERS, IN PARTNERSHIP WITH WHITE & JONES LLP

KENYA

Anthony Mwang AMERITRANS FREIGHT INTERNATIONAL Sonal Sejpal

ANJARWALIA & KHANNA ADVOCVLES

Janet Mutua B. M. MUSAU & CO. ADVOCALIS Morris Kimuli

Benson Niiru B. M. MUSAU & CO. ADVOCALES Gladys Mwariri B. M. MUSAU & CO. ADVOCATES Benjamin Musau B. M. MUSAU & CO. ADVOCATES Virginia Nzioka B. M. MUSAU & CO. ADVOCATES Morris Kimuli B. M. MUSAU & CO. ADVOCATES Fiona Fox CHUNGA ASSOCIATES Wachira Ndege CREDIT REFERENCE BUREAU AFRICA LTD. Julius Wako DALY & FIGGIS ADVOCATES Hamish Keith DALY & FIGGIS ADVOCATES Richard Omwela HAMILTON HARRISON & MATHEWS LAW FIRM Henry M. Kissinger INFOCELL RISK MANAGURS LTD. Samuel Momanvi INTERFREIGHT EAST AFRICA LIMITED William Maema Ізгме, Камай & Маема ADVOCATES James Kamau Іземе, Камли & Маема ADVOCATES Kamau Karori ISEME, KAMAU & MAEMA ADVOCATES Peter Gachuhi KAPLAN & STRALION* Oliver Fowler KAPLAN & STRAITON Jack Ranguma KENYA REVENUE AUTHORITY Amovo Andibo METROPOL EAST AFRICA LIMITED Tom Onyango OCHIENG, ONYANGO, KIBET & OHAGA Anne Kimotho PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Shaira Adamali PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Gavin McEwen PRICEWATERHOUSE COOPERS

Dipak Shah PRICEWAI ERHOUSECOOPERS

Mansoor A. Mohamed RUMAN LIMITED Meshack Kipturgo

SIGINON FREIGHT LIMITED Alexandra Kontos

WALKER KONTOS ADVOCALES Rina Thakar WALKER KONTOS ADVOCATES

KIRIBATI

John Ridgwav PACIFIC LEGAL NETWORK

B. M. MUSAU & CO. ADVOCATES

KOREA Hansen Han MAERSK KOREA ITD Keunveop Kim PANALPINA LAF (KORLA) LID. Sung Whan Lee Alinse Law Offices Eui Jong Chung BAE, KIM & LEE Sung-Ho Moon HORIZON LAW GROUP Gee Hong Kim HORIZON LAW GROUP Ju Myung Hwang HWANG MOK PARK* Sang Il Park HWANG MOK PARK Joshua Margolis HWANG MOK PARK Lames Rim ILINGMIN LAW OFFICES Jin Kim JUNGMIN LAW OFFICTS Dean Fealk KIM & CHANG C. W. Hyun KIM & CHANG Ae-Ryun Rho KIM & CHANG Shin Hi-Taek KIM & CHANG Jisung Park KOREA INFORMATION SERVICE -KIS Ando Yun PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Mina Yoo PRICEWALERHOUSECOOPERS Mi-Sook Yoon PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPTRS Dong-Bum Kim

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Dae-Geun Kım PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Hongnam Lim PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Daniel Y. Kim

Sung Jin Kim WOO YUN KANG JEONG & HAN

Young-Cheol Jeong WOO YUN KANG JEONG & HAN

Abdullah Al-Avoub Associates*

ABDULLAH KII. AL-AYOUB & ASSOCIATES

AL SARRAF & AL RUWAYER, IN ASSOCIATION WITH STEPHENSON

Reema Ali ALI & PARINERS Nazıh Abdul Hameed AI-SALEH & PARTNERS

Prasith Phommarath Simmaly Vongsack BANK OF LAO PDR

ARD/Checchi

LAO PDR

KUWAIT

Аврицан Кн. Аl-Ауоив &

Rafig Jaffer

Sam Habbas

HARWOOD

Law FIRM "PARTNER" Mirgul Smanalieva LAW FIRM "PARTNER" Elena Kaeva PRICFWATERHOUSECOOPERS

SOJONG PARTNERS Yong S. Bae SOJONG PARTNERS

Indrikis Liepa Liepa, Skopina, Borenius Zane Stalberga - Markvarte Markvarte & Partneri Law Office Cameron Greaves PricewaterhouseCoopers Zlata Elksnina PricewaterhouseCoopers

Ilze Abika Skupra & Upris

Ziedonis Udris

SKUDRA & UDRIN Edgars Briedis SORAINEN LAW OFFICES

Eva Berlaus-Gulbe SORAINEN LAW OFFICES

Janis Bite Sorainen Law Offices

Gints Vilgerts SORAINEN LAW OFFICES

Brigita Terauda

SORAINEN LAW OFFICES Girts Ruda

SORAINEN LAW OFFICES Edvins Kapostins State Lani³ Service of the Republic of Latvia

LEBANON

Salim El Meouchi Badri & Salim El Meouchi Law Firm

Nada Abusamra BADRI & SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM

Mazen Rasamny Badri ざ Salim El Meouchi Law Firm

Ramy Aoun Badri & Salim El Meouchi Law Firm

Choucair Najib Banque du Liban

Samir Baroudi Baroudi & Associates Jean Baroudi

BAROUDI & Associates

Georges Jureidini COSERV SARL PANALPINA AGENTS Samir Francıs

FREIGHT LEADER SARL

Mario Mohanna George Jabre & Associates

George Jabre George Jabre & Associates Nabil Mallat

HYAM MALLAT LAW OFFICES Georges Mallat

HYAM MALLAT LAW OFFICES Fady Jamaleddine

JAMALEDDINE LAW FIRM Georges Kadige

Kadige & Kadige Law Firm Albert Laham

Law OFFICE OF ALBERT LAHAM Toufic Nehme Law OFFICE OF ALBERT LAHAM

Fadi Moghaizel Moghaizel Law Offices* Reem Abou Fadel Moghaizet Law Offices Katia Bou Moghaizet Law Offices Dania George PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Bassel Habiby PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Nady Tyan

The Law Offices of Tyan & ZGHEIB

LESOTHO

Theodore Ntlatlapa DNT Architects

Thabo Michael Letjama Lesotho Revenue Authority Pieter La Grange

MANICA AFRICA (PTY) LID. Qhalehang Letsika

Mei & Mei Attorneys Inc. / National University of Lesofho

Relebohile Ntene Ministry of Employment and Labor

Tseliso Daniel Makhaphela Ministry of Local Government

Deborah Mofolo Mofolo, Tau - Thabane and

COMPANY Thabo Mpaka MPaka Chambers

Keketso Maleka Naledi Chambers Incorporated

Thakane Chimombe Naledi Chambers

INCORPORATED Palesa Khabele NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF

LESOTHO Thabiso Ramokoena

NEDBANK

T. Ntaopane NEDBANK

Lindiwe Sephomolo Organization Association of Lesotho Employers and BUSINESS

Thuso Green SECHABA CONSULIANTS

Borenahabokhethe Sekoneyla SEKOYELA CH MBERS ඊ ASSOCIATES

Mathias Sheeran SHEERAN AND ASSOCIATES

Vuyelwa Kotelo VVM KOTELO AND CO. Lebohang Molete

WEBBER NEWDIGATE

MANICA AFRICA Erle Koomets

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Mark Badenhorst PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Mathias Sheeran Sheeran & Associates

LITHUANIA

Marius Jakulis Jason AAA Law Firm Ignas Puluikis ACE LOGISTICS UAB

Kazimieras Ramonas Bank of Lithuania

Marius Navickas FORESTA BUSINESS Law GROUP

Agnes Zironaite FORESTA BUSINESS LAW GROUP

Jurgita Rotomskiene Infobankas UAB

Giedre Domkute J. JASINSKIO 16 B, VICTORIA BUILDING Audrius Zvybas

Law Firm "Birnotas & Dominas Guiastedi

Egidijus Bernotas Law FIRM "BERNOTAS & DOMINAS GLIMSTEDI

Dainius Stasiulis Law Firm "Bernotas & Dominas Glimstedt

Dalia Foigt Law firm D.Foigt and Partners / REGIJA

Mindaugas Vaiciunas Law Firm D. Foigt and Partners / REGIJA

Darius Zabiela Law Firm Zabiela, Zabielaite & Partners

Indre Jonaityte Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiunas ir Parinfriai*

Rolandas Valiunas Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiunas ir Partneriai

Ramunas Petravicius Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiunas ir Partneriai

Rolandas Galvenas Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiunas ir Partneriai

Mindaugas Kiskis Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiunas ir Partneriai

Laimonas Skibarka Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiunas ir Parimeriai

Vilija Vaitkute Pavan Lideika, Petrauskas, Vahunas ir Partneriai

Dovile Burgiene Lideika, Petrauskas, Valiunas ir Partnuriai

Sarune Smeleviciute PricewaterHouseCoopers

Egidijus Kundelis PricewaterhouseCoopers

Lina Mockeliunaite PricewaterhouseCoopers

Kristina Bartuseviciene PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Jurate Stulgyte

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Marius Urbelis

Marius Urbelis Sorainen Law Offices Tomas Davidonis Sorainen Law Offices lacques Charevre

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

CABINET MAITRE MICHEL PAIN

CABINET MADAGASCAR CONSEIL

FIDAFRICA /

Olivier Ribot

FIDAFRICA /

Michel Pain

MADAGASCAR

D'ENTREPRISES

Raphael Jakoba

INTERNATIONAL

Justin Radilofe

CABINE F RADILOFE

Thomas Reynders

CONSULTING, INC.

FTL MADAGASCAR

Rakotomanantsoa

INTERNATIONAL)

Jules Raf

MALAWI

Krishna Savianı

Anthony Kamanga

MINISTRY OF IUSTICE

NYIRENDA & MSISHA

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Saujani & Associates Law Firm

SAVIANI & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM

Kevin M. Carpenter

SACRANIE, GOW & CO.

Roseline Gramani

Duncan Singano

Krishna Saviani

Andrews Katuya

SCRANIE, GUW & CO.

SCRANIE, GOW & CO.

Shabir Latif

Iohn Deans

SDV MALAWI

Removals

Eggrey Mpango

STUT ISFORDS INTERNATIONAL

Bansri Lakhani

Temwa Nvirenda

Mark Badenhorst

Iim Ghobede

lai Banda

Ben Ndau

Stuart Forster

Hanta Radilofe

Jean Moutton

CHECCHI AND COMPANY

HANTA RADIIOFE LAW OFFICES

MCI (MADAGASCAR CONSEIL

RAKOTOMANANA ADVOCALAU

Danielle Rakotomanana

BUREAU DE MADAGASCAR

BRITISH HIGH COMMISSION

SDV MADAGASCAR

Niiva Razanatsoa

BANQUE CENTRALE DE

Zakazo Ranaivoson

CABINET DE CONSEILS

Kestutis Adamonis SORAINEN LAW OFFICES

Renata Berzanskiene SORAINEN LAW OFFICES

Bronislovas Mikuta Stati. Enterprise Centre of Registers

Edita Sumskiene STATE ENTERPRISE CENTRE OF REGISTERS

Rasa Lubauskaite The Customs Department under the Ministry of Finance

MACEDONIA, FYR

Zlatko Veterovski Customs Administration

Marija Petroska Economic Chamber of Macedonia

Theodoros Giannitsakis IKRP Roкаs & Pariners Biliana Ioanidis

Law & PATENT OFFICE JOANIDIS Dejan Knezovic, Esq.

Law Office Knezovic & Associates

Maja Drakulovska Law Office Pereliugoski Valentin Pepeljugoski

Law Office Pepfilugoski Zoran Andonovski

Law OFFICE POLENAK Kristijan Poolenak

Law Office Polenak Tatjana Popovski Law Office Polenak

Zlatko Antevski

Irena Petkovska

Liubica Ruben

MENS LEGIS

MENS LEGIS

LAWYERS ANTEVSKI

LAWYERS ANTEVSKI

Biljana Cakmakova

Valerjan Monevski

MONEVSKI LAW FIRM

Frosina Celeska

Rudi Lazarevski

Katerina Carceva

Zoran Cvetanoski

Charapich Sinisha

MADAGASCAR

Allain Hubert Raioelina

MAITRE ALLAIN HUBERT

WORKS

Rajoelina

Sania Iliovska Madzovska

NATIONAL BANK OF THE

REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA

NATIONAL BANK OF THE

REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

STATE AUTHORITY FOR GEODETIC

TIR - INTERNATIONAL FREIGHT FORWARDERS Samuel Tembenu TEMBENU MASUMBU & CO. Marshal Chilenga TF& PARTNERS

Ralph Kasambara University of Malawi

Alan Chinula William Faulkner

D. A. Ravel Wilson & Morgan

MALAYSIA

Khoo Liong Chuan ALLED WAREHOUSES SDN. BHD. Rajendra Navaratnam AZMAN, DWIDSON & Co. Francis Tan AZMAN, DWIDSON & Co. Jamie Lee AZMI & ASSOCIATES Hendun Abd Rahman AZMI & ASSOCIATES Azmi Mohd Ali AZMI & ASSOCIATES Chew Siew Kheam

CENTRAL BANK OF MALAYSIA Chung Tze Keong

CTOS SDN Внр Tharminder Singh

LOGAN SABAPATHY & CO. Loganath Sabapathy

LOGAN SABAPATHY & CO. Grace Yeo

MAERSK MALAYSIA SON BHD Shameer Bin Othman

Nik Saghir & Ismaii Vibhu Prakash

Panalpina Chuan Keat Khoo

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Theresa Lim

PRICEWMERHOUSECOOPERS

Wan Heng Choon PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Peter Wee PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Wynnee Tan

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Chin Sin Lan

RASLAN - LOONG

Caesar Loong Rastan - Loong

Melina Yong

RASLAN - LOONG Ng Swee Kee

SHLARN DELAMORE & CO. Jong Yon Tzan

SHEARN DEI AMORE & CO. Lim Koon Huan

SKRINL*

Elaine Ho Sk*rine*

Wong Chong Wah Skrini

Yun Chang Ta) ぐ PARINERS

Leonard Yeoh Tw & PARINLES Chia Chee Hoong Zain & Co. J.Wilfred Durai Zain & Co. Wilfred Abraham

Zul Rafique & Partners, Advocate & Solicitors MALDIVES

Mohamed Hameed ANTRAC MALDIVES PVT. LTD Abdul Rashees Ibrahim MALDIVES CUSTOMS SERVICE

Ahmed Muizzu MUZZU, SUOOD & CO. Srivaní Perera

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Shuaib M. Shah Shah, Hussain & Co. Barristers and Altorneys

MALI

Mamadou Keita-Kanda Champer of Notaries Jacques Chareyre FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSFCOOPERS

Dominique Taty FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Dembele Fatoumata Kone

ASSOCIATION DES ANCIENS

Malick Badara Sow

D'URBANISME

Ahmadou Toure

Amadou Camara

Alassne Diallo

Djibril Guindo

Aida Nıare-Toure

SDV MALI

SDV MAL

AFRICAINE

Denis Cordel

ISLANDS

Philip Welch

M. Domptail

François Nare

IURIHIS CONSULT SCPA

JURIFIS CONSULI SCPA

Diop Mohamed Abdoulave

CENTRALL DES RISQUES DE

lean Marcel Gariador

SDV - BOLLORF DTL

SDV - BOHORE DTI

MICRONESIAN SHIPPING AGENCIES

MARSHALL

Kenneth Barden

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

L'UNION MONITAIRE OUEST

PARTICIPANTS DE L'IDLO AU

ATELIER D'ARCHITECTURE ET

ETUDE DE MAITRI. AHMADOU

ETUDE DE MAÎTRE AMADOU

ETUDE MAIRE DIALLO ALASSANE

Edouard Messou

FIDAFRICA /

MALI

TOURE

CAMARA

MAURITIUS

Urmila Boolell Banymandhub Boolell Chambers

MAURITANIA

Aliou Sall

MAURITANIE

Bouhoubeyni

Cheikhani Jules

Assurim

Moulaye El Ghali Ould

Menna Ould Hamoni

BANQUE CENTRALE DE

Ahmed Salem Ould

CABINET BOUHOUBEANI

CABINET MAITRE JULES

Oumar Mohamed Moctar

CHAMBRE COMMERCIALE PRES

Maouloud Vall Hady Seyid

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

MAERSK MAURTHANIE SA

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

CABINET MAITRE OUMAR

MOHAMED MOCTAR

Mohamed Lemine

NOUAKCHOTT

Sidi Mohamed Ould

DE LA COUR D'APPEL DE

ETUDE MAITRE HADY

lacques Charevre

FIDAFRICA /

Aly Ould Salihi

Saliou Niang

FIDAFRICA /

Clarel Benoit CLAREL BENOLL, M.A.

Tenuja Ghose CLARFI BENOIT, M.A.

Afzal Delbar Customs House Broker Association

Martine de Fleuriot de la Colinière

De Comarmond & Koenig* Thierry Koenig

DE COMARMOND & KOENIG Jean-Pierre Montocchio

ETUDE JEAN-PIERRE MONTOCCHIO & BERNARD D'HOTMAN DE VILLIERS

Catherine de Rosnay Legis & Partners

Robert Ferrat Legis & Partners

> Parikshat Teeluck MAERSK LOGISTICS MAURITIUS LTD

Yeung Yin In David MAERSK LOGISTICS MAURITIUS LID.

Wong Chung Toi MAURITIUS PORTS AUTHORITY

Bert C. Cunningham Ministry of Finance/Customs and Excise Department

Shakeel Mohamed Mohamed Chambers Law Offices

Robert Bigaignon PricewatternouseCoopers

Didier Lenette PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS MEXICO

Oscar O. Cano Adeath Logistics SA de CV

Perez Martinez Alfonso Administración Portuaria Integral de Manzanillo S.A de C.V.

Hector Alejandro Gutierrez Fuentes ADMINISTRATION GENERAL OF

Customs Ignacio Diaque

BAKER & McKenzie Carlos Grimm

BAKER & MCKENZIE

Maria Casas Lopez Baker & McKenzie

Mariano Enriquez-Mejia Baker & McKenzie

Gerardo Garreto-Chavez Barrera, Sigutiros y Torres Landa

Juan Francisco Torres-Landa Barrera, Siqueiros y Torres Landa

Oscar de la Vega Basham, Ringf y Correa, Member of IUs Laboris

Monica Schiaffino Pérez Basham, Ringe y Correa, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Jorge Videgaray Verdad Camara Memicana de la Industria de la Construíccion

Rafael Licea Alvarez Camara Mexicana de la Industria de la Construcción

Roberto Hernandez Garcia COMAD SC

Adrián Salgado Morante COMAD SC

Enrique Nort Comision Nacional Bancaria y de Valores

Arturo Pedromo GAUCIA Y ROBLES

Héctor Kuri Galicia y Robles

Carlos Chávez Galicia y Robles

Juan Manuel Rincon Galicia y Robles

Manuel Galicia R. Galicia y Robles

Teresa Gomez Neri Goodrich, Riouelme y Asociados*

David Enríquez GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS

David H. Brill Goodrich, Riquelme y Asociados

Eugenia Gonzalez GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS

Bill Kryzda Goodrich, Riquelme y Asociados Jorge León Orantes Vallejo GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS Jorge León

Goodrich, Riquelme y Asociados

Tatiana Ortega GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS

Cristina Sanchez-Urtiz Miranda, Estavillo, Staints y Pizarro-Suarez

Gustavo Hernandez Gutierrez Panalpina

Carlos Montemayor PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Patricia Schroeder PRICEWALERHOUSECOOPERS

Salvador Esquivel Bernal PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Carlos Frias PricewaterhouseCoopers

Fernando Rivadeneyra Rivadeneyra, Trevino & DF CAMPO, S.C. Yazbek Taja

RIVADENEYRA, TREVINO & DE CAMPO, S.C.

Carlos Sanchez-Mejorada SanchitZ-Mejorada y Pasquiti

Irela Robles Victory Secretaria de Desarrollo Economico

MICRONESIA

Kenneth Barden Ministry of Finance Seremea Arnold Transco LiD

MOLDOVA Lurie Lungu

ADVOCATE, LLP

& PARTNERS

& PARTNERS

C- PARTNERS

& PARTNERS

& PARTNERS

Sırghi Viorel

Nicolae Vilcu

Glebb Morozov

Alexi Ghertescu

David A. Brodsky

Irina Verhovetchi

Maximenco Serghei

Irina Moghiliova

Nikolay Shadrin

BRODSKY USKOV LOOPER REED

BRODSKY USKOV LOOPER REED

Celac Olga Brodsky Uskov Looper Reed

BRODSKY USKOV LOOPER REED

BRODSKY USKOV LOOPER REED & Pariners

BRODSKY USKOV LOOPER REED

BSMB LEGAL COUNSELLORS

BSMB LEGAL COUNSELLORS

BSMB LEGAL COUNSELLORS

CUSTOMS SERVICE OF THE REPUBLIC OF MOLDOVA

CONSOLIDATED AGRICUTTURAL

PROJECTS' MANAGEMENT UNIT

Veacheslav Shokin

Liliana Sirbu FIRST CADASTRE PROJECT Alexander Turcan LAW OFFICE OF ALEXANDER TURCAN Carina Turcan LAW OFFICE OF ALEXANDER TURCAN Victor A. Levintsa LEVINTSA & ASSOCIATES ADVOCATE Rene Bijvoet PRICEWAI ERHOUSECOOPERS Nelea Moraru PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Alexandra Placinta PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Gabriela Cunev PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Andrian Candu PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPFRS Mihaela Mitroi PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Svetlana Ceban PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Pirnevu Ruslan Qufhenberger-Hellmann Moldova SRL Octavian Cazac

TURCAN & TURCAN Veronica Bradautanu TURCAN & TURCAN

MONGOLIA

Batzaya Bodikhuu ANAND & ANAND ADVOCATES

David Buxbaum Anderson & Anderson

Enkhtuya Nichibuu Anderson & Anderson

Davaadorj Nomingerel Anderson & Anderson

Batmunkh Javkhlant Anderson & Anderson (Brand Farrar Buxbaum)

Solongo Zulbaatar Anderson & Anderson (Brand Farrar Buxbaum)

Ulziideleg Taivan CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU Daniel Mahoney

LYNCH & MAHONEY

Bayarmaa Badarch Lynch & Mahoney

Elena Kaeva PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Katherine Garkavets PricewaterHouseCoopers

Courtney Fowler PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Matthew Tallarovic

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Natalya Revenko

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Telenged Baast

MTT Mongolian Transpori Team LLC Beijing Office

Batbold Amarsanaa School of Law, National University of Mongolia M. Odonhuu Tseis Law Firm N. Zorigt Tuushin Company Limited Reginald Webb PricewaterhouseCoopers

MOROCCO

Youssef Berrada Youssef El Fallah

ABA MOROCCO Myriam Emmanuelle Bennani AMIN HAJJI & ASSOCIES ASSOCIATION D'ANOCATS

Amin Hajji AMIN HAJJI & ASSOCIES ASSOCIATION D'AVOCATS Abdelmajid Khachai

Baker & McKenzil, Wong & Leow

Ahmed Lahrache Bank Al-MaGhrib Maria Belafia Cabinet Maitre Belaha

Richard Cantin Cabinet Naciri & Associes* Hicham Naciri Cabinet Naciri & Associes

Anis Mahfoud CMS Bureau Francis Lefebvrf Frédéric Elbar

CMS BUREAU FRANCIS LLFEBURE Nadia Kettani

KETIANI LAW FIRM

KETTANI LAW FIRM Mohamed Mehdi Ibn

Abdeljalil Mohamed Mehdi Ibn Abdeljalil

Reda Oulamine NACIRI & ASSOCIES / GIDE LOVRETTE NOUFI

Abdelwaret Kabbaj

PRICEWMERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Mark Badenhorst

PRICEWATERHOUSLCOOPERS Xavier Despin

SCAC MAROC - GROUP SDV

MOZAMBIQUE

Ali Eduardo Barrote Barrote Construcces Loa Anastacia Chamusse

CENTRAL DE REGISTROS DE CREDITO, BANK OF MOZAMBIQUE

Gabriel Machado Confederacao das Associacoes economicas di

MOCAMBIQUE Rufino Lucas Consrufil

Christopher Tanner FAO REPRESENTATION IN MOZAMBIQUL

Auxilio Eugénio Nhabanga Fernanda Lopes & Associados - Advogados

PEREIRA E CASTELO BRANCO & Associados Adrian Frey JOSE CALDEIRA & ASSOCIATES Orquídea Palmíra Massarongo JOSE CALIDEIRA & ASSOCIATES Louise Alston JOSE CALDEIRA & ASSOCIATES José Caldeira JOSE CALDEIRA & ASSOCIALES Jennifer Garvey KPMG AUDITORIA CONSULTORIA, SARL Manuel Eduardo Guta MANI ARTE CONSTRUCOFS LDA Maria Ioão Dionísio MIRANDA, CORREIA, AMENDOEIRA & ASSOCIADOS Rodrigo Ferreira Rocha Miranda, Correia, AMENDOEIRA & ASSOCIADOS Pedro Ernesto Chambe MOCARGO SARI

André Couto

H. GAMITO, COUTO, GONÇALVES

Manuel Didier Malunga National Directorale of Registry and Notaries

Paolo Pimenta PIMENTA, DIONISIO E ASSOCIADOS - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS

Mark Badenhorst PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Malaika Ribeiro

PRICEWATERHOUSTCOOPERS Maria Isabel Fernandes PRICEWATERHOUSFCOOPERS

Mariam Bibi Umarji SA Consultoria e Investimentos Loa

Alexandra Carvalho Sole practitioner

Agostinho Zacarias Vuma Vuma Construcors Loa

Carlos de Sousa e Brito Carlos de Sousa e Brito & Associados

NAMIBIA

Chris Brandt Chris Brandi & Associates Hans Gerdes Engling, Stritter & Partners Eckart Pfeifer Fisher, Quarmby & Peifer

Herman Charl Kinghorn Kinghorn Associates

GF Kopplinger LORFNIZ & BONE

Hanno D. Bossau Lorentz & Bone Paul A. E. Wolff

MANICA GROUP NAMIBIA (PTY) LTD. Victor Mesquita

MANICA AFRICA Renate Rossler P.F. KOEP & CO.

Peter Koep P.F. KOFP & CO. Willem Carel Kotze P.F. Koep & Co. Hennie Gous PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

NEPAL

Prem Shanker Shrestha CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU LTD.

Purna Chitra Credit Information Bureau Ltd.

Madan Sharma CSC & CO./

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Bodhraj Niroula

CUSTOM OF NEPAL Megh Raj Pokhrel DHRUBA BAR SINGH THAPA &

Associates Kusum Shrestha Kusum Law Firm

Sudheer Shrestha KUSUM LAW FIRM

Shrawan Khanal M.K. NIRMAN SEWA Pv1. L1D.

Matrika Niraula Niraula Law Chamber

Bharat Rajupreti

PIONEER LAW ASSOCIATE

Devendra Pradhan PRADHAN & ASSOCIATES

Purna Man Shakya Rillance Law Firm

Ashok Man Kapali

Shangri-la Freight Pvt. Ltd.

NETHERLANDS

Marc Abraham Brada Kuttner Dunja Madunic

Brada Kuttner Rolf S. Jelsma

BRADA KUTTNER

Hylda Wiarda Bronsgeest Deur advocaten, member of Ius Laboris

Paul van der Molen CADASTRE, LAND REGISTRY AGENCY AND MAPPING AGENCY

Barteline A. Cnossen DE BRAUW BLACKSTONI WESTBROEK

Stefan Sagel Di Brauw Blacksfone Westbroek

Peter van Schilfgaarde DF BRAUW BLACKSTONE WESTBROEK

Margriet H. de Boer DE BRAUW BLACKSTONE WESTBROEK

Emilia L.C. van Egmond-de Wilde de Ligny FACULTY OF TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT, EINDHOVEN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Irene Vloerberg FACULTY OF TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT, EINDHOVEN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Glenn C. Haulussy Haulussy Advokaten BV Rutger Schimmelpenninck HOUTHOFF BURUMA* Henri Bentfort van Valkenburg HOUTHOFF BURUMA

Jaap Koster Houthoff Buruma

Natalia Lorenzo van Rooij Houthoff Buruma

Els van der Riet Houthoff Buruma

Jamila Tib Houthoff Buruma

KENNEDY VAN DER LAAN

Marcel Willems

Taco de Lange

A. van der Zwaan

Michel Gadron

Eugene Witjes

PLANNING AND THE

BUILDINGS AGENCY

PLANNING AND THE

BUILDINGS AGENCY

AND EMPLOYMENT

Paul Huijzendveld

leroen Holland

laap-lan Trommel

Richard W. Bakker

BV

Frits Meijer

STUDIES

loost Cuiipers

W.R. Bremer

MAERSK BENELUX B.V.

MAERSK BENELUX B.V.

MINISTRY OF HOUSING, SPATIAL

ENVIRONMENT - GOVERNMENT

MINISTRY OF HOUSING, SPATIAL

ENVIRONMENT - GOVERNMENT

MINISTRY OF SOCIAL AFFAIRS

MINISTRY OF SOCIAL AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT

NAUTA DUTILH ATTORNEYS

NAUTA DUTILH ATTORNEYS

OCEAN-TRANS INTERNATIONAL

OTB RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR

Remco van der Linden

BELASTINGADVISEURS NV

Jan Carel van Dorp

Karin Schreuder

REGISTRATIE

REGISTRATIE

VAN DOORNI

Jane Wilson

Chris Gordon

Niels Campbell

BUDDLE FINDLAY

BELL GULLY

BELL GULLY

loop Lobstein

Hugo Reumkens

NEW ZEALAND

BAYCORP ADVANTAGE

Alastair Charles Hercus

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSE COOPERS BELASTINGADVISEURS NV

STICHTING BUREAU KREDIET

STICHTING BUREAU KREDIET

HOUSING, URBAN AND MOBILITY

LEXENCE

THOMMESSEN KREFTING GREVE

THOMMESSEN KREFTING GREVE

Petter Bierke

Eirik Vikanes

Bjørn H. Kise

Vogi & Wild AS

Vogi & Wild AS

Marit Håvemoen

WIKBORG, REIN & CO.

Ala'a Eldin Mohammed

Mansoor Jamal Malik

Sohaib Ishaque

Maqbool Khabori

AL KHABORI LEGAL

JANASHAL & SHAHRI

Pradhnesh Bhonsale

Mohsin Al Haddad

Pushpa Malani

Said Al Shahry

Deborah Hatfield

Sarah Humpleby

PAKISTAN

Salman Nasim

TROWERS & HAMLINS

TROWERS & HAMIINS

A. F. FERGUSON & CO.

A. F. FERGUSON & CO.

A. F. FERGUSON & CO.

ABRAHAM & SARWANA

ABRAHAM & SARWANA

ABRAHAM & SARWANA

AZAM CHAUDHRY LAW

AZAM CHAUDHRY LAW

AKIITAR SHABIR LAW ASSOCIATES

Khalid Mahmood

Rashid Ibrahim

Jawad A. Sarwana

Farooq Abdullah

Masood Ahmed

Waheed Ahmad

Mishael Ahmed

ASSOCIATES

ASSOCIATES

Farooq Akhtar

leff Todd

AL-KIYUMI & PARTNERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWAIERHOUSECOOPIRS

SAIL AL SHAHRY LAW OFFICE

HASSAN AL ANSARI LEGAI

Mohammed Ahmed Ajham

MAERSK SHIPPING SERVICES &

MIDDLE EAST SHIPPING CO.LID.

MOHSIN AL-HADAD & AMUR

CONSULIAN IS

P.E. Lalachen

CONSULTANCY

AlShahri

Co. LLC

Rajan Karia

Abu-Ghazaleh Intellectuai

AL ALAWI, MANSOOR JAMAL &

AL ALAWI, MANSOOR JAMAL &

Thomas Nordgard

LUND AS

LUND AS

OMAN

PROPERTY

 $C\phi$.

Russell Lawn BUILDLAW - KUMEU-HUAPAI LAW CENTRE Geoff Bevan

CHAPMAN TRIPP Lester Roy Dempster

Conveyancers NZ Ltd. Michael McLean Toepfer Heskeih Henry

Richard Wilson JACKSON RUSSELL

Don Grant Land Information New Zealand

John Spittal Land Information New Zealand

Kevin Kelly Land Information New Zealand

Robbie Muir Land Information New Zealand

Neill Sullivan Land Information New

Zealant) Warwick Quinn

LAND INFORMATION NEW ZEALAND Stuart Barnard

MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS Jeffrey Lai

MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS Kate Lane MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS

Sean Gollin Minter Ellison Rudd Watts

Allen Bruford New ZEALAND CUSTOMS

SERVICE Philip Coombe Panalpina World Transport

Peter Boyce PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Kevin Best PRICEWALERHOUSECOOPERS

John Cuthbertson PrictwaterHOUSECOOPERS

Gregory Towers Simpson Grierson*

Rachel Menhennet SIMPSON GRIERSON

Sarah Walsh Simpson Grierson

Deepal Kumar Simpson Grierson

Shelley Cave SIMPSON GRIERSON

Keryn White SIMPSON GRIERSON

Hershla Lfwersen SIMPSON GRIERSON

Michael Cole Simpson Grierson

NICARAGUA

Martin Garcia Raudez ACZALAW Minerva Bellorin ACZALAW Alvarado y Asociados* Gloria Maria de Alvarado Alvarado y Asociados César Carlos Porras Rosses Alvarado y Asociados

Maria Jose Bendaña Bendaña & Bendaña Jacinto Obregon Sanchez

José Aníbal Olivas Cajina

BUFETE JURIDICO OBREGON Y ASOCIADOS

Humberto Carrión Carrión, Somarriba & Asociados

Kenneth Gadea ECODISE

Roberto Arguello Villavicencio

E.A. ARLAS & MUNOZ Gustavo Adolfo Vargas E.A. ARIAS & MUNOZ

Bertha Arguello de Rizo F.A. ARIAS & MUNOZ

Hernán Estrada Lexincorp

Ricardo Vega Jackson Nicaraguan Customs Service

Ramon Ortega PricewaterHouseCoopers

Ludovino Colón Sánchez PricewaterhouseCoopers

Alejandro Fernandez PricewaternouseCoopers

Mario Taylor Rocinis

James Voughn ROCEDES

Carlos Bonilla Superintendencia de Bancos y de Otras Instituciones Financieras

José Evenor Taboada Taboada & Asociados Rodrigo Taboada

Taboaba & Asociabos

NIGER

François Nare Centrale des Risqu'es de L'Union Monetaire Ouest Africaine

Jacques Chareyre FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Dominique Taty FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Edouard Messou FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Mounkaila Adama

Fati Kountche CABINET FATI KOUNTCHF Bernar-Oliver Kouaovi

Cabinet Kouaovi Marc Lebihan

Cabinet Marc Lebihan & Collaborateurs

Samna Daouda *SCPA Mandela* Laurent Puerta

SDV - NIGER

NIGERIA

Ladi Taiwo Ardulai, Taiwo & Co. Lawrence Fubara Anga Aelex Partners Olu Funke Adekoya Aelex Partners Nonyelum Okeke Aiumogobia & Okeki Oluseyi Abiodun Akinwunmi Akinwunni & Busari

Olaleye Adebiyi Ацько & Оуғворғ Gbenga Oyebode

ALUKO & OYFBODE Jobalo Oshikanlu

ALUKO & OYEBODE S.Y. Salami AVIOMARINE TRANSPORT

Agencii s Limited Dozie Okwuosah

CENTRAL BANK OF NIGERIA Uzoma Ogbonna

Chief Law Agu Ezetah & Co.

Lawrence Ezetah *Chief Law Agu Ezetan & Co.*

Francisca Agbasi

Chief Law Agu Ezetah & Co.

Taiwo Ayedun Credit Registry Servicts Ltd.

O.J. Ebohon DF MONTFORT UNIVERSITY

Samuel Etuk ETUK & URUA

F. O. Akrinrele

E.O. AKINRELE & Co. Adamu M. Usman

E.O. AKINRELE & CO.

Olufemi Sunmonu FEMI SUNMONU & ASSOCIATES

Ndubisi Chuks Nwasike

FirstCounsel Firm

Hauwa Evelyn Shekarau H. E. SHEKARAU & CO.

Samuel O. Umah HARRITEX GROUP

Tokunbo Agoro Lure Acoroci Co.

NIGERIAN CUSTOMS AUTHORITY

Patrick Okonjo Okonio, Odlawa & Eble

Raphael E. Emezie PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT (NIGERIA) LTD.

Tolulope Olanrewaju PricewaterhOUSFCOOPERS

Steve Okello PricewaterhouseCoopers

Ken Aitken Pricewaterhousi Coopers

Steve Kanyatte

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOFFRS Henrietta Onaga

PRICEWAILRHOUSECOOPERS Chike Obianwu

UPO UDOMA & BELO-ONAGH Daniel Agbor

UDO UDOMA & BELO-OSAGIE

Tunde Osasona Zafo Global Links Ltiv.

NORWAY

Iohan Ratvik Advokatfirma DLA Nordic DA

Svein Sulland *Advokathrmaet Selmer DA* Bernt Olav Steinland

ADVOKATEIRMAET SEIMER DA Iorunn Eriksson

CREDITINFORM AS

CREDITINFORM AS Vegard Sivertsen DELOITTE & TOUCHE

Aase Aa. Lundgaard

DEIOITTE & ΤΟυCHE

Nils-Petter Wedege

Amund Fougner

Thomas S. Farhang

KVALE & CO. ANS

Robert Romansky

KVALE & CO. ANS

Anne Ulset Sande

KVALE & CO. ANS

Dag Halfdan Sem

PORT OF ONLO

Odd Hylland

Knut Ekern

Morten Beck

Tove Ihle-Hansen

Carl Christiansen

Niels Kiaer

Finn Rime

Lillann Bugge

SCHIODT LAW FIRM

Claus R. Flinder

SIMONSEN FOYEN

Helge Onsrud

AUTHORITY)

LUND AS*

LUND AS

LUND AS

Stig Berge

LUND AS

Jorgen Lund

ADVOKATEIRMA DA

 D_{41}

DAV

RAEDER ADVOKATFIRMA

OVERSEAS SHIPPING AS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

RIME & CO. ADVOKATHIRMA

RIME & CO. ADVOKATFIRMA

STATENS KARTVERK (NORWEGIAN

THOMMESSEN KREFTING GREVE

THOMMESSEN KREFTING GREVE

THOMMISSEN KREETING GREVE

THOMMESSEN KREFTING GREVE

MAPPING AND CADASTRE

Finn Erik Engzelius

Stein Fagerhaug

Tore Ruud

DIRECTORATE OF LABOUR

HIORT, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

TOHMAISC

TOHMATSI

INSPECTION

Nadia Chaudhry Azam Chaudhry Law Associates

Mohammad Azam Chaudhry Azam Chaudhry Law Associates

Rafiq. A. Nazir Azam Chaudhry Law Associates

Tariq Bilal Bilal Law Associates

Tariq Nasim Jan Datacheck (PVT) Limited

Omair Nasim EMPIRE LOGISTICS

Ahmad Syed Akhter GROUP 'O' PYRAMID LOGISTICS GROUP / MS. PYRAMID PAKISTAN

Haider Shamsi Haider Shamsi and Co

Salman Talibuddin Kabraji & Talibuddin Advocates & Legal Counsellors

Saleem uz Zaman Kabraji & Talibuddin Advocates & Legal Counseilors

Khalid Daudpota Khalid Daubpota & Co.

Anwar Mansoor Khan Khan & Associates

Mansoor Khan KHAN & Associates Said Khan

NEWS-VIS CREDIT INFORMATION SERVICES Mahomed Jaffer

ORR DIGNAM & CO. Mamoon Khan

Orr Dignam & Co. Nadeem Ahmad

Orr Dignam & Co. Baiesh Khanna

PORT SERVICES CORPORATION Soli Parakh PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Rashid Ibrahim PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Ikram Fayaz QAMAR ABBAS & COMPANY

Abdul Rahman *Qamar Abbas & Company* Javed Khan

RAAZIQ INTERNATIONAL PVT. LTD.

Masood Khan Afridi Rizvi, Isa, AFRIDI & ANGELI Ali Adnan Ibrahim Rizvi, Isa, AFRIDI & ANGELI Javed Iqbal Qureshi Rizvi, Isa, AFRIDI & ANGELL Faisal Fazli

RIZVI, ISA, AFRIDI & ANGELL Muhammed Akhtar Javed STATE BANK OF PAKISIAN

Aftab Ahmed Khan Surridge & Beecheno Khurran Bashid

SURRIDGE & BEECHENO

Surridge & Belcheno

Ilyas Zafar Zafar ć Associates LLP

PALAU

Kenneth Barden Ministry of Finance (Republic of Palau) John Ridgeway Pacific Legai Network

PANAMA

Raúl Zuñiga Brid Aleman, Cordero, Galindo & Let

Alfredo Ramirez Jr. Alfaro, Ferrer and Ramirez

Francisco Arias G. Arias Fabrega & Fabrega Jorge González

Arias, Aleman & Mora José Miguel Navarrete

AROSEMENA, NORIEGA & CONTRERAS* Julio Cesar Contreras, III, Esq.

AROSEMENA, NORIEGA ぐ CONTRERAS Shanina Jean Contreras J. AROSEMENA, NORIEGA ぐ

CONTRFRAS Luz María Salamina ASOCIACION PANAMEÑA DE

CRÉDITO Ricardo Eskildsen Morales ESKILDSEN & ESKILDSEN

Jorge Garrido Garrido & Garrido Juan Tejada Mora Icaza, Gonzaiez-Ruiz &

ALEMAN Ramon Varela

Morgan & Morgan Francisco Pérez Patton Moreno & Asvat

Ebrahim Asvat Pai ion Moreno & Asvat

lvette Martínez S. Patton Moreno & Asvai

Alejandro Fernandez PricewaterhouseCoopers

Ramon Ortega PricewaterhouseCoopers

Ludovino Colón Sánchez PricewaterhouseCoopers

Alexandra Duque Sucre, Arias & Reyes Thais Chalmers Sucre, Arias & Reyes

José Juan Márquez *Sucre, Arias & Reyes* Ernesto B. Arias

Sucre, Arias & Reyes
PAPUA NEW

GUINEA

Anthony Smare ALLENS ARTHUR ROBINSON Vincent Bull ALLENS ARTHUR ROBINSON Lynette Baratai-Pokas CELCOR INC. Winifred T. Kamit GAULNS LAWYERS John Ridgway PACIFIC LEGAL NETWORK Thomas Taberia

PricewaterHouseCoopers John Leahy

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Rob Aarvold Steamships Shipping & TRANSPORT

Gaudi Kidu Structon Architects, Liu.

PARAGUAY

Luis Breuer Berkemeyer At forneys and Counselors

Hugo Berkemeyer BERKEMEYER ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS

Julio Gonzalez Caballero CENTRAL DE RIESGOS CREDITICIOS DE BCP

Pablo Livieres Guggiari Estudio Juridico Caniza-Livieres

Nestor Loizaga FERRERE AROGADOS Juan Bautista Fiorio Gimenez

FIORIO, CARDOZO & ALVARADO Marcelo Alvarado

Fiorio, Carinozo & Alvarado

Armindo Riquelme Fiorio, Cardozo ざ Aivarado Ramón Antonio Castillo

Saenz INFORMCONF S.A.

Roberto Moreno Rodriguez

Alcala Moreno Ruffinfliti &

Asociatos Esteban Burt

Plroni, Sosa, Tellechea, Burt & Narvaja*

Daniel Elicetche PricewaterHouseCoopers

Nadia Gorostiaga PricewarerHoUSFCOOPERS

Ana Laura Godin PricewaterhouseCoopers

Karina Lozano PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Andrea Downes SERVIMEX SACI

Rodolfo Vouga Vouga & Olmedo

María Sol Martínez Vouga & Olmedo

Olga Dios Vouga & Olmebo Alejandro Piera

Zacarias Y Fernandez Sebastian Lovera

Zacarlas Y Fernandez

PERU

Ernesto Andrade Veloz ANDRADE - VELOZ AND ASSOCIATES

Luís Fuentes Villarán Barrios Fuentes Urquiaga

José A. Delmar Benites, DL Las Casas, Forno & Ugaz Rafael Lengua Benites, De Las Casas, Forno & Ugaz Gilberto Gallos

REGALA & CRUZ

Manuel Batallones

BAP Credit Bureau

Ciriaco S. Calalang

Jesuito Morallos

CALUYA

SANTOS

CALALANG LAW OFFICES

Ofelia Abueg-Sta.Maria

FOLLOSCO MORALLOS & HERCF

LAND REGISTRATION AUTHORITY

Melva M. Evangelista-Valdez

NARCISCO IIMENEZ GONZALES

PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT (PHILIPPINES) INC.

PICAZO BUYCO TAN FIDER AND

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PricewaterhouseCoopers

OUASHA ANCHETA PEÑA &

QUASHA ANCHETA PEÑA &

BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC & DE

BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC & DE

BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC & DE

BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC & DE

Romulo, Mabanta, Buenaventura, Sayoc & de

Siguion Reyna Montecillo ć

Rolando Mario G. Villonco

SIGUION REYNA MONTECILLO &

SIGUION REYNA MONTECILLO &

SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &

SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &

SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ &

THE LAW FIRM OF COLUSO,

LIWANAG BELLO VALDEZ &

Shirly E. Almazan

Victoria R. Tamavao

Genevieve Limbo

Tammy Lipana

Zaber Protacio

Redentor Zapata

Janice Kae Ramirez

ROMULO, MABANTA.

NOLASCO

NOLASCO.

Jazmin Banal

LOS ANGELES*

LOS ANGELES

LOS ANGELES

LOS ANGELES

Connie Chu

LOS ANGELES

ONGSIAKO

ONGSIAKO

ONGSLAKO

GATMAITAN

GAIMAITAN

GAIMAITAN

Nicanor N. Padilla

Romarie Villonco

Cecile M.E. Caro

Emmanuel C. Paras

Riza Faith Ybanez

Elena Melita Chica

CHICA & ASSOCIATES

Olivia T. Olalia

ROMULO, MABANTA,

Ricardo J. Romulo

ROMULO, MABANTA,

Romeo M. Mendoza

ROMULO, MABANTA.

ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION

Manuel Ugarte BENITLES, DE LAS CASAS, FORNO & UGAZ Carlos Vegas CAMARA PERUANA DE LA

CONSTRUCCION Sergio Valencoso

CERTICOM Anabeli Gonzalez

Estudio Ferrero Abogados Guillermo Ferrero

ESTUDIO FERRERO ABOGADOS

Marco Antonio Alarcón Piana Estudio Luis Echecopar Garcia

Ricardo P. Silva Estudio Muniz, Forsyth, Ramirez, Perez-Taiman & Luna Victoria

Manuel P. Olaechea Du Bois Estudio Olaechea*

José Antonio Olaechea Estudio Olaechea

Jesús Matos

ESTUDIO OLAECHEA Manuel Villa-García

ESTUDIO OLAECHEA Guilhermo Alceu Auler Forsyth & Arbe Abogados

Gianína Gotuzzo Hernández & Rosselló Abogados

Juan Luis Hernández Hernández & Rossello Abogados

Jimy Francisco Atunga Rios MAV LOGISTICA Y TRANSPORTE S.A.

Carlos Gamarra Ministerio de Justicia del Peru

MIRANDA & AMADO ABOGADOS

Juan Luis Avendaño C.

Thomas Von Der Heyden

PANALPINA TRANSPORTES

Alonso Rey Bustamante

PANALPINA TRANSPORTES

Raul Lozano-Merino

Humberto Allemant

Pedro Grados Smith

PHILIPPINES

Tadeo F. Hilado

Teodore Regala

Regala & Cruz

REGALA & CRUZ

Emerico de Guzman

PEÑA, LOZANO, FAURA &

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCA Y

Abello Concepcion Regala & Cruz Law Firm

ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION

ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION

PAYET, REY, CAUVI ABOGADOS

MUNDIALES S.A.

Mathias Reiser

MUNDIALES S.A.

ASOCIADOS

SEGUROS.

Piotr Kowalski Pricewaterhou

Romulo, Mabanta, Buenaventura, Sayoc & de los Angeles

POLAND

Iazmin Banal

Andrzej Siemiatkowski Allen & Overy

Tomasz Wojnarowicz Allen ぐ Overy Arkadiusz Pedzich

Allen & Overy Radomil Charzynski Allen & Ovtry

Maciej Duszczyk Biuro Informach Krepytowej

Aleksander Borowicz

Biuro Informacii Kredytowej Karina Kusz

Cargoforte Sp. 2.0.0. Tomasz Dys

DYST LEGAL LAW OFFICE Jaroslaw Wysocki

GEODESY AND CARTOGRAPHY Stanislas Dwernicki

GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLSKA

Anna Ratajczyk Gide Loyrette Nouel Polska

Magdalena Wolowska

GIDE LOYRETTE NOULL POLSKA Rafal Dziedzic

GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLSKA Robert Jędrzejczyk

GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLSKA Sergiusz Ciołkowski

GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLSKA Jean Rossi

GIDF LOYRFTTE NOUEL POLSKA Grzegorz Banasiuk

GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLSKA

Dariusz Tokarczuk GIDI: LOYRFITE NOUEL POLSKA Piotr Sadownik

Gibe Loyrette Nocel Polska Robert Windmill

HAARMANN HEMMEIRATH & PARTNER Ewelina Stobiecka

Haarmann Hemmfirath & Partner

Tomasz Brudkowski Kochanski Brudkowski & Partners

Janusz Zaleski National Association of Building Employers

Marta Sołtysik Norr Stiefenhofer Lutz Law Firm

Patryk Figiel NORR STILFENHOFER LUTZ LAW

Katarzyna Domanska-Moldawa Norr Stiefenhofer Luiz Law Firm

Peter Urbanek Norr Stifffnhofer Lutz Law Firm

Piotr Kaim PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Piotr Kowalski PricewaterhouseCoopers Josef Banach PricewaterhouseCoopers

Bartlomiej Raczkowski Soltysinski Kawecki & Szlezak, member of Ius

Laboris Joanna Luzak Solitisinski Kawecki &

SZIEZAK, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS Krzysztof Pawlak SOITYSINSKI KAWECKI & SZLEZAK, MEMBER OF IUS

Laboris Tamasz Kanski Soli ysinski Kawecki & Szlezak, member of Ius

Laboris Steven Wood

TGC POLSKA LAW FIRM Anna Dzieciatkowska TGC POLSKA LAW FIRM

Dariusz Wasylkowski WARDYNSKI I WSPÓLNICY

Weronica Pelc Wardyński i Wspolnicy

Krzysztof Wierzbowski WIFRZBOWSKI I WSPÓLNICY

Bozena Ciosek Wierzbowski i Wspolnicy

PORTUGAL

Marta Leitão Abrel: & Marqu'es, Vinhas e Associados Isabel Pires Marques Arreu: & Marques, Vinhas e Associados

Miguel de Avillez Pereira Abreu, Cardigos & Associados

João Gonçalves Assunção Abreu, Cardigos & Associados Filipa Nevoa

Abreu, Cardigos & Associados

Pedro Sousa Uva Abreu, Cardigos & Associados

Catarina Araújo AICCOPN

Francisco Jose Maia Coelho AICCOPN Pedro Porto Dordio

Antônio Frutuoso de Melo e Associados

José J. Tomaz Gomes Associação de Empresas de Construção e Obras Públicas

Margarida Ramalho ASSOCIAÇÃO DE EMPRESAS DE CONSTRUÇÃO E OBRAS PUBLICAS

João Cadete de Matos Banco de Portugal

Manuel P. Barrocas BARROCAS & ALVES PEREIRA Cristina Cabral Ribeiro

BARROCAS & Alves Pereira Jorge Neves

BARROCAS & Alves Pereira

Francisco Lino Dias Barros, Sobral, G. Gomes & Associados

Sonia Goncalves Anjo Barros, Sobral, G. Gomes & Associados

Susana Braz Barros, Sobral, G. Gomes & Associados

Inês Reis Carlos Aguiar, P. Pinto & Associados, memble of Ius Laboris

Carlos de Sousa e Brito Carlos de Sousa e Brito & Associados

Antonio Souta Centro de Formalidades de Empresa

Fernando Marta Credinformacoes

Joao Moucheira Directorate General of Registry and Notary Civil Service

António Luis Figueiredo Directorate General of Registry and Notary Civil. Service

Vitorino Oliveira Directorate General of Registry and Notary Civil Service

Rita Gouveira Goncalves Pereira, Castelo Branco & Associados

M. Bowman IBICO

Cristina Dein JALLES ADVOGADOS

Djamila Osman Miranda, Correia, Amendoeira & Associados

Segismundo Pinto Basto Morais Leitao, J. Galvao Teles & Associados*

Margarida Lima Rego Morais Leitao, J. Gaivao Teles & Associados

Filipa Pedroso Morais Leitao, J. Galvao Teles & Associados

Vicky Rodriguez Neville de Rougemont & Associados

> Francisco Salgueiro NEVILLE DE ROUGEMONT & ASSOCIADOS

> Ines Mendes Oliveira NEVILLE DE ROUGEMONT & ASSOCIADOS

Rosemary de Rougemont Neville DE ROUGFMONT & ASSOCIADOS

Rui Amendoeira Pereira de Miranda, Correia & Amendoeira

Ana Margarida Maia Pereira de Miranda, Correia & Amendoeira

Jorge Lopes Polytechnic Institute of Bragança

Rita Marques PricewaterHouseCoopers Carlos Bernardes PricewaterhouseCoopers ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Crenguta Leaua

LEAUA & CADAR

Ion Dragulin

Gelu-Titus Maravela

NATIONAL BANK OF ROMANIA

NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU

NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU

NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU

NESTOR NESIOR DICULESCU

NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON PETERSEN

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Racoti, Predoiu & Partners

RACOTI, PREDOIU & PARTNERS

S.C.P.A. POPESCU & ASOCIATII

S.C.P.A. POPESCU & ASOCIATH

Roxana Teodorovici

Paraschiva Suica-Neagu

MUSAT & ASOCIATH

Manuela M. Nestor

KINGSTON PFTERSEN*

Cristina Virtopeanu

KINGSTON PETERSEN

KINGSTON PETERSEN

KINGSTON PETERSEN

Cristina Cluiescu

Oana Manuceanu

Alina Manescu

Romulus Badea

Andreea Vatui

Diana Coroaba

Anca Sandru

Daniel Lungu

Neil McGregor

Arina Dobrescu

SALANS

SALANS

SALANS

SALANS

SALANS

AT LAW

AT LAW

OFFICE

RUSSIA

Irina Strizhakova

Perry V. Zizzi

Obie L. Moore

Tiberiu Csaki

Mihaela Marin

Razvan Dinca

Cristiana Stoica

Acsinte Constantin Cristian

SC ROMTRANS SA BUCHAREST

Stoica & Asociatii Attornesy

STOICA & ASOCIATII ATTORNESY

Theodor Catalin Nicolescu

Andreas Neocleous & Co.

THEODOR NICOLESCU LAW

Anamaria Corbescu

Dan Badin

Laura Duca

Laura Ardeleanu

181

Marta Elisa Machado PricewaterhouseCoopers

Jorge Figueiredo PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Rita Granado Antunes Vieira de Almeida & Associados

Fernando Resina da Silva Vieira de Almeida & Associados

Patrícia Vinagre e Silva Vieira de Almeida & Associados

PUERTO RICO

Rubén M. Medina-Lugo Cancio, Nadal, Rivera & Díaz Julio Vizcarrondo Desarrollos Metropolitanos SE

Juan Carlos Pérez Otero Fiddler, Gonzalez & Rodriguez

Luis Mongil-Casasnovas Martinez Odeli & Calabria

Samuel Céspedes, Jr McConnell Valdes

Harry Cook McConnell Valdes

Jorge Ruiz Montilla McConnell Valdes

Tomás Acevedo McConnell Valdes

Victor Rodriguez Multitransport & Marine Co

Carmen Eva Garcias Cardenas Nicholas Nogueras & Co.

PUERTO RICO PORTS AUTHORITY

Victor Rodriguez PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Fernando J. Bonilla Esq.

Ralph Vallone Jr.

OFFICES

ASSOCIATES

lames A. Arroyo

ROMANIA

Silviu Ginju

ADD CARGO

Catalin Tripon

Serban Epure

BIROUL DE CREDIT

Potyesz Tiberu

Daniel Bruma

Veronica Grunzsnicki

BABIUC SULICA & ASSOCIATES

BABIUC SULICA & ASSOCIATES

BITRANS LTD., MEMBER OF

BOSTINA & ASSOCIATES

BOSTINA & ASSOCIATES

IKRP ROKAS & PARTNERS

Christina Spyridon

Ciprian Glodeanu

WORLD MEDIATRANS GROUP

RALPH VALLONE JR., LAW

Mvriam Matos-Bermudez

SOSA LLORENS CRUZ NERIS &

TRANSUNION DE PUERTO RICO

Aexey Tokovinin ANDREAS NEOCLEOUS & CO. Evgeny Reyzman BAKER & MCKENZIE Andrey Zhdanov BAKER & MCKENZIE Stepan Lubavsky BAKER & MCKENZIE

Vladimir Dragunov Baker & McKenzie

Dmitry Besedin BESEDIN AVAKOV TARASOV & PARTNERS

David Griston CMS Cameron McKenna Victor Sneguirev

DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY Marc Solovei Gide Loyrette Nouel*

Olga Revzina Gide Loyrette Nouel Vostok

Julia Koroleva Gide Loyrette Nouel Vostok

Janna Mansourova Gide Loyrette Nouel Vostok

David Lasfargue Gide Loyrette Nouel Vostok Dimitry Kafanov

INMAR LEGAL CO.

Darya Angelo Law Firm ALRUD

Anna Zvereva Law Firm ALRUD

Sergey Gerasimov Law Firm ALRUD

Alexander Belov Law FIRM ALRUD

Fedor Bogatyrev Law FIRM ALRUD

Anatoly E. Andriash MacLeod Dixon Jason Sande

MACLEOD DIXON

Albert Abdouline MAERSK LOGISTICS RUSSIA

Alexandra Andreeva Panalpina World Transport

Irina Im PricewaterhouseCoopers

Steven Snaith PricewaierhouseCoopers

Andrey Shpak PricewaterHouseCoopers

Evgeny Sheenko PricewaterhouseCoopers

Elena Subocheva RUSSIN & VECCHI Natalia Prisekina

Russin & Vecchi Sergei Lazarev

Russin & Vecchi

RWANDA

André Verbruggen AVA

François Bikolimana CABINET AUGECO SARI Jean Marie Vianney Mugemana Cabinet D'Avocats Mugemana & Associes Emile Masumbuko Cabinet Maitre Emile Masumbuko NDe Isaie Mpayimana Cabinet Me Isaie Mpayimana

Jean Haguma *Haguma & Associes* Christian Ndondera

HAGUMA & ASSOCIES Eugene Rurangwa MINISTÈRE DES TERRES.

Environmement, Forets, Eau et Ressources Naturelles Angelique Kantangwa National Bank of Rwanda

Annie Kairaba-Kyambadde Rwanda Initiative for Sustainable Development (RISD) / LandNet

Eric Nsengimana WORLD FREIGHT SARL

SAMOA

Sili M. Alapati Brown ALCC BROWN ENT. CO. LTD. Andrew Holford ANZ BANK SAMOA LTD. Umni Kesaan ANZ BANK SAMOA LTD. Lawrie Burich L. BURICH-BUILDING CONTRACTORS

Shelley Burich L. Burich-Building Contractors

Jerry James S. Brunt Brunt & Keli

Murray Drake Drake & Co.

Ruby Drake Drake & Co.

Patrick Fepulea'I Fepulea'I & Shuster

George Latu Latue Law Office

Fiona Ey Latuey Law Office

Robert Barlow Krase, Enari & Barlow

Maria Melei Samoa Chamber of Commerce & Industry

Paparu John Ryan Samoa Ports Authority

J. Saufoi Moors Ministry of Works, Transport & Infrastructure

Chris Grant Land Equity International

Denis Bracy Land Equity International

Kevin Nettle Land Equity International Pty Ltd.

Arthur R. Penn Lesa Ma Penn Semi Leung Wai Leung Wai Law Firm Maiava V. Peteru MAIAVA V.R. PETERU LAW FIRM John Ridgway PACIFIC LEGAL NETWORK Elon P. Betham SAMOA SHIPPING SERVICES LTD. Leulua'iali'i Tasi Malifa SOGILAW **Rav Schuster** OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL Amaramo Sialoa KVA CONSULT Grace Stowers STEVENSON'S LAWYERS Keilani Soloi SOLOI SURVEY SERVICES Toleafoa Toailoa

TOAILOA R.S. Steve Baker WESTPAC

SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE

Flávio Miguel Viegas Pinto Central Bank of Sao Tome e Principe

Julio Miguel Silva *CONSTROME* Kiluange Tiny

JURISTEP Edmar Carvalho

Miranda, Correia, Amendoeira & Associados

Alberto Galhardo Simões Miranda, Correia, Amendoeira & Associapos

Fernando Barros PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Julian Ince PricewaterHouseCoopers

Pedro Calixto

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Maria Cristina Lourenço do Sacramento ATS-AGENCIA DE TRANSITOS

VIAGENN E LOGISTICA LDA

SAUDI ARABIA

Smaer Pharaon Abu-Ghazaleh Legal

David K. Johnson Al Juraid & Company / PricewaferhouseCoopers

Soudki Zawaydeh AL JURAID & COMPANY / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Taj Eldin M. Hassan Al-Ghazzawi Professional Association

Belal Talal Al Ghazzawi AL-GHAZZAWI PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

Talal Amin Al Ghazzawi Al-Ghazzawi Professional Association

Jochen Hundt Al-Soaib Law Firm

> Abdulaziz Zaibag Alzaibag Consultants

Majed Mohammed Garoub Law FIRM OF MAJED M. GAROUB George Sayen Legal Advisors in association with Baker & McKenzie Ltd. Mamadou Sereme

Ibrahima Mbodi

Ndjaye Mbodj

Jacques Chareyre

FIDAFRICA /

Saliou Niang

FIDAFRICA /

Olivier Wybo

FIDAFRICA /

François Sarr

Edgar Julienne

Steven Jansen

Associes

Associes

SERBIA -

Iovana Ilic

Ielena Diokic

Mike Ahern

GMBH

Robert Brugger

Nenad Tisma

Neli Markovic

Mila Kasalica

Todd Robinson

Miodrag Markovic

Manolis Ktistakis

Petar Stojanovic

OFFICES

OFFICES

Partners

é~ MITIC

е́~ Мітк

Julijana Jevtic

Natasa Cveticanin

BN BOS SPED

MAERSK SEALAND

Ramatoulaye Diagne

Mame Adama Gueye

Mamadou Mbaye

MONTENEGRO

Katarina Nedelikovic

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PANALPINA WELTTRANSPORT

CREDIT INFORMATION SYSTEM

CREDIT INFORMATION SYSTEM

HAYHURST ROBINSON LAW

HAYHURST ROBINSON LAW

IKRP ROKAS & PARTNERS

Joksovic, Stojanović &

LAW OFFICES JANKOVIC, POPOVIC

LAW OFFICES JANKOVIC, POPOVIC

ORDRE DES ARCHITECTES

SCP Маме Арама Gueye &

SCP MAME ADAMA GUEYE &

MAERSK LOGISTICS

AFRICAINE

Мворі

MBOD]

CENTRALE DES RISQUES DE

ETUDE MAITRE IBRAHIMA

ETUDE MAITRE IBRAHIMA

Sidy Abdallah Kanoute

ETUDE MAITRE SIDY KANOU'LE

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

FRANCOIS SARR & ASSOCIES*

L'UNION MONÉTAIRE OUEST

Adel ElZein Mohamed Ben Laden Law Firm

Adel Elsaid Panalpina / Ghassan

Sami Al-Sarraj PricewaterhouseCoopers

Fahd Al-Mufarrij Saudi Arabian Monetary Agency

Tariq Javed Saudi Arabian Monetary Agency

Mohammad S. Aba Al-Khail Sauti Arabian Monetary Agency

Nabil Abdullah Al-Mubarak Saudi Creijit Bureau-SIMAH

Ali Abedi The Alliance of Abbas F. Ghazzawi & Co. and Hammad & Al-Mehdar

Abdul Kareem The Alliance of Abbas F. Ghazzawi & Co. and Hammad & Al-Mehdar

Ceyda Okur The Alliance of Abbas F. Ghazzawi & Co. and Hammad & Al-Mehdar

Mohammed Al-Jaddan The Law Firm of Yousef and Mohammed Al-Jaddan

Abdullah Al-Hashim The Law Firm of Yousef and Mohammed Al-Jaddan

John Beaumont The Law Firm of Yousef and Mohammed Al-Jaddan

Sameh M. Toban Toban Law Firm

SENEGAL

Moustapha N'Doye Private Attorney

Andrée Diop-Depret Architecte

Pap Oumar Sakho Cabinet d'Avocats Oumar Sakho

Rita Fall Agencf Chargee de la Promotion de l'Investissement ft des

GRANDS TRAVAUX Amadou C. Sall Agence chargée de la

PROMOTION DE L'INVESTISSEMENT ET DES GRANDS TRAVAUX

Ameth Ba Cabinet Ba & Tandian

Fatimatou Zahra Diop

CENTRALE DES RISQUES DE

L'UNION MONETAIRE OUEST

CENTRALE DES RISQUES DE L'UNION MONÉTAIRE OUEST

Khaled Houda Cabinet Kanjo Koita

AFRICAINE

Africaine

François Nare

Natasa Pipan Nahtigal ŠELIH, ŠELIH, JANEZIC & IARKOVIC

Alojz Zupančič SLOVENIAN CUSTOMS ADMINISTRATION

Borut Cvar SUBVENING: AND MAPPING AUTHORITY OF THE REPUBLIC OF SLOVENIA

Bozena Lipej SURVEYING AND MAPPING AUTHORITY OF THE REPUBLIC OF Slovenia

SOLOMON ISLANDS

Don Whinfield THE BLUFF CONSULTING AND AGRICULTURE

Bruce Saunders BJS AGENCIES LIMITED

John Ridgway PACIFIC LEGAL NETWORK

Wayne Morris PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

SOUTH AFRICA

Fatima Bhyat Roelof Grové ADAMS & ADAMS Michael Adcock BOWMAN GILFILLAN* Claire Tucker BOWMAN GILFILLAN Eatima Laher

BOWMAN GILFILLAN

Tim Gordon-Grant

BOWMAN GILFILLAN Michael Vorster

BOWMAN GILFILLAN Heidi Bell

BOWMAN GITHLLAN Kim Goss

BOWMAN GILFILLAN

Paul Coetser BRINK COHEN LF ROUX

Randall van Voore Cliffe Dekker

Osafo Gyimah CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY Development Board Llevellyn Van Wyk

CSIR Ivan Tshimangwe

Delange Inc

Eamonn Quinn EAMONN DAVID QUINN Al"TORNEY

Miranda Feinstein Edward Nathan

Gretchen De Smit EDWARD NATHAN

Andrea Bezuidenhout FINMARK TRUST

Jude Kearney LeBOEUF LAMB GREENE & MACRAE

Mary Chege-Mwangi LEBOEUF LAMB GREENE & MACRAE

Ivan Petrovic LAW OFFICES JANKOVIC, POPOVIC & MILIC

Srdja M. Popovic Law offices Popovic, Popovic, Samardzija & Popovic

Lidija Tomasovic LAW OFFICES POPOVIC, POPOVIC, SAMARDZIJA & POPOVIC

Zivka Diuric MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOYMENT AND SOCIAL AFEAIRS

Relja Zdravkovic SCHOENHLRR RECHTSANWAELTE

Oliver Haussmann SCHOENHERR RECHTSANWALITE

Relia Zdravkovic SCHOENHERR RECHTSANWALLE

Oliver Haussmann SCHOENHERR RECHTSANWALLTE

Dubravka Kosic STUDIO LEGALE SUTTI

Bojana Bregovic WOLF THEISS

Vidak Kovacevic WOLE THEISS

Milos Zivkovic ZIVKOVIC & SAMARDZIC LAW OFFICE

SIERRA LEONE

Denis Cordel BOLLORE DTI - SDV Jean Marcel Gariador BOLLORE DTI - SDV

Darcy White PRICEWAI ERHOUSECOOPERS

George Kwatia PRICEWATERHOUSTCOOPERS

Charles Egan PRICEWAIERHOUSECOOPERS

Shaira Adamali PRICEWATERHOU'SECOOPERS

Abdul Tejan-Cole A THAN-COLE AND ASSOCIATES

Sonkita Conteh A. THAN-COLE AND ASSOCIATES Oliver Onvlander

ADFLE CHAMBERS

Berthan Macaular Вазма 🔶 Масаичау

Mariama Dumbuya LEGAL ACCESS THROUGH WOMEN YEARNING EQUALITY RIGHTS AND SOCIAL JUSTICE (L.A.W.Y.E.R.S.)

Farıd Alghali ROBERTS AND PARTNER

Ibrahim S. Yillah ROBERTS AND PARTNER

Emmanuel Roberts ROBERTS AND PARTNER

Centus Macaulev ROBERTS AND PARTNER

Corneleius Adeyemi Max-Williams I SIFRRA LEONE SHIPPING AGENCIES LTD.

Rowland S.V. Wright WRIGHT & CO, BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS

SINGAPORE

Aloysius Leng ABRAHAMLOW Monica Neo CHANTAN LLC George Tan CHANTAN LLC Sam Bonifant CLIFFORD CHANCE Nandakumar Ponniya CLIFFORD CHANCE Ai-Chuin Serene Chee DONALDSON & BURKINSHAW*

Sharon Tav DONALISSON & BURKINSHAW

Chi Duan Gooi DONALDSON & BURKINSHAW David Teo DONALDSON & BURKINSHAW Chit Fai Kelry Loi DONALDSON & BURKINSHAW Bok Hoay Tan DONALDSON & BURKINSHAW

Latiff Ibrahim HARRY ELIAS PARTNERSHIP Alvin Lingam HARRY ELIAS PARTNERSHIP

Mun Wah Cheong JTC CORPORATION Benjamın Yap

Kelvin Chia Partnership Tony Chua MAERSK SINGAPORE PTE LID. Freddy Kuan MULTIMODAL TRANSPORT GROUP NEIWORK

Eric Swee PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT (S) PTE LTD

See Tiat Quek PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Deepak Kaul PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Paula Eastwood PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Kala Anandarajah RAIAH & TANN

Lim Wee Teck RAIAH & TANN Ong Hway Cheng

RAJAH & TANN Lee Lay See RAJAH & TANN

Priya Selvam RAIAH & TANN Teng Siu Ing

SINGAPORE LAND AUTHORITY Beng Hong Ong

WONG TAN & MOLLY LIM LIC

SLOVAKIA

Renatus Kollar ALLEN & OVERY Sonia Horváthová ALLEN & OVERY BRATISLAVA, Pavol Erben

BLAHA, ERBEN & PARTNERI

ČECNAHOVA RAKOVSKÝ Martin Javorcek CMS CARNOGURSKY Juraj Elias CMS CARNOGURSKÝ Tomás Kamenec DEDAK & PARTNERS, S.R.O. Jana Brezinova DEDÁK & PARTNERS, S.R.O. Peter Neštepný DETVAI LUDIK MALY UDVAROS Jozef Malý DETVAL LUDIK MALY UDVAROS Miloš Kachňák DETVAI LUDIK MALÝ UDVAROS Michaela Špetková GEODESY, CARTOGRAPHY AND CADASTRE AUTHORITY OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC Nadezda Niksova Geodesy, Cartography and CADASTRE AUTHORITY OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC Martin Bednár HMG & PARTNERS, S.R.O.

Iana Moravcikova

Katarina Cechova

Tomáš Rybár

ČECNAHOVA RAKOVSKÝ*

ČECNAHOVA RAKOVSKÝ

ČECNAHOVA RAKOVSKÝ

ČECNAHOVA RAKOVSKÝ

ČECNAHOVA RAKOVSKÝ

ČECNAHOVA RAKOVSKÝ

ČECNAHOVA RAKOVSKÝ

ČECNAHOVÁ RAKOVSKÝ

ČECNAHOVA RAKOVSKÝ

Michaela Jurková

Tomáš Zarecký

Zuzana Petrasova

Tomáš Maretta

Roman Bircak

Jana Štelbacká

Radoslav Saly

Monika Berecova MINISTRY OF LABOUR, SOCIAL AFFAIRS AND FAMILY

Milan Šemelak Ministry of Labour, Social Affairs and Family

Roman Turok-Hetes NATIONAL BANK OF SLOVAKIA

Lenka Očkaiková PETERKA & PARTNERS Ondrei Dusek

PETERKA & PARTNERS Robert Brugger

PANALPINA WELITRANSPORT GMBH

Todd Bradshaw PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Georgina Galova PRICHWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Radmila Benkova PRICEWATERHOU'SECOOPERS

Clare Moger PRICEWAI'ERHOUSECOOPERS

Zuzana Valerova PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Lucijan Klemencic PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Crtomir Borec

Ián Budinský

Dagmar Zukalova

Lenka Subenikova

WOLF THEISS

Erik Steger

WOLF THEISS

SLOVENIA

Tına Rozman Kasnik

Colja, Rojs & Partnerji

BANK OF SLOVENIA

Simon Bračun

Barbara Kozaric

Andraz Brodnjak

Tohmatsu

Tohmatsu

TOHMATSU

Nada Drobnic

Renata Šterbenc

Aleksandra Jemc

Pavle Pensa

Sreco Jadek

Ursa Penca

Boris Ruzic

AND SOCIAL AFEAIR

AND SOCIAL AFFAIR

Borut Brezovar

Grega Peljhan

Robert Brugger

Janja Ovsenik

Danilo Marinovic

GMBH

Deloitte & Touche

Deloitte & Touche

Deloitte & Touche

Marina Ferfolja Howland

Law Office Jadek & Pensa

LAW OFFICE JADEK & PENSA

LAW OFFICE JADFK & PENSA

LAW OFFICE JADEK & PENSA

LAW OFFICE JADEK & PENSA

MINISTRY OF LABOUR, FAMILY

MINISTRA OF LABOUR, FAMILY

Odvetniška družba Colja,

PANALPINA WELTTRANSPORT

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Rojs & PARTNERII, O.P., D.N.O.

Ferfolia, Liubic in Partnerji

Michal Luknar

SKLEGAL, LAW OFFICE

5.R.Ö.

5.R.O.

SCB – SLOVAK CREDIT BUREAU,

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY

Iain McGuire PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Florian Kirchhof

Simon Seibert

Rudi Šelih Šelih, Šelih, Janezic 🗠

IARKOV K Andrei Iarkovič

ŠELIH, ŠELIH, JANEZIC & IARKOVIC

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

SCHOENHERR RECHTSANWAEI I E

Seiberi Seibert

Rajen Ranchhoojee LeBOEUF LAMB GREENE & MACRAE

Victor Mesquita Manica Africa

Erle Koomets PricewaterhouseCoopers

Jenny Murphy SAFCOR PANALPINA

Peter Sands SDV TRANSAMI (PTY) LTD

Ralph Zulman SUPREME COURT OF APPEAL OF

South Africa Lauren Flemming

TRANSUNION ITC

TRANSUNION ITC Renee Kruger

WEBRER WENTZEL BOWENS

SPAIN

José Gómez Garrido Altius S.A. Cristina Calvo Ashurst

Anselmo Diaz Fernández Bank OF Spain

Nicolas Nogueroles Colegio de Resgitradores de La Propiedad y Mercantiles de España

Guillermo Frühbeck Dr. Fruhbeck Abogados y Economistas

Fermin Córdoba Gavín ECHECOPAR ABOGADOS LAW FIRM

Miquel Palleres ED ALTAYA

Nicolas Vedrenne EXPERIAN BUREAU DE CREDITO

Ana Just Luris Valls Abogados Carlos Vall

LURIS VALLS ABOGADOS

Calvin A. Hamilton Monereo, Meyer & Marinel-Lo

Sonke Schlaich MONEREO, MEYER ぐ MARINEL-LO

Andres Monereo Velasco Monereo, Meyer ぐ Marinel-Lo

Alfonso Pedrajas Mullerat

Iván Delgado Pérez-Llorca

Pedro Pérez-Llorca Zamora PEREZ-LLORCA

Siro Arias PricewaterhouseCoopers

Basilio Aguirre Registro de la Propiedad de España

Roser Ràfols Roca Junyent Advocats

Iñigo Sagardoy de Simón Sagardoy & Abogados, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

Ricardo Rebate Labrandero SU

Sánchez Pintado, Nuñez & Asociados Pilar Salinas

Sánchez Pintado, Nuñez & Asociados Marco Zambrini

Uria & Menendez* Arancha Seva García

Uria & Menéndez Charles Coward

URÍA & MENÉNDEZ

Candido Paz-Ares Uría & Menendez Alejandro Ferreres Uría & Menéndez

Pablo González-Espejo Uría & Menéndez Rafael Sebastián

URIA & MENENDEZ Eduardo Rodríguez-Rovira

Uria & Menéndez

SRI LANKA

Ajith Nivard Cabraal CABRAAL CONSULTING GROUP (PVT) LTD, MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS N.P.H. Amarasena CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU

of Sri Lanka Avindra Rodrigo E.L. & G.Df Saram*

Roshani Kobbekaduwa

Ayomi Aluwihare-Gunawardene EL & G DE SARAM

John Wilson, Jr. John Wilson Pariners

Rujaratnam Senathi Rajah Julius & Creasy

Amila Fernando

JULIUS & CREASY T.G. Gooneratne JULIUS & CREASY

Madawan Amarasiri Mega Trend International pvt. Ltd.

Asiri Perera MIT Cargo LTD Paul Ratnayeke

PAUL RATNAYEKE ASSOCIATES Daya Weeraratne

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Subashini Abraham

SUDATH PERERA ASSOCIATES Sudath Perera SUDATH PERERA ASSOCIATES

Ramani Muttettuwegama Tichurelvam Associates

Asanka Abeysekera *Tichureivam* Associates Sharmela de Silva

TIRUCHELVAM ASSOCIATES Niranjan Sinnethamby TIRUCHELVAM ASSOCIATES

Mayuri Kodikara Tiruchelvam Associates

Mahinda Haradasa Varners Lanka Office

SUDAN

Mohamed Osman Darka for Trading and Servicfs Co. Ltd.

Abdel Gadir Warsama Ghalib Dr. Abdel Gadir Warsama Ghalib & Associates Legal Firm

Abdullah A. Abozaid Law Office of Abdullah A. Abozaid Tarig Mahmoud Elsheikh

MAHMOUD ELSHEIKH OMER & Associates

SWEDEN

Carl Ostring ADVOKATFIRMAN FYLGIA KB Lars Nylund ADVOKATFIRMAN FYLGIA KB Magnus Graner

ADVOKATFIRMAN LINDAHL Olof Hallberg ADVOKATFIRMAN LINDAHL

Pernilla Carring ADVOKATHIRMAN LINDAHL

Martin Pagrotsky

ADVOKATHRMAN VINGT Robert Wikholm

Advokatfirman Vinge Lars Hartzell Elmzell Advokatbyrå HB,

MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS Karl-Arne Olsson

GARDE WESSLAU Peder Hammarskiold

HAMMARSKIOLD & CO. Eric Halvarsson HAMMARSKIOLD & CO.

Susanne Ohbom Hokerberg & Soderqvist

ADVOKATBYRÅ KB Mattias Ornulf

HOKERBERG & SODERQVIST ADVOKATBYRA KB Bengt Kjellson

LANTMATERIFT Camilla Levinsson MAGNUSSON WAHLIN QVIST

STANBROOK ADVOKAT

Magnusson Wahlin Qvist Stanbrook Advokat Martin Wallin

MaîtreLinklaters Advokatbyra

Henric Diefke Mannheimer Swartling Advokatbyrä

Hans Andersson Ministry of Industry, Employment and Communications

Lennart Palm NNR (Board of Swedish Industry and Commerce for Better Regulation)

Tomas Loov NNR (Board of Swedish Industry and Commerce for Beiter Regulation)

Stefan Sjöblom Panaipina Sweden AB Christa Persson Panalpina Sweden AB Hedda Stiernstedt Hans R. Hintermeister

ZEK Switzerland

Movazza Al-Ashhab

ACCOUNTING CENTER

Kanaan Al_Ahmar

Alissar Al-Ahmar

Moussa Mitry

Fady Kardous

AL-AHMAR & PARTNERS

AL-AHMAR & PARTNERS

& MITRY LAW OFFICE

KARAWANI LAW OFFICE

KARDOUS LAW OFFICE

LAW OFFICE OF M KHADDOUR

SFS (SPEED FORWARD SHIPPING)

Syrian Arab Consultants Law

SYRIAN ARAB CONSULTANTS LAW

TAIWAN, CHINA

Mazen Khaddour

Housam Safadi

SAFADI BURFAU

Nabih Alhafez

Riad Daoudi

OFFICE

OFFICE

Hani Bitar

Andrew Yeh

Justin Liang

Bee Leay Teo

Cindy Chou

James Hong

C.F. Tsai

íohn Chen

Yuling Hsu

PANALPINA TAIPEI

BAKER & MCKENZIE

BAKER & MCKENZIE

CHEN, SHYUUM PUN

CHEN, SHYUUC PUN

CHINESE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

DEEP & FAR, ATTORNEYS AT LAW

OF GENERAL CONTRACTORS

FORMOSA TRANSNATIONAL, ATTORNEYS AT LAW

FORMOSA TRANSNATIONAL,

FORMOSA TRANSNATIONAL,

IOINT CREDI'I INFORMATION

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Chun-Yih Cheng

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Fang-Ting Kuo

CENTER

Julie Chu

JONES DAY

JONES DAY

Serina Chung

Margaret Huang

LCS & PARTNERS

LCS & PARTNERS

LCS & PARTNERS

LCS & PARTNERS

Victor Chang

Iocelyn Liu

Rich Lin

Zue Min Hwang

Ousama Karawani

DAMASCUS UNIVERSITY / LOUKA

SYRIA

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Roger Gavelin

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Ake Radberg

SWEDISH CONSTRUCTION FEDERATION Tommy Bisander

UC AB

Malin Ohlin-Akermark VINGE KB, ADVOKATHIRMAN*

SWITZERLAND

Robert Lüssi Administration Federale des Douanes Suisses

Peter R. Altenburger Altenburger & Partners

Fredéric Bétrisey Baker & MCKenzie

Philippe de Salis

Borel & Barbey

Andrea Molino Brunoni Pedrazzini Molino Mottus

Barbara Stockli-Klaus

FRORIEP RENGGLI

Beat M. Barthold

FRORIEP RENGGLI

Wassilos Lytras

MAERSK LOGISTICS

SWITZERLAND LTD.

Jacques Tissot

FONCIER

Urs Kloti

Robert Furter

Eva Leuthold

Karl Arnold

Marc-André Tudisco

Arbeitnehmerschuiz

INTERKANTONALER VERBAND FUR

OFFICE CHARGE DU DROIT DU

PESTALOZZI LACHENAL PATRY*

PESTALOZZI LACHENAL PATRY

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWAI ERHOU'SECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Swiss Federal Directorate of

Andrin Waldburger

Martina Schmid

Beat Buchler

BAUINDUSTRIE

SBI GRUPPE DER

Daniel Steudler

Suzanne Eckert

Marc Tütsch

WENGER PLATTNER

WENGER PLATTNER

CADASTRAL SURVEYING

Schweizerischen

Guy-Philippe Rubeli

Michael Kramer

Marcel Zehnder

Katja Roppelt

REGISTRE FONCIER ET DU DROIT

Wen-Horng Kao PRILEWATERHOUSECOOPERS JOYCE Cheng PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Shing-Ping Liu PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS C.Y. Huang TSAR & TSALLAW FIRM* Edgar Y. Chen

TSAR & TSAI LAW FIRM James J.M. Hwang TSAR & TSAI LAW FIRM Chen Hui-ling

WINKLER PARTNERS Rachel Chiao

WINKLER PARTNERS Wayne Lee YANGMING PARINERS

Stephen Franck Yangming Partners

Charles Hwang Yangming Pariners

TANZANIA

Manish Vyas Pamela David CREDIT REFERENCE BUREAU AFRICA LTD.

Johnson Jasson Johnson Jasson & Co. Associates

Leopold Thomas Kalunga Katunus & Co. ADVOCATES

Naimi Dyer Kalunga & Co. Alwocarts Leopold Thomas Kalunga Kalunga & Co. Alwocarts

Grace Shao Maajar, Rwfchungura, Nguluma & Makani

Alex Nguluma Maaiar, Rwechungura, Nguluma & Makani

Charles R. B. Rwechungura Maajar, Rwechungura, Nguluma & Makam

Mwanjala Njama MAFRSK LOGISTICS TANZANIA LTD.

Siri A. Malai Matai Freight

Nimrod Mkono Mkono & Co. Law FIRM Wilbert Kapinga

MKONO & CO. LAW HIRM Aisha Naiga

MKONO & CO, LAW HRM Rishit Shah

PRICEWALERHOUSECOOPERS David Tarimo

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Philippe de la Khetulle SDV AMI TANZANIA Mohamed Sumar SUMAR VARMA ASSOCIATES

Santosh Gajjar SUMAR VARMA ASSOCIATES

THAILAND

Stephen Ogunlana Asian Institute of technology Narong Leungbootnak

Asian Înstitute of Technology Suwat Kerdphon

BANGKOK METROPOLITAN LAND OFFICE Ratana Poonsombudlert

CHANDLER AND THONG-EK Jessada Sawatdipong CHANDLER AND THONG-EK

Peradach Patanachan CLIFFORD CHANCE Paul Gregory CLIFFORD CHANCE Rawee Wan Thongsrimadum CLIFFORD CHANCE Nipa Wongveekul

DEJ-UDOM & ASSOCIATES Kowit Somwaiya

LawPLUS LTD. Pornsaran Sangsatra Panalpina World Transport (Thailand Ltd. Suttipong Srisaard PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Thavorn Rujivanarom

PRICHWATERHOUSECOOPER: Vira Kammee SIAM CITY LAW OFFICES LIMITED

Picham Sukparangsee SIAM CITY LAW OFFICES LIMITED

Sawat Sangkavisit SIAM PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE LTD.

Veronica Siow SIAM PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE LTD.

William Lehane SIAM PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE LTD.

Erik Jalava S-NET FREIGHT (HOLIMNGS) PTF, LID.

Samma Kitsin Thai Credit Bureau

Wanna Rakyao Thailand Land Titling Project Office

Cynthia Pornavalai Tillekl & Girmins International LtD.

Pimvimol Vipamaneerut Tilleke & Gibbins Intfernational Ltd.*

John Fotiadis Tilleke & Gibbins Infernational Ltip

Piyanuj Ratprasatporn Tilleke & Gibbins International Ltd.

Pascale Prud'homme Tilleke & Gibbins International LtD. Dussadee Rattanopas Tilleke & Gibbins

INTERNATIONAL LTD.

Noppramart Prasitmonthon TILLEKE & GIBBINS INTERNATIONAL LTD. David Lyman

TILLEKE & GIBBINS INTERNATIONAL LTD. Harold K, Vickery Jr. Vickery & Worachai LTD Chinnavat Chinsangaram WHITE & Case

Sakchai Limsiripothong WHITE & CASE

TIMOR-LESTE

Kim Glenn ARD INC. Edwin Urresta ARD INC. Phoebe Kalazane ARD INC. Tiago Sarmento

JSMP–JUDICIAL SYSTEMS MONITORING PROGRAMMF Eusebio Guterres

LAIFET CONSULTANT AND ADVOCACY Pedro Sousa MINISTRY OF JUSTICE

Georgina de Mello PIU-SEP

Amandino Benevides PROVEDOR'S OFFICE

Silveiro Pinto Provenor's Office

Sebastiao Dias Ximenes PROVEDOR'S OFFICE

Amandino Benevides PROVEDOR`S OFFICE

Silveiro Pinto PROVEDOR'S OFFICE

Alzira Lay

SDV LOGISTICS

Eric Mancini SDV Logistics

Rafael Ribeiro SDV Logistics Iose Pedro Camoes

TIMOR LESTE LEGAL AID LBH-TL

Vital dos Santos VSP - VITAL DOS SANTOS ぐ PARTNERS

Gustavo Bussinger BANK PAYMENT AUTHORITY

Hau Kium Foo

CHINESE BUSINESS ASSOCIATION Pedro Aparicio

Consultoria de Lei

Martin Breen Consultoria de Lei

> Edio da Costa Ministry of Development

Rui Castro Private Investor

Alzira Lay

SDV LOGISTICS

Eric Mancini SDV Logistics

Rafael Ribeiro SDV LOGISTICS Francisco Soares SERVICO DO IMPOSTO DE TIMOR LESTE Benjamin Sanches THE ASIA FOUNDATION Dionisio Babo Soares THE ASIA FOUNDATION Americo Laia TIMOR TELECOM, SA Rui Gomes UNDP--UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME Vorasakdi Arora

UNMISET Stephen Vance

USAID - TIMOR LESTE

TOGO

François Nare Centrale des Risqu'es de l'Union Monetaire Ouest Africaine

Jean-Marie Adenka Cabinet Adenka

Galolo Soedjede Cabinet d'Avocats

John Kokou Cabinet d'Avocats

Martial Akakpo Cabinet Martial Akakpo

Alexis Aquereburu Cabinet Me A.C. Aquereburu

Koffi Alinon CRCD / LandNet

Kofi Kumodzì DRH–Giobal Excel International

Jacques Chareyre FIDAFRICA /

PricewaterHouseCoopers Dominique Taty

FIDAFRICA / PricewaterhouseCoopers

Edouard Messou FIDAFRICA / PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Richard Akpoto-Kougbleneou L'Ecole Africaine des Metiers De L'Architecture et de L'Urbanisme (EAMAU) STUDIO ALPHA A.I.C.

Yves Maillot SDV / SAGA - BOLLORE GROUP Jean Marcel Gariador SDV - BOLLORE DTI

Denis Cordel SDV - Bolloré DTI

TONGA

Sione Tuitavake Fonua PRIVATE LAWYER Penisimani L. Latu INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND COMPANY REGISTRATION Hastings L. Faapoi HASDRA Teimumu Tapueluelu WESTPAC Laki M. Niu LAKI NIU OFFICES Salesi Mataele OCEANTRANZ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 185

Manu Mataele **OCEANTRANZ** William Clive Edwards TONGASAT Christine 'Uta'atu 'UIA'ATU AND ASSOCIATES Elmer Sionasa UTA'ATU AND ASSOCIATES Paul Pelzer ANZ David Garrett GARRETT & ASSOCIATES Petunia Tupou FUNGATEIKI LAW OFFICE Teleisia M. Sifisa KIWI (TONGA) LTD. Arthur Budvietas KRAMER TONGA LTD. Bryan Welch

Pacific Finance & Investment Lid

John Ridgway Pacific Legal Network

Toması Fakahua Sione Toması Naite Fakahua

LAW OFFICE Diana Warner SKIP'S CUSTOM JOINERY LTD.

TONGA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Tonga Development Bank

TONGA DEVELOPMENT BANK

Alvina Tu'inukuafe Manu

TONGA NEW ZEALAND BUSINESS

ADLY BELLAGHA AND ASSOCIATES

ADLY BELLAGHA AND ASSOCIATES

Mohamed Moncef Barouni

Avocats Conseils Reunis

CENTRAL BANK OF TUNISIA

Tapu Penuve

Aisea Petelo

Lee Miller

ASSOCIATION

TUNISIA

Ibed Tanazefti

Adly Bellagha

Faiza Feki

Radhi Meddeb

MEZIOU KNANI

Lina bou Richa

MEZIOU KNANI

Héla Ben Miled

MEZIOU KNAN

Amina Larbi

Amel Ferchichi

Kamel Ben Salah

Sami Kallel KALLEL & ASSOCIATES

COMETE ENGINEERING

Noureddine Ferchiou

Ferchiou & Associates

FERCHIOU & ASSOCIATES

FERCHIOU & ASSOCIATES

GAIJI AND BEN MANSOUR

Elyès Ben Mansour

GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL

GIDE LOYRETTE NOULL

GIDE LOYRET TE NOUEL

Lamine Bellagha

WESTPA

Simione Sefanaia

Adel Saibi MAERSK LOGISTICS Mouelhi Lotfi MAERSK TUNISI Faouzi Mili MILI AND ASSOCIATES Mabrouk Maalaoui **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS**

Marie Louise Gam PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Abderrahmen Fendri **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS** Salaheddine Caid Essebsi

THE SALAHEDDINE CAID ESSEBSI AND ASSOCIATES

TURKEY

Ruçhan Derici 3E DANISMANLIK LTD. STI.

Feyza Tukel BIRCANOGLU LAW FIRM

Senem Gursoy BIRCANOGLU LAW FIRM

Erol Bircanoglu Jr. BIRCANOGLU LAW FIRM

Sebnem Onder CARMAR ORTAR AVUKAT BUROSU

Zevnep Cakmak CAKMAK ORTAK AVUKAT BUROSI

I. Hakki Arslan CENTRAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF TURKEY

Devrim Çukur CUKUR & YILMAZ

Dilara Duman DTB DIS TICARET BILGI MERKEZI

Y. Selim Sariibrahimoglu DTB DIS TICARET BILGI MERKEZI

Kazim Derman KKB KREDIT KAYIT BUREAU

Selen Gures LAW OFFICES OF M. FADLULLAH CERRAHOGLU

Avsegül Yalcinmani LAW OFFICES OF M. FADLULLAH CERRAHOGLU

Melis Biskin LAW OFFICES OF M. FADLULLAH CERRAHOGLU

Fadlullah Cerrahoglu LAW OFFICES OF M. FADLULLAH Cerrahoglu

Burcu Mutulu LAW OFFICES OF M. FADLULLAH CERRAHOGLU

Yelda Dogan LAW OFFICES OF M. FADLULLAH CERRAHOGLU

Sule Dilek Celik LAW OFFICES OF M. FADLULLAH Cerrahoglu

Arcan Favatorbay MAERSK DENIZCILIK A.S.

Mehmet Gün MEHMET GUN & CO.

Nee Tasdemir MEHMET GUN & CO. Ural Özbek

MEHMET GUN & CO.

Baris Kalayci MEHMET GUN & CO. Bilge Saltan Mehmet Gun & Co. Ugur Aktekin Менмет Gun & Co. Selma Toplú Ünlu Mehmet Gun & Co.

Elvan Aziz PAKSOY & CO.

Serdar Paksoy Ракзоу & Со. Peter M. Anetsberger PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT

NAKLIYAT LTD, TURKEY Sefika Pekin

PEKIN & BAYAR LAW FIRM* Elif Tezcan PEKIN & BAYAR LAW FIRM

Selim Yavuz

PEKIN & PFKIN

Ahmed Pekin Ρεκιν 🔶 Ρεκιν

Burcu Acarturk

PEKIN & PEKIN

Sezin Okkan

Pekin & Pekin Hande Hamevi

PEKIN & PEKIN Pinar Tanilkan

Pekin & Pekin Lale Giray

PEKIN & PEKIN Fuat Tuac

Рекіп 👉 Рекіп

Faruk Sabuncu **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS**

Ekin Altintas PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

H. Barıc Yalçın

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Mehmet Artemel

SERAP ZUVIN LAW OFFICES

Canan Ersen SERAP ZUVIN LAW OFFICES

Serap Zuvin SERAP ZUVIN LAW OFFICES

M. Selcuk Polat

. Turkish Contractors ASSOCIATION Nihat Ozdemir

TURKISH CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION

Kadriye Baysal TURKISH CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION

UGANDA

Stuart Forster BRITISH HIGH COMMISSION David F.K. Mpanga A.F. MPANGA, ADVOCATES Robert Kiggundu ARCH FORUM LTD. Rose Namarome Lex Uganda Advocates & SOLICITORS Pious Olaki LEX UGANDA ADVOCATLS & SOLICITORS

Rachel Mwanje Musoke MUGHRWA & MASEMBE, **ADVOCATES** Assumpta Kemigisha NANGWALA, REZIDA & CO. ADVOCATES

SOLICITORS

Charles Odere

Alex Rezida NANGWALA, REZIDA & CO. ADVOCATES

LEX UGANDA ADVOCATES &

Osborne Wanyoike PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Russell Eastaugh PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Paul Frobisher Mugambwa PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Fatuma Nabulime

SDV TRANSAMI - UGANDA

Stephen Batanda SDV TRANSAMI - UGANDA

Ezekiel Tuma Shonubi, Мизоке & Со.

Alan Shonubi SHONUBI, MUSOKF & CO.

UKRAINE

Yevgeniy Karpov ASTAPOV LAWYERS Valeria Kazadorova BAKER & MCKENZIE Yaroslav Gregirchak CHADBOURNE AND PARKE Alexei Sirenko Delta Express International Vadim Nemirovskiy FORMAG Ruslan Israpilov GRISCHENKO & PARTNERS Sergei Voitovich GRISCHENKO & PARTNERS Natalia Artemova GRISCHENKO & PARTNERS Viktor Andriyaka GRISCHENKO & PARTNERS Vira Potyekhina GRISCHENKO & PARINFRS Galina P. Zagorodnyuk KONNOV & SOZANOVSKY Ilona Melnichuk KONNOV & SOZANOVSKY Alexey Pokotylo KONNOV & SOZANOVSKY Sergei Konnov KONNOV & SOZANOVSKY Yuriy Brykaylo KONNOV & SOZANOVSKY Maksym Kopeychykov LAW FIRM "ILYASHEV AND PARTNERS' Ilya Onyschenko

LAW FIRM "IP&C CONSULT" Markveyev Sergiy

MAERSK UKRAINE Oleg Zagnitko

> MAGISTER & PARTNERS Pavel Zakharov

PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT ITD

Maria Livinska PRICEWATERHOU'SECOOPERS Jorge Intriago PRICEWATERHOU'SECOOPERS Abdul Latif Essa

HILAL ASSOCIATES

HILAL ASSOCIATES

Henrik Petersen

MAERSK SEALAND

Dean Rolfe

Khaled Amin

Ayman Hamdy

Jennifer Bibbings

Natalie Seeff

TROWERS & HAMLINS

TROWERS & HAMLINS

Simon Cookson

COLLYER-BRISTOW

DAVIS LANGDON

DAVIS LANGDON

Sarah Lawson

Michael Steiner

Michael Brown

Gillian Key-Vice

EXPERIAN LTD

Iulia Yates

DERINGER

John Meadows

Steve Mallen

KNIGHT FRANK

Richard Lister

Sandro Knecht

PANALPINA

Nick Francis

Kerry Coston

leremv Rav

FREIGHTNET

Phil Morrison

HM LAND REGISTRY

DENTON WILDE SAPTE

DENTON WILDE SAPTE

Eversheds Law Firm

Paul Samuel Gilbert

Daniel Joseph Gabay

REDWOOD (INTL) LTD.

FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS

LEWIS SILKIN SOLICITORS, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Richard Collier-Keywood

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

Andrew D. Haywood

Christopher Mallon

ROLLINGSONS SOLICITORS

Weil, Gotshal & Manges

FINERS STEPHENS INNOCENT

Jim Meikle

David Crosthwaite

Ashurst

Ashurst

Paul Sillis

Laura Cram

UNITED KINGDOM

Desmond Balendra

Sanjay Manchanda

PANALPINA GULF LLC

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE

SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE

Rashid Ahmed Hilal

Svetlana Bilvk **PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS**

Oleg Shevchuk PROVEN

Victor Kachurenko SHEVCHENKO DIDKOVSKIY & PARTNERS

Oleksandr Padalka Shevchenko Didkovskiy & PARTNERS

Igor A. Shevchenko Shevchenko Didkovskiy & PARTNERS

Igor Svechkar SHEVCHENKO DUDKOVSKIV 🛧 PARTNERS

Markian Silecky SILECKY LAW FIRM

Maryana Yarmolenko SILECKY LAW FIRM

Oleg Alyoshin VASIL KISIL & PARTNERS Valeriv Lukinov VENISSA LTD.

UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

Nabil A. Issa AFRIDI & ANGELL*

Hassen A. Ferris

AFRIDI & ANGELL Vandana Rupani

AFRIDI & ANGELL Hussan M.K. Hourani

AI TAMIMI & COMPANY

Sydene Helwick AL TAMIMI & COMPANY

Ammar Al-Saleh AL TAMIMI & COMPANY

Lisa Dale

AL TAMIMI & COMPANY Precilla D'Souza

AI, TAMIMI & COMPANY Suneer Kumar

AL-SUWAIDI & COMPANY

Mahamed Suwaidi AL-SUWAIDI & COMPANY

Theresa Abrefa BERRYMANS LACE MAWER

Saeed Abdulla Al Hamiz

CENTRAL BANK OF THE UAE Neil Taylor

DAVIS LANGDON Satish Mehta

Zahid I. Hameed

CONSULTANCY

Walid Karam

Associates

DUN & BRADSTRELT SAME LTD.

Fishte & Company Legal

HABIB AL MULLA & CO.

HABIB AL MULLA & CO.

Salah El Dien Al Nahas

HADEL AL DHAHIRI &

Habib M. Al Mulla

Doan Chien

Florent Fassier

Iulien Madon

Nicholas Audier

GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEI

GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL

GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL

GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEI

GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL

INTECO, LTD. INTERNATIONAL

JOHNSON STOKES & MASTER

JOHNSON STOKES & MASTER

JOHNSON STOKES & MASTER

JOHNSON STOKES & MASTER

PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT

Ho Dang Thanh Huyen

PRICEWATERHOU'SECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

PRICEWATERHOUSFCOOPERS

STATE BANK OF VIETNAM

TRAN H. N. & ASSOCIATES

Pham Nghiem XuanBac

Investment & Management

WEST BANK AND

ARAB CLEARING AGENT

HUSSEINI AND HUSSEINI

HUSSEINI AND HUSSEINI

Mohamed Khader

LAUSANNE TRADING

Moh'd Ali Lajam

YEMPAC CARGO

ASSOCIATES

Ali Sheikh Alamakdi

Abdalla Al-Megbeli

Abdulla Al-Olofi

Khaled Al Buraihi

ABDALLA AL-MEQBELI &

Noura Yahya H. Al-Adhhi

CENTRAL BANK OF YEMEN

CENTRAL BANK OF YEMEN

Khaled Al Buraihi for Advocacy & Legal Servicis

MIDDLE EAST SHIPPING CO.LTD.

VISION & ASSOCIATES

Nguyen Tuan Minh

THIERE & GIBBINS

INTERNATIONAL LTD.

Viet D. Phan

CONSULTANTS

GAZA

Fadi Kattan

Hıba Husseini

Rami Husseini

CONSULTANI'S

YEMEN

Van Thi Quynh Dinh

Phong-anh Hoang

Le Quang Phong

FREIGHT FORWARDER

Nguyen Suong Dao

Thomas J. Treutler

Suong Dao Nguyen

John Hickin

V.N. Trinh

Richard Irwin

Do Thi Thu Ha

Uan Pham Cong

Katherine Stones WEII, GOTSHAL & MANGES Sally Willcock WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES Emma Malkin WEII, GOTSHAI & MANGES Simon Graham

WRAGGF & CO. LLP Jane Bates

WRAGGE & CO. LLP Andrew Glaze WRAGGE & CO. LLP

UNITED STATES

Brian E. Clark APM TERMINALS Craig Foil APM TERMINALS N.A. Lillian E. Rice CLEAR), GOTTHER, STEEN &

HAMILION Ose Asemota Clifford Chance US, LLP

David Newberg Collier, Halpern, Newberg, Nolletti, & Bock

Raymond McGuire Contractors' Association of Greater New York

Pierre le Roux Intergraph Mapping and Geospatial Solutions

Charles L. Kerr MORRISON AND FOERSIFR

Matthew Meade Morrison and Foerster

Deodat Ramsarran NYC DEPARIMENT OF

BUILDINGS David Nelson PANALPINA

Kurt Diener Panal Pina

Robert Morris

PricewaterhouseCoopers Penny Vaughn

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPLRS Gregory A. Lee

PRICEWATERHOUSI COOPERS

Kelly J. Murray PricewaterhouseCoopers

Samuel Nolen RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A.*

Bradford L. Livingston SEYEARTH SHAW LLP, MEMBER OF IUN LABORIS David Snyder

SNYDER C- SNYDER

Frederick Turner SNYDER & SNYDER

Mike Calder The First American Corporation, 1 First American Way

John Ralls Thelen Reit) & Priest LLP

Jason Vonderhaar TRANSUNION

Jonel Iordan TRANSUNION Veronica Glanville UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT Stephen Raslavich UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY

URUGUAY

COURT

César I. Aroztegui Aroztegui & Asociados / Brons & Salas

Luis Baccino Aroztegui & Asociados / Brons & Salas

Marcelo Femenías Bado, Kuster, Zerbino & Rachetti

Elbio L. Kuster BADO, KUSTER, ZERBINO & RACHETTI

Ariel Imken Banco Central Del Uruguay Matilde Milicevic Santana

CLFARING DE INFORMES Maria Isabel Bonaffon Direccion General DE

REGISTROS Gabriel Eigenberg

Estudio Bergstein Nelly Kleckin

ESTUDIO BERGSTEIN Ricardo Mezzera

ESTUDIO DR. MEZZERA Agustín Etcheverry Reyes ESTUDIO DR. MEZZERA

Federico Carrau Estudio Dr. Mezzera

Diego Galante Galante & Martins

Carlos Brandes GUYER & REGULES*

Corina Bove Guyer & Regules

Alejandro Miller Artola Guyer & Regules

Alvaro Tarabal Guyer & Regules

Maria Duran

HUGHES & HUGHES

Noelia Eiras Hughes & Hughes

Laura Arocena Hughes & Hughes

Conrado Hughes Delgado

HUGHES & HUGHES Juan Frederico Fischer LVM Attorneys at Law Ricardo Olivera-García

Olivera & Delpiazzo Fabrizio Fava

PANALPINA Alfredo Inciarte Blanco

Perez del Castillo - Navarro - Inciarte - Gari

Maria Jose Santos PrictwaterHouseCoopers Sergio Franco

PricewaterHouseCoopers

UZBEKISTAN

Sergio Purin AHLERS Ibrahim Mukhamedjanov AZIZOV & PARTNERS Ibrahim Mukhamedjanov AZIZOV & PARTNERS Jamol Askarov

CHADBOURNE AND PARKE Sergey Shirov

DENTON WILDE SAPTE Mouborak Kambarova DENTON WILDE SAPTE

Sofiya Shakhrazieva Denton Wilde Sapte

Umid A. Aripdıanov GRATA LAW FIRM

Alexander Samborsky MAIN ADMINISTRATION OF GLODESY, CARTOGRAPHY AND STATE CADASTRE Abdulkhamid Muminov

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Natalia V. Lopaeva

SUPREME ECONOMIC COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN

VANUATU

Mark Stafford BDO Barret 1 & Partners

Christopher Dawson Dawson Builders Chris Kernot

FAMOUS PACIFIC SHIPPING VANUATU

John Malcolm Geoffrey Gel & Partners Barristers - Solicitors

David Hudson Hudson & Sugden

John Ridgway Pacific Legal Network

Garry Blake *Ridgeway Blake Partners* Silas Charles Hakwa

Silas Charles Hakwa & Associates

VENEZUELA

Tamara Adrian

Adrian & Adrian Rinaldo Mauricio Alcalá Panalpina C.A.

Carlos Velandia Sanchez Asociación Venezolana de Derecho Registral

Miguel Angel Pérez Lavaud Aveledio Klemprer Rivas Perez Trujilio Sanz & Asiclarios

Carlos Plaza BAKER & MCKENZIE

Rossanna D'Onza Baker & McKenzie

Mercedes Briceño CONAPRI

Eduardo Porcarelli CONAPRI

Patricia Milano Hernández De Sola Pate & Brown

Arturo de Sola Lander DL SOLA PATE & BROWN Alvaro Gonzalez-Ravelo

Escritorio Calcano-Vetancouri Ruben Gotberg Espiñeira, Sheldon y Asociados/Pricewaterhouse Coopers Fernando Miranda

ESPIÑEIRA, SHELDON Y Asociados/Pricewattrhouse Coopers María Corina Arocha

Espiñeira, Sheldon y Asociados/Pricewaterhouse Coopers

Alejandro Giolito Espiñeira, Sheldon y Asociados/Pricewaterhouse Coopers

Jorge Acedo-Prato HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO & DUQUE*

Alfredo Basalo-Rodríguez Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque

Carlos Dominguez HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO & DUOUE

Fernando Pelaez-Pier HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO ぐ DUQUE

Luiz Ignacio Mendoza Rodriguez & Mendoza

Servio T. Altuve Jr. SERVIO T. ALTUVE R. & ASOCIADOS

Edison Carrero SUPERINTENDENTE DE BANCOS

Francisco Aleman Planchart TINOCO, TRAVIESO, PLANCHART ざ NUÑEZ

Gustavo Enrique Planchart

Oscar Ignacio Torres

TINOCO, TRAVIESO, PLANCHART

TRAVIESO EVANS ARRIA RENGEL

Pocaterra

MANUNEZ

ć~ PAZ

VIETNAM

Yee Chung Seck

BAKER & MCKENZIE

BAKER & MCKENZIE

BAKER & MCKENZIE

BAKER & MCKENZIE

BAKER & MCKENZIL

Tran Manh Hung

Baker & McKenzie

Tran YenTrang Phan

BAKER & MCKENZIE

BAKER & MCKENZIE

BAKER & MCKENZIE

BAKER & MCKENZIE

CHESTERTON PFTTY

Nasir PKM Abdul

FLECHEUX, NGO & ASSOCIES

FLECHEUX, NGO & ASSOCIES

Pierre Anglès d'Auriac

Dao Thu Huong

Brett Ashton

Dang Linh Chi

Аппа Оп

Nguyen Hoang Kim Oanh

ThanhHa Tran

Frederick Burke

Giles Thomas Cooper

188 DOING BUSINESS IN 2006

Mohamed Taha Hamood Al-Hashimi MOHAMED TAHA HAMOOD & CO. Nageeb Alkadi NAGEEB ALKADI & ASSOCIATE OFFICES Saeed Sohbi SAEED HASSAN SOHBI

ZAMBIA

Victor Mesquita *Manica Africa* Azizhusein Adam

AD ADAMS & CO. Kanti Patel Christopher, Russell Cook & Co. Rachel Ngala Christopher, Russell Cook & Co. Robin Durairajah CORPUS GLOBE ADVOCATES Mwelwa Chibesakunda CORPUS GLOBE ADVOCATES Elias Chipimo CORPUS GLOBE ADVOCATES Harriet Kapampa Kapekele CORPUS GLOBE ADVOCATES John Sichinsambwe DEPARTMENT OF OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY Bonaventure Chibamba Mutale

ELLIS & CO. Henry Musonda KIRAN & MUSONDA ASSOCIATES David Doyle MANICA ZAMBIA Marjorie Grace Mwenda MG JOHNSON-MWENDA & CO. Noah Siasimuna Ministry of Labour and Social Security

Pixie Kasonde-Yangailo P.H. Yangailo & Company

Shaira Adamali PricewaterhouseCoopers Jyoti Mistry

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Danmore Nyanga

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS

ZIMBABWE

Lindsay Cook ATHERSTONE & COOK Innocent Chagonda ATHERSTONE & COOK Jonathan Moyo CALDERWOOD, BRYCF, HENDRIE & PARTNEERS Thembiwe Mazingi COGHLAN, WELSH & GUEST Peter Lloyd GILL GODLONTON & GERRANS Hayley Thornicroft GILL GODLONTON & GERRANS Obert Chaurura Gutu GUTU & CHIKOWERO Archibald Munyoro Gijima HARARE LAW H.M. Kantor KANTOR & IMMERICAN

Kantor & Immerman Paul Fraser Lofty & Fraser Legal

PRACTITIONERS Grant Davies

MANICA AFRICA Victor Mesquita MANICA AFRICA Mark Badenhorst PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Manuel Lopes PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS Stenford Moyo SCANLEN & HOLDERNESS John Meyburgh STUMBLES AND ROWE Richard H. S. Beattie THE STONE BEATTIE STUDIO Tshuma WEBB, LOW & BARRY

Trust Salpisio Manjegwah WINTERTONS LAW FIRM Filonzi Bosha ZIMBABWE REVENUE AUTHORITY


*Member of Lex Mundi

Additional PricewaterhouseCoopers contributors as Doing Business went to press:

Khalid Mehmood Salman Nasim AFGHANISTAN Kledi Kodra ALBANIA Ludmila Kosarenko ARMENIA Jacqueline Hassarati Irene Yeung AUSTRALIA Rudolf Krickl AUSTRIA Igor Dankov Belarus Fousseni Traore Jean Claude Wognin Benin Suada Slijivo Bosnia and Herzegovina L. Jayawickrama Botswana Fousseni Traore Jean Claude Wognin BURKINA FASO

Roger Ouk CAMBODIA Anthony Nkinzo CONGO, DEM. REP. Benedicte Wiberg DENMARK Peep Kalamae ESTONIA Agatha Chan HONG KONG, CHINA Zsofia Domotor HUNGARY Aija Klavinska Latvia Ami Ravelomanana William Randrianarivelo MADAGASCAR Fousseni Traore Jean Claude Wognin MALI

Ram L. Roy Ramesh Doma *MAURITIUS* Robert Walker *MOZAMBIQUE* Wanita Lala New ZeALAND Fousseni Traore Jean Claude Wognin NIGER Bukkie Adewuyi NIGERIA Linda Levett PAPUA NEW GUINEA Gregory Joseph Sojnocki SOLOMON ISLANDS Jaume Cornudella SPAIN



Doing Business in 2006: Creating Jobs is the third in a series of annual reports investigating the scope and manner of regulations that enhance business activity and those that constrain it. New quantitative indicators on business regulations and their enforcement can be compared across more than 150 countries, and over time. The indicators are used to analyze economic outcomes and identify what reforms have worked, where, and why.

For more information, visit our Web site at http://rru.worldbank.org/doingbusiness





Jose W. Santos 07767 ISN 1 MC 6-340 WASHINGTON DC



ISBN 0 8213 5749 2

;